Neuroscience



A. N. Heeringa et al. / Neuroscience xxx (2018) xxx-xxx

Glutamatergic Projections to the Cochlear Nucleus are Redistributed in Tinnitus

Amarins N. Heeringa, ^a Calvin Wu, ^a Christopher Chung, ^a Michael West, ^a David Martel, ^a Leslie Liberman, ^b
 M. Charles Liberman ^b and Susan E. Shore ^a*

7 ^a Kresge Hearing Research Institute, Otolaryngology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48104, USA

⁸ ^b Eaton-Peabody Laboratories, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary and Department of Otolaryngology, Harvard Medical School, Boston,

9 MA 02114, USA

1

Abstract—Tinnitus alters auditory-somatosensory plasticity in the cochlear nucleus (CN). Correspondingly, 10 bimodal auditory-somatosensory stimulation treatment attenuates tinnitus, both in animals and humans (Marks et al., 2018). Therefore, we hypothesized that tinnitus is associated with altered somatosensory innervation of the CN. Here, we studied the expression of vesicular glutamate transporters 1 and 2 (VGLUT1 and VGLUT2) in the CN. which reveals glutamatergic projections from the cochlea as well as somatosensory systems to this brainstem auditory center. Guinea pigs were unilaterally exposed to narrowband noise and behaviorally tested for tinnitus using gap-prepulse inhibition of the acoustic startle. Following physiological and behavioral measures, brain sections were immunohistochemically stained for VGLUT1 or VGLUT2. Puncta density was determined for each region of the ipsilateral and contralateral CN. Tinnitus was associated with an ipsilateral upregulation of VGLUT2 puncta density in the granule cell domain (GCD) and anteroventral CN (AVCN). Furthermore, there was a tinnitus-associated interaural asymmetry for VGLUT1 expression in the AVCN and deep layer of the dorsal CN (DCN3), due to contralateral downregulation of VGLUT1 expression. These tinnitus-related glutamatergic imbalances were reversed upon bimodal stimulation treatment. Tinnitus-associated ipsilateral upregulation of VGLUT2-positive projections likely derives from somatosensory projections to the GCD and AVCN. This upregulation may underlie the neurophysiological hallmarks of tinnitus in the CN. Reversing the increased ipsilateral glutamatergic innervation in the CN is likely a key mechanism in treating tinnitus. © 2018 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Key words: auditory, cross-modal compensation, noise exposure, synaptopathy, VGLUT1, VGLUT2.

12

INTRODUCTION

Tinnitus, or ringing in the ears, is defined as an auditory sensation in the absence of a corresponding external sound source and affects approximately 10–15% of the world's population (Bhatt et al., 2016; Shore et al., 2016). Tinnitus appears to be correlated with aberrant neural activity along the central auditory pathway, including in the cochlear nucleus (CN) (Eggermont and Roberts, 2015; Shore et al., 2016). In animal models of tinnitus, fusiform cells, the principal output neurons of the dorsal CN (DCN), show increased spontaneous firing rates, enhanced synchrony, and enhanced bursting (Brozoski et al., 2002; Kaltenbach et al., 2004; Dehmel et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2016a).

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In addition to processing auditory information from the 26 auditory nerve, the CN also receives input from other 27 sensory modalities including brainstem nuclei of the 28 somatosensory system, the spinal trigeminal nucleus 29 (Sp5) and the cuneate nucleus (Zhou and Shore, 2004; 30 Haenggeli et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2011). Previous stud-31 ies have shown that auditory-somatosensory plasticity in 32 the DCN is altered following tinnitus (Dehmel et al., 2012; 33 Koehler and Shore, 2013; Marks et al., 2018). This neural 34 correlate of tinnitus is likely to be associated with "somatic 35 tinnitus", in which tinnitus sufferers can modulate the loud-36 ness and pitch of their tinnitus by somatic maneuvers 37

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.09.008

0306-4522/© 2018 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1

^{*}Corresponding author. Address: Kresge Hearing Research Inst., 1100 W. Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48104, USA. Fax: +1-734-764-0014.

