

Accepted Manuscript

TIMING INDUCTION OF LABOUR AT 41 OR 42 WEEKS? A closer look at time frames of comparison: a review

Judit K.J. Keulen , Aafke Bruinsma , Joep C. Kortekaas ,
Jeroen van Dillen , Joris A.M. van der Post , Esteriek de Miranda

PII: S0266-6138(18)30224-9
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2018.07.011>
Reference: YMIDW 2313



To appear in: *Midwifery*

Received date: 24 November 2017
Revised date: 14 July 2018
Accepted date: 22 July 2018

Please cite this article as: Judit K.J. Keulen , Aafke Bruinsma , Joep C. Kortekaas , Jeroen van Dillen , Joris A.M. van der Post , Esteriek de Miranda , TIMING INDUCTION OF LABOUR AT 41 OR 42 WEEKS? A closer look at time frames of comparison: a review, *Midwifery* (2018), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2018.07.011>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Highlights

- Whether induction at 41 weeks should be preferred above induction at 42 weeks is still unclear
- The risk of perinatal mortality between 41 and 42 weeks is low
- The risk on meconium aspiration syndrome between 41 and 42 weeks is still unclear
- There is no difference in Caesarean section rate between induction at 41 or 42 weeks

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11005505>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11005505>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)