1

[Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences \(2016\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2016.10.004) [xxx](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2016.10.004), xxx–xxx

King Saud University

Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences

www.ksu.edu.sa [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/10183639)

² ORIGINAL ARTICLES

The influence of membrane excitations on ⁵ bioethanol production in a forced fermentor

M.E.E. Abashar

7 Department of Chemical Engineering, College of Engineering, King Saud University, P.O. Box 800, Riyadh 11421, Saudi Arabia

8 Received 10 June 2016; accepted 17 October 2016

$11 \over 12$ KEYWORDS

- 13 Bioethanol;
- 14 Chaos;
- 15 Hyperchaos;
- 16 Membrane excitations;
- 17 Quasi-periodicity

18

 \overline{q}

Abstract Modeling and numerical simulation are implemented to investigate the influence of membrane excitations on the production of bioethanol in a forced fermentor. Three well developed attractors in the frequency locking, quasi-periodic and chaotic regions are subjected to membrane excitations. Two membrane configurations are employed for each case: the shock type and the linear dynamic membranes. It is interesting that all membrane configurations exhibit wealthy regions of complex dynamics and very beneficial to the fermentor performance. The simulated results reveal various fascinating phenomena such as hyperchaos, chaos and large bubble windows. It was shown that the chaotic regions are the attractive and best potential regions for the implementation of the membrane excitations. It is interesting to note that when the shock type and linear membranes are imposed on chaotic regions, the hyperchaotic attractors arise and have substantial impact in increasing the average ethanol yield to 18.98% and 19.29%, respectively. It is obvious that the linear dynamic membranes are superior to the shock type membranes with respect to the bioreactor performance. The bubble windows show an incomplete odd sequence of bubble birth of 1, 3 and 5 bubbles.

 2016 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

19 1. Introduction

 There has been growing interest to understand the dynamic behavior and the associated nonlinear phenomena of biologi- cal reactors [\(Abashar and Elnashaie, 2011; Abashar, 2012,](#page--1-0) [2011; Bruce et al., 1991; Cristina et al., 2011; Garhyan and](#page--1-0) [Elnashaie, 2004a,b; Jobses et al., 1986, 1985; Parulekar,](#page--1-0) 25 2001, 1998; Sinčić [and Bailey, 1980; Villadsen et al., 2011](#page--1-0)). It

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.

is well known that the efficient design, operation, control 26 and performance of chemical reactors are profoundly affected 27 by the dynamic phenomena and the related parameter space 28 [\(Abashar, 1994; Kevrekidis and Aris, 1986; Kevrekidis et al.,](#page--1-0) 29 [1986; Mankin and Hudson, 1984](#page--1-0)). The nonlinear phenomena 30 can be attractive and beneficial to enhance the yield and selec- 31 tivity of products or can be harmful and in this case proper 32 control actions are needed to be taken [\(Abashar and](#page--1-0) 33 [Elnashaie, 2010; Abashar, 1994](#page--1-0)). The compelling interest of 34 the bioreactor designers in the nonlinear phenomena necessi- 35 tates extensive parameter space exploration coupled with a 36 deeper fundamental understanding. Bifurcation, catastrophe, 37 singularity and chaos theories have played a central role in this 38

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2016.10.004>

1018-3639 2016 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).

Please cite this article in press as: Abashar, M.E.E. The influence of membrane excitations on bioethanol production in a forced fermentor. Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2016.10.004>

JKSUES 222 No. of Pages 11

2 M.E.E. Abashar

80

106

- m_p maintenance factor based on product formation, kg/kg h
- P rate constant, h^{-1}
- q volumetric flowrate, m^3/h
- t time, h
 V volume
- V volume, m³
 Y_p ethanol yiel
- Y_p ethanol yield, kg/kg
 \overline{Y}_P average ethanol yield
- \bar{Y}_{P} average ethanol yield, kg/kg
 Y_{sx} yield factor of biomass on su yield factor of biomass on substrate, kg/kg
- Y_{px} yield factor of biomass on product, kg/kg
Z dimensionless concentration
- dimensionless concentration

Greek letters

- λ_i ith Lyapunov exponent
- ρ ethanol density, kg/m³
- τ dimensionless time
- $\dot{\omega}$ forcing frequency, rad/h
- 39 respect[\(Jackson, 1989; Kevrekidis and Aris, 1986; Kevrekidis](#page--1-0) 40 [et al., 2007, 1986; Taylor et al., 1993](#page--1-0)).