E-mail address: sushore@umich.edu (S. E. Shore).

ABR, auditory brainstem response; AVCN. Abbreviations: anteroventral cochlear nucleus; CN, cochlear nucleus; DCN, dorsal cochlear nucleus; DCN1, molecular layer of the dorsal cochlear nucleus; DCN3, deep layer of the dorsal cochlear nucleus; ET, exposed tinnitus; ENT, exposed no tinnitus; ET_T, exposed tinnitus treated; GCD, granule cell domain; GI, gap index; GPIAS, gap-pre pulse inhibition of the acoustic startle reflex; icp, inferior cerebellar peduncle; N, sham-exposed control animals; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PFA, paraformaldehyde; PVCN, posteroventral cochlear nucleus; Sp5, spinal trigeminal nucleus; sp5, spinal trigeminal tract; TTS, temporary threshold shift; tz, trapezoid body; VCN, ventral cochlear nucleus; VGLUT1, vesicular glutamate transporter 1; VGLUT2, vesicular glutamate transporter 2.

118

126

143

2

such as jaw clenching (Levine, 1999; Sanchez et al., 2002; Ostermann et al., 2016).

Projections from the somatosensory system to the CN 40 terminate primarily in the granule cell domain (GCD), 41 which encompasses regions that contain granule and 42 small cells that surround the ventral CN (VCN) and form 43 a layer between the molecular and deep layers of the 44 45 DCN. Somatosensory projections terminate to a lesser extent in the magnocellular regions of the anteroventral 46 CN (AVCN) and posteroventral CN (PVCN) and in the 47 deep layer of the DCN (DCN3) (Zhou and Shore, 2004; 48 Haenggeli et al., 2005; Zeng et al., 2011). The 49 somatosensory-to-CN projection is glutamatergic 50 (Haenggeli et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2007; Zeng et al., 51 2012) and can be distinguished from auditory nerve gluta-52 matergic projections by the subtype of the vesicular gluta-53 mate transporter (VGLUT), which mediates pre-synaptic 54 uptake of glutamate into synaptic vesicles (Takamori 55 et al., 2000, 2001; Fremeau et al., 2002). Type I auditory 56 nerve fibers, the myelinated component of the primary 57 sensory nerve, co-label exclusively with the subtype 58 VGLUT1, whereas somatosensory projections primarily 59 60 co-label with the subtype VGLUT2 and to a minor extent 61 with VGLUT1 in normal-hearing animals (Zhou et al., 62 2007; Zeng et al., 2012). Thus, studying the distributions 63 of glutamatergic markers, VGLUT1 and VGLUT2, across 64 regions of the CN provides insight into the relative inner-65 vation of the CN by the auditory nerve and other, noncochlear systems, including the somatosensory system, 66

Previous studies have shown that severe cochlear 67 damage results in a redistribution of VGLUT subtypes in 68 the CN: auditory nerve-associated VGLUT1 expression 69 decreases and non-auditory nerve-associated VGLUT2 70 expression increases (Zeng et al., 2009; Barker et al., 71 2012; Heeringa et al., 2016). In particular, the increases 72 in VGLUT2 expression following unilateral cochlear dam-73 74 age corresponds to an upregulation of somatosensory 75 projections to the CN (Zeng et al., 2012). We hypothesized that similar cross-modal compensation in the CN 76 contributes to altered auditory-somatosensory plasticity 77 in tinnitus. Here, we studied VGLUT1 and VGLUT2 78 79 expression across CN regions in unilaterally noiseexposed animals with and without behavioral evidence 80 of tinnitus. Tinnitus animals showed an ipsilateral upregu-81 82 lation of VGLUT2 puncta, possibly derived from somatosensory projections to the CN, which was 83 reversed following bimodal auditory-somatosensory stim-84 ulation treatment that can reverse tinnitus in animals and 85 humans (Marks et al., 2018). 86

