Journal of Clinical Lipidology

Review Article

4

9

7⁰² Clinical and cost benefits of medical nutrition therapy by registered dietitian nutritionists for management of dyslipidemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis

••Geeta Sikand, MA, RDN*, Renee E. Cole, PhD, RDN, Deepa Handu, PhD, RDN, Desiree deWaal, MS, RDN, Joanne Christaldi, PhD, RDN, Elvira Q. Johnson, MS, RDN, Linda M. Arpino, MA, RDN, Shirley M. Ekvall, PhD, RDN

University of California Irvine School of Medicine, Orange, CA, USA (Dr Sikand); U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, USA (Dr Cole); Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Chicago, IL, USA (Dr Handu); University of Vermont Medical Center, Burlington, VT, USA (Dr deWaal); West Chester University of Pennsylvania, West Chester, PA, USA (Dr Christaldi); EQJ Associates, North Reading, MA, USA (Dr Johnson); Life Focus Nutrition Centers, Rye Brook, NY, USA (Dr Arpino); and University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA (Dr Ekvall)

KEYWORDS:

- Dyslipidemia management; Medical nutrition therapy; Registered dietitian nutritionists; Clinical effectiveness; Cost savings; Cardiometabolic risk factors: Weight reduction; Metabolic syndrome; Blood pressure reduction
- BACKGROUND: Faced with increasing health care costs, it is incumbent to discern whether managing dyslipidemia with medical nutrition therapy (MNT) by a registered dietitian nutritionist (RDN) is clinically and cost effective.
- **OBJECTIVE:** To systematically examine evidence on the clinical effectiveness and cost benefit of MNT by an RDN for the treatment of dyslipidemia.
- METHODS: English and full-text research articles published between January 2003 and October 2014 were identified using PubMed, MEDLINE, and the Worldcat.org site to identify literature specific to clinical and cost effectiveness of MNT for dyslipidemia. Studies were required to have at least one outcome measure of dyslipidemia: total cholesterol (Total C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and/or metabolic syndrome.
- **RESULTS:** This systematic review identified 34 primary studies with 5704 subjects. Multiple individual face-to-face MNT sessions by an RDN over 3 to 21 months led to significant improvements in lipid profile, body mass index, glycemic status, and blood pressure. Results were summarized as mean differences with 95% confidence intervals when meta-analysis was possible. In a pooled analysis, MNT interventions lowered low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, total C, triglycerides, fasting blood glucose, hemoglobin A1c, and body mass index compared to a control group. Cost effectiveness and economic savings of MNT for dyslipidemia showed improved quality-adjusted life years and cost savings from reduced medication use.
- * Corresponding author. Associate Clinical Professor of Medicine (Car-diology), University of California Irvine College of Medicine, 2 Trenton, Irvine, CA 92620, USA.

E-mail address: gsikand@uci.edu Submitted February 1, 2018. Accepted for publication June 27, 2018.

1933-2874/© 2018 National Lipid Association. All rights reserved.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2018.06.016

2

103

104

105

106

107

dyslipidemia and cardiometabolic risk factors.

© 2018 National Lipid Association. All rights reserved.

108 109

Introduction 110

111 The National Lipid Association published strong 112 evidence-based recommendations supporting patient 113 referral to a registered dietitian nutritionist (RDN) for 114 medical nutrition therapy (MNT) for the management of 115 dyslipidemia.^{1,2} Furthermore, several national guidelines, 116 including the American Heart Association, the American 117 College of Cardiology, the Obesity Society and the Amer-118 ican Diabetes Association, also recommend referral of pa-119 tients to an RDN for MNT for cardiometabolic risk 120 factors, including dyslipidemia, hypertension (HTN), over-121 weight/obesity, metabolic syndrome (MetS), prediabetes 122 and diabetes (T2D).¹⁻⁵ However, universal access to 123 MNT by an RDN is limited by existing health care 124 coverage policies, including Medicare and Medicaid. 125

Faced with increasing costs of health care, it is 126 incumbent on U.S. policy makers and third-party payers 127 to discern whether managing dyslipidemia with MNT by an 128 RDN is clinically effective and provides a cost benefit. 129

