

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/topol



On the weak tightness and power homogeneous compacta



Nathan Carlson

Department of Mathematics, California Lutheran University, 60 W. Olsen Rd, MC 3750, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 5 June 2018
Received in revised form 21
September 2018
Accepted 24 September 2018
Available online 26 September 2018

MSC: 54A25 54B10

Keywords: Cardinality bounds Cardinal invariants Countably tight space Homogeneous space

ABSTRACT

Motivated by results of Juhász and van Mill in [13], we define the cardinal invariant wt(X), the weak tightness of a topological space X, and show that $|X| \leq 2^{L(X)wt(X)\psi(X)}$ for any Hausdorff space X (Theorem 2.8). As $wt(X) \leq t(X)$ for any space X, this generalizes the well-known cardinal inequality $|X| \leq 2^{L(X)t(X)\psi(X)}$ for Hausdorff spaces (Arhangel'skiĭ [1], Šapirovskiĭ [17]) in a new direction. Theorem 2.8 is generalized further using covers by G_{κ} -sets, where κ is a cardinal, to show that if X is a power homogeneous compactum with a countable cover of dense, countably tight subspaces then $|X| \leq \mathfrak{c}$, the cardinality of the continuum. This extends a result in [13] to the power homogeneous setting.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 2006 R. de la Vega [10] answered a long-standing question of A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ by showing that the cardinality of any homogeneous compactum is at most $2^{t(X)}$, where the cardinal invariant t(X) is the tightness of X (Definition 2.1). This was a landmark result in the theory of homogeneous topological spaces and has motivated subsequent work and generalizations. Recall that a space X is homogeneous if for all $x, y \in X$ there exists a homeomorphism $h: x \to y$ such that h(x) = y. Roughly, a space is homogeneous if all points in the space share identical topological properties. A space is power homogeneous if there exists a cardinal κ such that X^{κ} is homogeneous.

Recently, Juhász and van Mill [13] introduced fundamentally new techniques and gave the following intricate improvement of De la Vega's theorem. Their result generalizes that theorem in the countably tight case.

E-mail address: ncarlson@callutheran.edu.

Theorem 1.1 (Juhász, van Mill [13]). If a compactum X is the union of countably many dense countably tight subspaces and X^{ω} is homogeneous, then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$.

It was observed by Arhangel'skii in [3] that De la Vega's theorem in fact follows from the following theorem of Pykeev involving the G_{κ} -modification X_{κ} of a space X, the space formed on the same underlying set as X with topology generated by the G_{κ} -sets. (See Definition 3.1.)

Theorem 1.2 (Pytkeev, [14]). If X is compact and κ a cardinal, then $L(X_{\kappa}) \leq 2^{t(X) \cdot \kappa}$.

A short, direct proof of De la Vega's theorem using Pytkeev's theorem was given in [8]. In addition, a generalization of Pytkeev's theorem proved in that paper has as a short corollary the well-known cardinality bound $2^{L(X)t(X)\psi(X)}$ for the cardinality of any Hausdorff space X (Arhangel'skiĭ [1], Šapirovskiĭ [17]). This established that the G_{κ} -modification of a space can be used to derive related cardinality bounds for both general spaces and homogeneous spaces simultaneously. These related bounds may be thought of as "companion" bounds. In the compact setting, De la Vega's theorem may be thought of as the homogeneous companion bound to the cardinality bound $2^{\chi(X)}$ for general compacta. Both follow from Pytkeev's theorem. Results in a similar vein occur in [5], [6], [7], [9], [18], and elsewhere.

In this study we develop the companion bound for general spaces to Theorem 1.1 above. To this end we introduce the weak tightness wt(X) of a space (Definition 2.3), which satisfies $wt(X) \leq t(X)$, and prove the following theorem and central result in this paper. For a space X, the G_{κ}^{c} -modification X_{κ}^{c} of X is a variation of X_{κ} (see Definition 3.1). Note that if X is regular then $X_{\kappa}^{c} \approx X_{\kappa}$.

Main Theorem. For any space X and infinite cardinal κ , $L(X_{\kappa}^c) \leq 2^{L(X)wt(X) \cdot \kappa}$.

From the above theorem several corollaries follow. First, one corollary is that $|X| \leq 2^{L(X)wt(X)\psi(X)}$ for any Hausdorff space X (Theorem 2.8). This improves the Arhangel'skiĭ–Šapirovskiĭ result. A second corollary is an extension of the Juhász-van Mill result 1.1 into the power homogeneous setting. We show in Theorem 4.6 that if X is power homogeneous compactum that is the countable union of countably tight and dense in X then $|X| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. This also generalizes the following theorem in [4]: if X is a power homogeneous, countably tight compactum then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$.

Third, a result of Bella and Spadaro from [7] follows as a corollary to the Main Theorem and can be strengthened to the power homogeneous setting. In that paper Theorem 1.1 was extended using the G_{δ} -modification X_{δ} by showing that if X is a homogeneous compactum which is the union of countably my dense countably tight subspaces, then $L(X_{\delta}) \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Using the Main Theorem, we show in Theorem 4.7 that this result holds even if the homogeneity property is replaced with the weaker power homogeneity property.

Finally, observe that it follows from the Main Theorem that if X is compact and κ a cardinal, then $L(X_{\kappa}^c) \leq 2^{wt(X) \cdot \kappa}$. This is a strengthening of Pytkeev's theorem.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we define the cardinal invariant wt(X) and give a direct proof that if X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq 2^{L(X)wt(X)\psi(X)}$ in Theorem 2.8. This uses the fact that if X is Hausdorff and $D \subseteq X$, then $|\overline{D}| \leq |D|^{wt(X)\psi_c(X)}$ (Theorem 2.5). In §3 we prove the full generalization of Theorem 2.8, the Main Theorem mentioned above. Corollaries involving compact power homogeneous spaces follow in §4.

For all undefined notions we refer the reader to [11] and [12]. We make no implicit assumptions concerning separation axioms, although by compactum we will mean a compact, Hausdorff space.

2. An improved cardinality bound for Hausdorff spaces

In this section we give a direct proof of an improved cardinality bound for Hausdorff spaces. We begin with three definitions. First, we recall the definition of the tightness t(X) of a space X. Observe any first countable space is countably tight.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11024704

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11024704

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>