

ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS

# Genomic Risk Prediction of Coronary Artery Disease in 480,000 Adults



## Implications for Primary Prevention

Michael Inouye, PhD,<sup>a,b,c,d,e,\*</sup> Gad Abraham, PhD,<sup>a,b,c,d,\*</sup> Christopher P. Nelson, PhD,<sup>f</sup> Angela M. Wood, PhD,<sup>c</sup> Michael J. Sweeting, PhD,<sup>c</sup> Frank Dudbridge, PhD,<sup>c,g</sup> Florence Y. Lai, MPhil,<sup>f</sup> Stephen Kaptoge, PhD,<sup>c,h</sup> Marta Brozynska, PhD,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Tingting Wang, PhD,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Shu Ye, MD, PhD,<sup>f</sup> Thomas R. Webb, PhD,<sup>f</sup> Martin K. Rutter, MD,<sup>i,j</sup> Ioanna Tzoulaki, PhD,<sup>k,l</sup> Riyaz S. Patel, MD,<sup>m,n</sup> Ruth J.F. Loos, PhD,<sup>o</sup> Bernard Keavney, MD,<sup>p,q</sup> Harry Hemingway, MD,<sup>r</sup> John Thompson, PhD,<sup>g</sup> Hugh Watkins, MD, PhD,<sup>s,t</sup> Panos Deloukas, PhD,<sup>u</sup> Emanuele Di Angelantonio, MD, PhD,<sup>c,h</sup> Adam S. Butterworth, PhD,<sup>c,h</sup> John Danesh, DPHIL,<sup>c,h,v</sup> Nilesh J. Samani, MD,<sup>f,\*</sup> for the UK Biobank CardioMetabolic Consortium CHD Working Group

### ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND** Coronary artery disease (CAD) has substantial heritability and a polygenic architecture. However, the potential of genomic risk scores to help predict CAD outcomes has not been evaluated comprehensively, because available studies have involved limited genomic scope and limited sample sizes.

**OBJECTIVES** This study sought to construct a genomic risk score for CAD and to estimate its potential as a screening tool for primary prevention.

**METHODS** Using a meta-analytic approach to combine large-scale, genome-wide, and targeted genetic association data, we developed a new genomic risk score for CAD (metaGRS) consisting of 1.7 million genetic variants. We externally tested metaGRS, both by itself and in combination with available data on conventional risk factors, in 22,242 CAD cases and 460,387 noncases from the UK Biobank.

**RESULTS** The hazard ratio (HR) for CAD was 1.71 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.68 to 1.73) per SD increase in metaGRS, an association larger than any other externally tested genetic risk score previously published. The metaGRS stratified individuals into significantly different life course trajectories of CAD risk, with those in the top 20% of metaGRS distribution having an HR of 4.17 (95% CI: 3.97 to 4.38) compared with those in the bottom 20%. The corresponding HR was 2.83 (95% CI: 2.61 to 3.07) among individuals on lipid-lowering or antihypertensive medications. The metaGRS had a higher C-index ( $C = 0.623$ ; 95% CI: 0.615 to 0.631) for incident CAD than any of 6 conventional factors (smoking, diabetes, hypertension, body mass index, self-reported high cholesterol, and family history). For men in the top 20% of metaGRS with >2 conventional factors, 10% cumulative risk of CAD was reached by 48 years of age.

**CONCLUSIONS** The genomic score developed and evaluated here substantially advances the concept of using genomic information to stratify individuals with different trajectories of CAD risk and highlights the potential for genomic screening in early life to complement conventional risk prediction. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;72:1883–93) © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by JACC Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster.



From the <sup>a</sup>Cambridge Baker Systems Genomics Initiative, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, and Cambridge, United Kingdom; <sup>b</sup>Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; <sup>c</sup>MRC/BHF Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom; <sup>d</sup>Department of Clinical Pathology and School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; <sup>e</sup>The Alan Turing Institute, London, United Kingdom; <sup>f</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Sciences and NIHR Leicester Biomedical Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom; <sup>g</sup>Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom; <sup>h</sup>National Institute for Health Research Blood and Transplant Research Unit (NIHR BTRU) in Donor Health and Genomics at the University of Cambridge,

**ABBREVIATIONS  
AND ACRONYMS**

**BMI** = body mass index  
**CAD** = coronary artery disease  
**CI** = confidence interval  
**GRS** = genomic risk score(s)  
**HR** = hazard ratio

**A**s coronary artery disease (CAD) is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, early identification of individuals who are at high risk of CAD is essential for primary prevention. As the heritability of CAD has been estimated to be 40% to 60%, comprehensive information on genetic susceptibility could contribute importantly to CAD risk stratification (1,2).