The four components of the MNT process by an RDN 130 include (1) nutrition assessment, (2) nutrition diagnosis, (3) 131 nutrition intervention, and (4) nutrition monitoring and 132 evaluation. An in-depth nutrition assessment allows the 133 RDN to develop a personalized cardioprotective dietary 134 pattern for dyslipidemia that integrates patient's lifestyle, 135 culture, and the presence of other cardiovascular risk 136 factors (prediabetes, impaired fasting glucose, HTN, T2D, 137 overweight, obesity, and MetS).⁶⁻⁹ 138

140 Methods

139

141

142 This systematic review was conducted using the 143 Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (The Academy) Evidence Analysis Process.¹⁰ The Academy's Evidence-144 Based Practice Committee appointed an expert workgroup 145 146 to conduct a rigorous systematic review of the evidence. 147 The expert workgroup and evidence analysis team con-148 sisted of an Academy project manager, a lead analyst, 149 six workgroup members with expertise in outcomes 150 research, and six evidence analysts. The expert workgroup 151 met monthly by teleconference to discuss potential PICO 152 (population, intervention, comparison, and outcome) ques-153 tions, search strategy, and each step of the Academy's Ev-154 idence Analysis Process from May 2013 until completion 155 in May 2015.¹⁰

Questions 157

158

156

The workgroup defined two PICO questions:

- 1) In outpatient adults, what is the effectiveness of MNT provided by an RDN to improve disorders of lipid metabolism outcomes?
- 2) In outpatient adults, what is the cost benefit of MNT provided by an RDN to improve disorders of lipid metabolism outcomes?

Study selection

CONCLUSION: Evidence from this systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrates that

multiple MNT sessions by an RDN are clinically effective and cost beneficial in patients with

An intensive electronic search was conducted using PubMed and MEDLINE databases, along with the Worldcat.org site to identify literature specific to cost savings/benefit and MNT effectiveness. The analysis team created the search strategy with search MeSH terms identified as: MNT, nutrition therapy (nutrition counseling or intervention or monitoring), dietitian (including registered and the 181 European spelling variation of) or nutritionist, lipid or dysli-182 pidemia terms (lipids, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol 183 [HDL-C] or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol[LDL-C], tri-184 glyceride, high blood cholesterol, hypercholesterol, hyper-185 cholesterolemia, hyperlipidemia, dyslipidemia, fatty liver, 186 nonalcoholic fatty liver diseases [NAFLD], nonalcoholic 187 steatohepatitis, lipid disorders, lipid metabolism, and lipid 188 management), as well as cost benefit and cost savings. The 189 list of titles and abstracts were independently reviewed and 190 discussed at the expert workgroup meetings. Titles and ab-191 stracts were selected based upon a preplanned set of inclusion 192 criteria. The inclusion criteria included English language; 193 adults over 18 years of age; MNT provided by an RDN in 194 an outpatient or ambulatory care setting; studies published 195 between January 2003 and October 2014; 10 or more subjects 196 per study group; and 65% completion rate. The completion 197 rate at 65% was accepted due to an elevated attrition rate be-198 tween 19% and 65% found in the ambulatory, outpatient 199 setting.^{11–17} Studies were required to have at least one 200 outcome measure of dyslipidemia: total cholesterol (total-201 C), HDL-C, LDL-C, triglycerides (TG), and MetS. For the 202 MNT effectiveness PICO question, randomized controlled 203 trials (RCTs), cohort studies, nonrandomized clinical studies, 204 observational/noncontrolled trials, retrospective, cross-205 sectional, and time series studies were included. See 206 Figure 1 for the flow chart. For the MNT cost benefit/savings 207 analysis, any study design was considered acceptable due to 208 the paucity of research identified during the literature search, 209 which was expanded to January 1995. 210

Data extraction and guality assessment

Using a standardized online data extraction tool,¹⁰ key data were extracted from each included study: study design,

213 214

211

212

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11008566

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11008566

Daneshyari.com