MethodsX 5 (2018) 1111-1116



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

MethodsX

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mex

Method Article

Standard method for microCT-based additive manufacturing quality control 3: Surface roughness



Anton du Plessis^{a,*}, Philip Sperling^b, Andre Beerlink^b, Oelof Kruger^c, Lerato Tshabalala^d, Shaik Hoosain^d, Stephan G. le Roux^a

^a CT Scanner Facility, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

^b YXLON International GmbH, Hamburg, Germany

^c National Metrology Institute of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa

^d National Laser Centre, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, South Africa

ABSTRACT

The use of microCT of 10 mm coupon samples produced by AM has the potential to provide useful information of mean density and detailed porosity information of the interior of the samples. In addition, the same scan data can be used to provide surface roughness analysis of the as-built surfaces of the same coupon samples. This can be used to compare process parameters or new materials. While surface roughness is traditionally done using tactile probes or with non-contact interferometric techniques, the complex surfaces in AM are sometimes difficult to access and may be very rough, with undercuts and may be difficult to accurately measure using traditional techniques which are meant for smoother surfaces. This standard workflow demonstrates on a coupon sample how to acquire surface roughness results, and compares the results from roughly the same area of the same sample with tactile probe results. The same principle can be applied to more complex parts, keeping in mind the resolution limit vs sample size of microCT.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: X-ray tomography, MicroCT, Nondestructive testing, Additive manufacturing, Quality control, Surface roughness *Article history:* Received 7 May 2018; Accepted 11 September 2018; Available online 15 September 2018

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: anton2@sun.ac.za (A.d. Plessis).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2018.09.004

^{2215-0161/© 2018} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

The surface topography of AM parts has been measured by microCT in a number of recent studies of complex parts [1–3]. Despite these efforts no dedicated workflow has been described yet. In this work we propose one standard workflow dedicated to a 10 mm coupon sample. This coupon is already in use for other analysis purposes, as described in previous method papers in our group for detailed porosity analysis [4] and mean sample density [5]. The method has also be demonstrated in a recent review paper on a cylindrical surface [6]. This surface characterization method can be applied to existing scan data, thereby reducing the cost barrier to the adoption of microCT as a method of 3D characterization. This standard workflow aims to support the characterization needs for qualification and standardization in AM.

Method

The samples were built on a custom built selective laser melting platform within a commercial LENS enclosure. The laser used was an IPG YLS 5000 ytterbium 5 kW fibre laser, wavelength of 1076 nm with a delivery fibre core diameter of 50 μ m. The scanner used was an Intelliweld 30 FC V system. Materials used were Ti6Al4V provided by TLS Technik GmbH, gas atomized with particle size 20-60 μ m. The base plate material is Ti6Al4V, 150 mm in diameter, approximately 40 mm thick. The hatch parameters used were a power of 3 kW, speed of 3 meters/second, and a 240 μ m spot size. The contour scans used a laser power of 1 kW where the hatch-contour distance and the speed was varied to investigate its effect on density (porosity) and surface finish. As this paper specifically focusses on the method of measurement only one sample was evaluated (Table 1).

As described previously, a standard coupon test sample of $10 \times 10 \times 10$ mm is suggested for this test. This size allows a reasonably high scan resolution while allowing a large enough size for practical purposes. Tactile probe measurements were performed using a Taylor Hobson system with 2 μ m probe radius, at the National Metrology Institute of South Africa. The probe acquired points along the movement direction at 3 μ m with 1000 points, and each line of points spaced 1 μ m from the next for 3000 lines, covering a total of 3 \times 3 mm.

Typical laboratory microCT is used as for example found in [7], with parameters optimized according to the guidelines in [8]. MicroCT scan settings of 200 kV, 70 uA, with 0.5 mm beam filter are used, with image acquisition of 500 ms per image, 2400 step positions in a full 360 degree rotation. The voxel size is 15 μ m. At each step position, the first image is discarded and two subsequent images averaged. The total scan time is just under 1 hour. When sample setup, machine warmup, background correction and reconstruction is included this should be possible in almost any system in 2 hours total. The reconstruction is done using a strong beam hardening correction factor without any image denoising.

The data is the analysed in Volume Graphics VGStudioMax 3.1. The image processing is described here as also described previously [4,5] (and hence does not have to be repeated if done for other analyses). The first part described the removal of the exterior air from the data set, but including all material and air (pores). This is done by first applying a basic surface determination, following by creating a region of interest (ROI) from this surface. A region growing tool is then used with high tolerance on the air outside the part, while the option is selected for "avoid other visible ROIs". This selects all exterior air up to the edge of the part. Inverting this selection allows to select the entire part including its voids. A new advanced surface determination function is then applied, using this ROI selection as a starting contour. In this way the local optimization is performed on the exterior surface, allowing the best subvoxel precision on the surface location.

Surface	area	comparison	microCT	vs	tactile	probe	for	$3\times 3mm$	side	wall
selection	n.									

Table 1

	MicroCT	Tactile probe
Sa	29.6 µm	36.9 µm

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11007645

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11007645

Daneshyari.com