



# Development of a parallel microbore hollow fiber enzyme reactor platform for online $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling: Application to lectin-specific lung cancer *N*-glycoproteome

Sun Young Lee<sup>a</sup>, Seonjeong Lee<sup>a</sup>, Sung Bum Park<sup>b</sup>, Ki Young Kim<sup>b</sup>, Jongki Hong<sup>a,\*</sup>, Dukjin Kang<sup>c,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Pharmacy, Kyung Hee University, Seoul 02447, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup> Therapeutics & Biotechnology Division, Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, P.O. Box 107, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> Center for Bioanalysis, Division of Chemical and Medical Metrology, Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, Daejeon, 34113, Republic of Korea

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Lung cancer  
mHFER  
*N*-glycoproteins  
 $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling  
Quantitative proteomics

## ABSTRACT

We introduce a simple online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling protocol for protein samples that uses a parallelizing microbore hollow fiber enzyme reactor (mHFER) as an alternative tool for online proteolytic digestion. Online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling is performed by separately attaching two mHFERs in parallel to a 10-port switching valve with a high-pressure syringe pump and two syringes containing  $^{16}\text{O}$ - or  $^{18}\text{O}$ -water.  $^{16}\text{O}$ -/ $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeled peptides are formed in this manner and simultaneously analyzed online using nanoflow liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (nLC-MS/MS) without any residual trypsin activity. The usefulness of a parallel mHFER platform (P-mHFER) in  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling was tested using both cytochrome C and alpha-1-acid-glycoprotein to verify the incorporation level of two  $^{18}\text{O}$  atoms into tryptic peptides and to provide a quantitative assessment with varied mixing ratios. Additionally, our  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling approach was used to study the serum *N*-glycoproteome from lung cancer patients and controls to evaluate the applicability of lectin-based quantitative *N*-glycoproteomics. We successfully quantified 76 peptides (from 62 *N*-glycoproteins). Nineteen of these peptides from lung cancer serum were up-/down-regulated at least 2.5-fold compared to controls. As a result, the P-mHFER-based online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling platform presented here can be a simple and reproducible way to allow quantitative proteomic analysis of diverse proteome samples.

## 1. Introduction

In quantitative proteomics, a platform for stable isotope-labeling with advanced mass spectrometry (MS) has widely served as a fundamental way to both identify and quantify protein(s) of interest. This is achieved by simultaneously searching tandem MS (MS/MS) spectra of proteolytic peptides against a proteome database and directly measuring the relative abundance ratios of MS or MS/MS intensities for the corresponding ion pairs labeled with light-/heavy-isotope tracers [1,2]. These MS- or MS/MS-based quantitative analyses provide important clues for understanding the biological functions or metabolic pathways of targeted protein(s) in a cell, and they also reveal diverse virulence factors related to human diseases [3,4].

In contrast to chemical isotope-labeling methods (e.g., TMT [5,6],

mTRAQ [7], and iTRAQ [8,9]) used in general quantitative proteomics,  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling is easily achieved using the hydrolytic reaction during general proteolysis without additional sample treatments or extra reagents [10,11]. It involves incorporation of two  $^{18}\text{O}$  atoms into the carboxyl-terminus of proteolytically cleaved peptides in  $^{18}\text{O}$ -water, leading to a mass difference of 4 Da between the  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeled and  $^{16}\text{O}$ -labeled peptides digested with  $^{16}\text{O}$ -water. Despite its usability,  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling has been used less frequently for quantitative proteomics because it is time consuming (~24 h for direct labeling or ~48 h for decoupled labeling) and labor-intensive, and back exchange occurs (from  $^{18}\text{O}$  to  $^{16}\text{O}$ ). Back exchange, which might be caused by either residual trypsin activity or reaction with  $^{16}\text{O}$  from the surroundings, is a particularly significant detriment to obtaining reproducible datasets for protein quantification, because quantitative analysis in  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling

\* Correspondence to: J. Hong, College of Pharmacy, Kyung Hee University, Seoul 130-701, Republic of Korea.