 Several investigations have shown that the bioethanol reac- tor exhibits complex dynamic behavior with a wide variety of fascinating nonlinear dynamic phenomena such as multiplicity of steady states, quasi-periodicity, chaos and multi-stability ([Abashar, 2012, 2011; Garhyan and Elnashaie, 2004a,b](#page--1-0)). However, the bioethanol reactor suffers from a serious prob- lem of the ethanol inhibition of fermentation microorganisms. Many researchers have reported that the in situ removal of the ethanol using a permselective membrane has a significant effect to minimize ethanol inhibition and to enhance the ethanol yield [\(Garhyan and Elnashaie, 2004a,b; Ikegami et al., 1997;](#page--1-0) [Nomura et al., 2002\)](#page--1-0). The membranes also have been utilized to separate and recycle microorganism cells to the bioreactor in order to enhance the bioethanol production ([Nishiwaki](#page--1-0) [and Dunn, 1999\)](#page--1-0).

 The membrane excitations means, the disturbance of the membrane by altering its state dynamically are extremely scarce subject in the literature. The purpose of this work is to explore and reveal for the first time the dynamic sequence of events happen and the possible dynamic phenomena might arise when the sinusoidally forced bioethanol fermentor is sub- jected to membrane excitations. Evaluation of the potential benefits of the membrane excitations from fundamental and practical standpoints is also explored.

65 2. Bioreaction kinetics

66 [Jobses et al. \(1985, 1986\)](#page--1-0) reported the bioreaction kinetics for $67₆₈$ bioethanol formation by Z. mobilis as follows:

$$
r_x = \mu C_x \tag{1}
$$

71

$$
r_{s} = \frac{r_{x}}{Y_{sx}} + m_{s}C_{x} = \left[\frac{\mu}{Y_{sx}} + m_{s}\right]C_{x}
$$
 (2)

$$
r_p = \frac{r_x}{Y_{px}} + m_p C_x = \left[\frac{\mu}{Y_{px}} + m_p\right] C_x
$$
 (3)

$$
r_e = \left[\frac{(k_1 - k_2 C_p + k_3 C_p^2)}{K_s + C_s}\right] C_s C_e \tag{4}
$$

$$
\mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} C_s}{\left(K_s + C_s\right)}\tag{5}
$$

where r_x , r_s , r_p , r_e are the rates of biomass growth, substrate \qquad 83 consumption, ethanol production and key component 84 formation, respectively; C_x , C_s , C_P , C_e are the concentrations 85 of the biomass, substrate, bioethanol and key component, 86 respectively; k_{1-3} are rate constants. Y_{sx} , Y_{px} are the yield 87 factor of biomass on the substrate and product, respectively; 88 m_s , m_p are the maintenance factor for substrate and product \approx formation, respectively; μ_{max} is the maximum specific growth 90 rate and K_s is the Monod constant. 91

The bioethanol inhibition mechanism is offered by [Jobses](#page--1-0) 92 [et al. \(1985, 1986\)](#page--1-0) as follows: the formation of an internal 93 key component (e) such as RNA (ribonucleic acid) or protein 94 produces the maximum growth rate of the biomass. The for- 95 mation of the bioethanol inhibits the formation of the internal 96 key component and the growth rate of the biomass to attain 97 the maximum rate. 98

3. Formulation of reactor model 99

A schematic diagram of the bioreactor-separator system with a 100 membrane is depicted in [Fig. 1.](#page--1-0) The dynamics of this system is 101 described by a set of ordinary differential equations as follows 102 ([Abashar, 2011; Jobses et al., 1986, 1985\)](#page--1-0): 103

Reactor: 104 Biomass: 105

$$
\frac{dC_{x_1}}{dt} = \frac{PC_{s_1}C_{x_1}}{(K_s + C_{s_1})} - \frac{q_1}{V_1}C_{x_1}
$$
\n(6)

Please cite this article in press as: Abashar, M.E.E. The influence of membrane excitations on bioethanol production in a forced fermentor. Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2016.10.004>

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11020658>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11020658>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)