

Accepted Manuscript

Heterogeneous effects of economic integration agreements

Scott L. Baier, Jeffrey H. Bergstrand, Matthew W. Clance

PII: S0304-3878(18)30464-4

DOI: [10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.08.014)

Reference: DEVEC 2288

To appear in: *Journal of Development Economics*

Received Date: 17 March 2017

Revised Date: 22 August 2018

Accepted Date: 28 August 2018

Please cite this article as: Baier, S.L., Bergstrand, J.H., Clance, M.W., Heterogeneous effects of economic integration agreements, *Journal of Development Economics* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.08.014.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Heterogeneous Effects of Economic Integration Agreements*

Scott L. Baier[†], Jeffrey H. Bergstrand[‡] and Matthew W. Clance[§]

September 7, 2018

Abstract

It is now widely accepted that economic integration agreements (EIAs) and other trade-policy liberalizations contribute to nations' economic growth and development and help alleviate poverty. However, the economic effects of such policies vary across countries' economic structures; for instance, developing countries face higher fixed trade costs (partly due to higher government border-crossing costs and weaker port infrastructures). We offer three potential contributions. First, we extend a standard Melitz general equilibrium trade model with firm heterogeneity to show how variable-cost and fixed-cost "trade elasticities" associated with trade liberalizations are heterogeneous and endogenous to *levels* of country-pairs' bilateral policy and non-policy, variable and fixed trade costs – even allowing for CES preferences and an untruncated Pareto distribution of productivities. Using associated comparative statics, we provide several explicit predictions of the heterogeneous (variable- and fixed-cost) bilateral extensive-margin, intensive-margin, and trade elasticities. Second, we provide empirical support for the theoretical hypotheses. Trade elasticities vary across particular settings. Third, we demonstrate the relevance of these theoretical and empirical results for *ex ante* trade-flow predictions of potential EIAs. For instance, we show that a 10 percent lower average per capita income of a country-pair is associated with a 60 percent higher partial EIA effect. Moreover, we show empirically that 95-99 percent of the welfare (or probability) estimates of EIA liberalizations between 1,358 North-North, North-South, and South-South country-pairs can be explained by our heterogeneous EIA partial treatment effects.

Key words: International trade, economic integration agreements, gravity equation, welfare
JEL classification: F1, F13, F63, O10, O24

* *Acknowledgements:* We thank the editor, Nathan Nunn, three anonymous referees, Costas Arkolakis, Antoine Gervais, Marc Melitz, Stephen Redding and seminar and conference participants at Arizona State University, Southern Methodist University, University of Nottingham, the International Economics and Finance Society, and the Latin American and Caribbean Economics Association for excellent comments on earlier drafts. We also thank Ryan Bernet, J.P. Bruno, James Driscoll, Morgan Dunn, Stephen Gilcrest, Ignacio Gonzalez Granero, Sree Kancherla, Louis Liu, Sam Melgar, Gargi Purohit, and Helen Sheng for excellent research assistance in the construction of the EIA database. Bergstrand thanks the Notre Dame Mendoza College of Business and Kellogg Institute for International Studies for financial support. Clance thanks the University of Pretoria's Research Development Program for financial support. An earlier version of this paper appeared as a working paper, [Baier et al. \[2015\]](#).

[†] *Affiliation:* John E. Walker Department of Economics, Clemson University. *Address:* John E. Walker Department of Economics, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634 USA. *E-mail:* sbaier@clemson.edu.

[‡] *Affiliation:* Department of Finance, Mendoza College of Business, Department of Economics, and Kellogg Institute for International Studies, University of Notre Dame, and CESifo Munich. *Address:* Mendoza College of Business, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556 USA. *E-mail:* bergstrand.1@nd.edu.

[§] *Affiliation:* Department of Economics, University of Pretoria. *Address:* Department of Economics, University of Pretoria, Hatfield 0028, South Africa. *E-mail:* matthew.clance@up.ac.za

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11004840>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11004840>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)