\*\* Correspondence to: D. Kang, Center for Bioanalysis, Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, 267 Gajeong-Ro, Yuseong-Gu, Daejeon 34113, Republic of Korea.

E-mail addresses: [jhong@khu.ac.kr](mailto:jhong@khu.ac.kr) (J. Hong), [djkang@kriss.re.kr](mailto:djkang@kriss.re.kr) (D. Kang).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2018.09.041>

Received 17 July 2018; Received in revised form 29 August 2018; Accepted 28 September 2018

Available online 02 October 2018

1570-0232/ © 2018 Published by Elsevier B.V.

involves direct measurement of the relative abundance ratios of  $^{16}\text{O}$ -labeled peptide(s) to  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeled one(s) from corresponding protein pairs. Recent attempts, including heating at  $> 80^\circ\text{C}$  [12], pH reduction to  $< 2$  [13,14], use of on-/off-line immobilized enzyme reactors (IMERs) [15,16], high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU)-IMER [17], and on-line pressured digestion systems [18], have improved the reproducibility, rapidity, and automation of quantitative proteomics.

Recently, we introduced a molecular weight (MW) sorting-based enzyme reactor, termed a microbore hollow fiber enzyme reactor (mHFER), for online proteolytic digestion and selective enrichment of lectin-specific *N*-glycoproteins. Fabrication of the mHFER module and its systematic operation for online proteolytic digestion for next nano-flow liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (nLC-ESI-MS/MS) experiments are detailed in our previous studies [19,20]. Briefly, protein samples and trypsin are sequentially introduced into the micro-volume mHFER (approximately 10  $\mu\text{L}$ ) via an autosampler with a continuous microflow (approximately 5  $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ ) for proteolytic digestion on the inside of a hollow fiber (HF) membrane. The digested peptides that are smaller than the HF MW cut-off (10 kDa) are simultaneously passed through an online reverse-phase (C18) trapping column for the subsequent shotgun analysis. The MW sorting-based online enzyme reactor, similar to previously reported IMERs, has the benefit of separating eluted digests from free trypsin (23.8 kDa; so it does not pass through the HF pores), thereby reducing the chance of back-exchange caused by residual trypsin activity. It also allows rapid digestion of protein samples in a short time (approximately 30 min), because of the gradually increasing trypsin-to-protein mass ratio (w/w).

To evaluate the potential of a mHFER in proteolytic  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling, the present paper introduces an online parallel mHFER (P-mHFER) platform that enables a separate feeding of  $^{16}\text{O}$ -water and  $^{18}\text{O}$ -water into each of two reactors attached in parallel to a 10-port switching valve with a high-pressure dual syringe pump. This configuration allows the formation of  $^{16}\text{O}$ -/ $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeled peptides and an online concentration onto a trapping column for the next shotgun analysis without any residual trypsin activity. Both cytochrome C (12.4 kDa) and alpha-1-acid-glycoprotein (AGP, 23.5 kDa), as a protein standard, were used to verify the efficiency of incorporation of two  $^{18}\text{O}$  atoms into tryptic peptides and to evaluate back exchange, as well as to determine the accuracy of quantitative measurements by varying the mixing ratios. Additionally, we applied this new P-mHFER platform toward lectin-specific quantitative *N*-glycoproteomics of serum from lung cancer patients and controls.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Materials and chemicals

Ammonium bicarbonate ( $\text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3$ , ABC), dithiothreitol (DTT), iodoacetamide (IAA), L-cysteine, formic acid, peptide-*N*-glycosidase F/A, and two protein standards [cytochrome C and AGP] were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Sequencing grade trypsin was obtained from Promega Corp. (Madison, WI, USA). HPLC-grade acetonitrile (ACN) and water from Burdick & Jackson (Ulsan, Korea) were used for a binary nLC separation of tryptic digests. For online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling with a P-mHFER,  $^{18}\text{O}$ -water (97%) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratory (Andover, MA, USA). A Qproteome total glycoprotein kit with immobilized *concanavalin A* (Con A) and *wheat germ agglutinin* (WGA) was obtained from QIAGEN (Valencia, CA, USA) for enrichment of *N*-glycoproteome from lung cancer and control sera. Fused-silica capillaries (25, 50, 75, and 100  $\mu\text{m}$ -i.d., 360  $\mu\text{m}$ -o.d.) for the LC column and tubing connections were obtained from Technology LLC (Phoenix, AZ, USA). The 10-/6-port switching valves used for parallelizing two mHFERs, two manual injectors, fittings, adapters, and PEEK tubing were purchased from Upchurch Scientific® (Oak Harbor, WA, USA) or IDEX Health & Science LCC. The mHF consisted of polysulfone (surface-modified hydrophilic polysulfone) obtained from