SEE PAGE 1894

Although family history has long been identified as a risk factor for CAD, elucidation of the genetic architecture of CAD has advanced substantially only during the past decade with the advent of genome-wide association studies. Results from these assumption-free surveys across the genome have laid foundations for developing genomic risk scores (GRS) in the estimation of an individual's underlying genomic risk (3-9). Furthermore, because GRS are based on germline DNA, they are quantifiable in early life, at or before birth. Hence, they offer the potential for early risk screening and primary prevention before other conventional risk factors become informative.

Due to several inter-related factors, however, previous GRS for CAD have been unable to provide comprehensive assessment of the potential of

using genomic information in CAD risk prediction. First, because previously published GRS have utilized only genetic variants of genome-wide significance (4,5,8) or involved genotyping arrays that focused only on pre-selected loci (3), they have not fully utilized genome-wide variation, preventing accurate estimation of the relative contribution of each genetic variant to CAD risk. Second, because previous studies of GRS have tended to have moderate statistical power, they have been unable to provide precise effect size estimates (10-12). Third, because previous studies of GRS have largely lacked external testing in large-scale cohorts that represent a diversity of ancestries (3) and typically have involved only a narrow spectrum of CAD burden (e.g., inclusion of myocardial infarction only) (13,14), their generalizability has been limited.

Here, we report a more powerful and generalizable genome-wide GRS for CAD to provide a more comprehensive evaluation. We utilized a meta-analytic strategy to construct a GRS for CAD (metaGRS) that captures the totality of information from the largest previous genome-wide association studies, and then investigated the external performance of this metaGRS in stratifying CAD risk in >480,000 individuals from the UK Biobank (UKB) (15). Furthermore, we assessed the effects of 6 conventional risk factors (smoking, blood pressure, body

Cambridge, United Kingdom; <sup>i</sup>Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Gastroenterology, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom; <sup>j</sup>Manchester Diabetes Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom; <sup>k</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>l</sup>Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece; <sup>m</sup>Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences, University College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>n</sup>Barts Heart Centre, St. Bartholomew's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; <sup>o</sup>Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; <sup>p</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom; <sup>q</sup>Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, United Kingdom; <sup>r</sup>The Farr Institute of Health Informatics Research and the National Institute for Health Research, Biomedical Research Centre, University College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>s</sup>Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; <sup>t</sup>The Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; <sup>u</sup>William Harvey Research Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom; and the <sup>v</sup>Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom. \*Drs. Inouye, Abraham, and Samani contributed equally to this work. This study was supported by funding from National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) grant APP1062227; and was supported in part by the Victorian Government's OIS Program. Dr. Inouye was supported by an NHMRC and Australian Heart Foundation Career Development Fellowship (no. 1061435). Dr. Abraham was supported by an NHMRC Early Career Fellowship (no. 1090462). Drs. Nelson, Keavney, and Samani are supported by the British Heart Foundation, and Dr. Samani is an NIHR Senior Investigator. Dr. Rutter has received honoraria and consulting fees from Novo Nordisk, Ascensia, Cell Catapult, and Roche Diabetes Care. Dr. Patel is supported by the British Heart Foundation (FS/14/76/30933). The MRC/BHF Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit is supported by the UK Medical Research Council [MR/L003120/1], British Heart Foundation [RG/13/13/30194], and UK National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre. Dr. Butterworth has received grant support from Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Biogen, Bioerativ, and AstraZeneca; and serves as a consultant to Novartis. Dr. Danesh is a British Heart Foundation Professor and NIHR Senior Investigator. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose. Pradeep Natarajan, MD, MMSc, served as Guest Editor for this paper.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11009610>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11009610>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)