Accepted Manuscript

Modeling and forecasting (un) reliable realized covariances for more reliable financial decisions

Tim Bollerslev, Andrew J. Patton, Rogier Quaedvlieg

PII: S0304-4076(18)30118-0

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2018.05.004

Reference: ECONOM 4533

To appear in: Journal of Econometrics

Received date: 27 May 2017 Revised date: 8 February 2018 Accepted date: 21 May 2018



Please cite this article as: Bollerslev T., Patton A.J., Quaedvlieg R., Modeling and forecasting (un) reliable realized covariances for more reliable financial decisions. *Journal of Econometrics* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2018.05.004

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Modeling and Forecasting (Un)Reliable Realized Covariances for More Reliable Financial Decisions

This version: June 19, 2018

Tim Bollerslev^a, Andrew J. Patton^{b,*}, Rogier Quaedvlieg^c

^aDepartment of Economics, Duke University, NBER and CREATES

^bDepartment of Economics, Duke University

^cDepartment of Finance, Erasmus University Rotterdam

Abstract

We propose a new framework for modeling and forecasting common financial risks based on (un)reliable realized covariance measures constructed from high-frequency intraday data. Our new approach explicitly incorporates the effect of measurement errors and time-varying attenuation biases into the covariance forecasts, by allowing the ex-ante predictions to respond more (less) aggressively to changes in the ex-post realized covariance measures when they are more (less) reliable. Applying the new procedures in the construction of minimum variance and minimum tracking error portfolios results in reduced turnover and statistically superior positions compared to existing procedures. Translating these statistical improvements into economic gains, we find that under empirically realistic assumptions a risk-averse investor would be willing to pay up to 170 basis points per year to shift to using the new class of forecasting models.

Keywords: Common risks; realized covariances; forecasting; asset allocation; portfolio construction.

JEL: C32, C58, G11, G32

^{*}Corresponding author: Department of Economics, Duke University, 213 Social Sciences Building, Box 90097, Durham, NC 27708-0097, United States. Email: andrew.patton@duke.edu. Phone: +1 919 660 1849. Fax: +1 919 684 8974.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11020488

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11020488

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>