Kolon Central Research Institute (Yongin, Korea).

To examine the potential of online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling with a P-mHFER in the relative quantification of serum *N*-glycoproteome, a lectin-immobilized spin column was used to enrich the lectin-specific *N*-glycoproteins from three lung cancer serum samples and a pooled healthy control, as detailed in the Supporting information.

### 2.2. Fabrication of the mHFER

Fabrication of the mHFER used in this study to construct the P-mHFER is detailed in the Supporting information and in our previous paper [19].

### 2.3. The parallel mHFER system

The P-mHFER platform for online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling consisted of a high-pressure dual syringe pump (Nexus 3000) from CHEMYX (TX, USA) that was filled with the reducing reagent (50 mM ABC, 10 mM DTT; hereafter, R-buffer) dissolved in either  $^{16}\text{O}$ -water or  $^{18}\text{O}$ -water and connected separately to two manual injectors by capillary tubing (100  $\mu\text{m}$ -i.d., 360  $\mu\text{m}$ -o.d.) with both a male nut (1/16 in.-o.d.) and a 360  $\mu\text{m}$ -o.d. Teflon tubing sleeve. Unless otherwise stated, the same fittings and capillary tubing were used for fabricating the P-mHFER and coupling it online to the nLC-ESI-MS/MS. The outlet capillary tubing from both injectors was plugged separately into the opposite ports (1 and 6) of a 10-port switching valve (left side of Fig. 1). The two mHFERs were placed on the 10-port switching valve at ports 10 and 2 for the inlet and outlet of one mHFER, respectively, and ports 7 and 5 for the other mHFER. The temperature of mHFER module during online tryptic digestion was controlled by a column heater from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Waltham, MA, USA). This allowed separate introduction of protein samples and  $^{16}\text{O}$ -water or  $^{18}\text{O}$ -water at a flow rate of 3  $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$  into each mHFER. The online nLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis was then conducted by eluting the resulting digests from each mHFER and passing them through a MicroTight adapter (P-770) with a dual lumen sleeve (F-235, 1/16 in.-o.d.) and 360  $\mu\text{m}$ -o.d. capillary tubing for subsequent online concentration and desalting on a trapping column (5 cm length  $\times$  100  $\mu\text{m}$ -i.d.; bead length approximately 1 cm). The tubing was packed in our laboratory with 5  $\mu\text{m}$  200 Å Magic C18AQ (Michrom Bioresources, Inc., Auburn, CA, USA). After online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling via the P-mHFER, the  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeled digests and their counterparts ( $^{16}\text{O}$ ) retained on the trapping column were automatically fed into an analytical column by shifting the positions of the 6-port switching valve (shown as dotted lines in Fig. 1) and separated by binary gradient RPLC for MS/MS analysis. During the nLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis, the P-mHFER system was flushed by switching the flow pathways with the 10-port switching valve (left side of Fig. 1) to the initial condition to wash out the used trypsin or undigested protein in preparation for the next  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling.

### 2.4. mTRAQ

The mTRAQ reagent, a non-isobaric labeling reagent, was applied to both protein standards of cytochrome C and AGP, to be compared to that of the quantitative results of P-mHFER-based  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling and detailed in the Supporting information.

### 2.5. nLC-ESI-MS/MS

The efficiency of the P-mHFER for online  $^{18}\text{O}$ -labeling was determined using the configuration of an nLC-ESI-MS/MS that is shown in Fig. 1, and details are in the Supporting information.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11020266>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11020266>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)