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

88 Experimental set-up

87

Twenty-four adult pigmented guinea pigs of either sex 89 (Elm Hill Laboratories, 2-3 weeks of age) were used in 90 this study. Animals were socially housed and had 91 ad libitum access to food and water. Animals were 92 unilaterally noise- or sham-exposed twice, with a period 93 of four weeks between exposures. To determine the 94 presence of tinnitus, gap pre-pulse inhibition of the 95 acoustic startle reflex (GPIAS) was assessed before 96

and after noise exposures (Turner et al., 2006; Berger 97 et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2016a). Following tinnitus assess-98 ment, a subset of tinnitus animals was treated with a 99 custom-designed bimodal stimulation treatment to 100 reverse tinnitus (Marks et al., 2018). For final data analy-101 sis, animals were divided into 4 groups: 6 sham-exposed 102 control animals (N), 8 noise-exposed no-tinnitus animals 103 (ENT), and 10 noise-exposed tinnitus animals (ET), of 104 which 4 were treated with the bimodal stimulation para-105 digm (ET_T). In vivo neurophysiological recordings of 106 DCN fusiform cells were performed 12 weeks following 107 the last exposure after which the animals were transcar-108 dially perfused and cochleae and brains were collected 109 for further processing. Neurophysiological results are 110 described in previous reports (Wu et al., 2016a; Marks 111 et al., 2018). All procedures were approved by the Univer-112 sity's laboratory animal care and use committee, con-113 formed to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of 114 Laboratory Animals, and followed the Society for Neuro-115 science's Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Neuro-116 science Research. 117

Noise exposure

Animals were anesthetized with ketamine (40 mg/kg,119Putney) and xylazine (10 mg/kg, Lloyd) and were120unilaterally exposed to the left ear with narrow-band121noise (centered at 7 kHz, 0.4 octave bandwidth, 97 dB122SPL for 2 h). The exposure was repeated after four123weeks. Sham-exposed animals underwent the same124procedures, without turning on the intense noise.125

Auditory brainstem responses

Cochlear thresholds were determined by auditory 127 brainstem responses (ABR) recorded before (t0), 128 immediately after (t1), and pre-surgery (tf; see Fig. 3A) 129 (Wu et al., 2016a). ABR stimuli (8 kHz, 12 kHz, and 130 16 kHz tone bursts, 0-90 dB SPL in 10 dB steps, 2 ms 131 cos² rise/fall times, 1024 repetitions, 30 Hz presentation 132 rate) were presented using SigGenRP and BioSigRP 133 (Tucker-Davis Technologies Inc. [TDT]). Subdermal elec-134 trodes were placed on the vertex and behind each pinna 135 for reference, recording, and grounding, respectively. 136 ABR waveforms were visually inspected for threshold, 137 defined by the lowest stimulus level in which wave 4 138 (the largest wave) was clearly detectable. Wave 1 ampli-139 tude, representing auditory nerve firing (P1-N1), was 140 determined for each stimulus level and frequency using 141 a custom MatLab program. 142

Tinnitus assessment

The presence of tinnitus was determined using GPIAS as 144 previously described (Turner et al., 2006; Koehler and 145 Shore, 2013; Wu et al., 2016a; Marks et al., 2018). Briefly, 146 the animal's startle reflex in response to a 20-ms, 95-dB 147 SPL broadband noise pulse was measured by video 148 tracking the pinna Prever reflex (Berger et al., 2013; Wu 149 et al., 2016a). A 50-ms silent gap in a 65-dB SPL constant 150 background carrier (band limited at 8-10, 12-14, or 16-151 18 kHz) was presented 100 ms before the startle pulse. 152

Please cite this article in press as: Heeringa AN et al. Glutamatergic Projections to the Cochlear Nucleus are Redistributed in Tinnitus. Neuroscience (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.09.008

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11025221

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11025221

Daneshyari.com