International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology 115 (2018) 145–148

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijporl

Hearing loss in preschool children from a low income South African community

Shouneez Yousuf Hussein[a,*], De Wet Swanepoel[a,b,c], Faheema Mahomed-Asmail[a], Leigh Biagio de Jager[a]

[a] Department of Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, University of Pretoria, Lynwood Road, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa
[b] Ear Sciences Centre, School of Surgery, The University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Australia
[c] Ear Science Institute Australia, Subiaco, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Hearing loss
Preschool children
Low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)
Early childhood development (ECD)

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to determine and describe hearing loss among preschool children in a South African community representative of typical low- and middle income countries (LMIC).
Method: Children between the ages of 3–6 years received a hearing screening at their early childhood development (ECD) center. If a child failed the hearing screening, he/she was seen for a follow-up rescreen and diagnostic assessment if necessary at their ECD center or closest referral clinic. Diagnostic testing consisted of otoscopy, tympanommetry and pure-tone diagnostic audiometry.
Results: A total of 6424 children were screened at ECD centers with a referral rate of 24.9%. Follow-up assessments were conducted on 45.3% (725) of these children. Diagnostic testing revealed that 9.3% of children presented with impacted cerumen and 18.7% presented with a hearing loss (56.5% binaural). Binary logistic regression revealed no gender or age effects ($p > 0.05$). Conductive hearing loss (65.2%) was the most common type of hearing loss found in children.
Conclusions: Most preschool children who failed the hearing screening and received a diagnostic assessment were in need of intervention services for conductive hearing losses, followed by sensorineural and mixed losses.

1. Introduction

Hearing loss is the most prevalent disabling condition globally [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) [2], 466 million people globally are affected by disabling hearing loss (> 40 dB HL), with 34 million of these being children. Disabling hearing loss in children constitutes a barrier to their optimal development of speech, language and cognitive skills, resulting in poor literacy and difficulty progressing in school [3,4]. This in turn has detrimental socio-economic consequences, particularly in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) where more than 80% of people with hearing loss live [4].

Newborn hearing screening (NHS) programs have been recommended for the early identification of children affected by hearing loss. However, such programs are still not mandated by hospitals in LMICs, such as in sub-Saharan Africa, where national health systems are too weak to bear the added burden of non-fatal but disabling disorders [5,6]. Even if children were screened at birth, a large proportion of hearing loss presents as delayed-onset hearing loss [7]. Additionally, approximately 35% of preschoolers will have repeated episodes of ear infection that almost always cause temporary hearing loss [8]. Therefore, regular hearing screenings throughout early childhood is necessary [9–11].

Early childhood development (ECD) centres are aimed at providing emotional, cognitive and physical development of children from birth to school going age [12]. These ECD centers have the potential to serve as the first point of access to preventative hearing health care to children who were not screened at birth, or who later acquired a childhood hearing loss, prior to school entry [12,13]. Determining the prevalence of hearing loss in this population is an important step to ensure adequate planning and successful implementation of hearing care in such ECD centers. A number of studies have already reported varying prevalence rates of hearing loss among school children within LMICs. These figures ranged from as low as 1.4% in China [7], 1.75% in Southwestern Saudi Arabia [14] and 2.2% in South Africa [15], to as high as 11.9% in India [16] and 20.9% in Egypt [17].

Varying prevalence rates in preschool children were also reported in sub-Saharan Africa, within Zimbabwe (2.4%) [18] and Nigeria (21.3%) [19]. The main causes for the high rate reported by Adebola et al.

* Corresponding author. Department of Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, University of Pretoria, Lynwood Road, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa.
E-mail address: shouneezyousuf@gmail.com (S. Yousuf Hussein).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2018.09.032
Received 27 July 2018; Received in revised form 28 September 2018; Accepted 30 September 2018
Available online 03 October 2018
0165-5876/

(2013) was the presence of otitis media (13.9%) and impacted cerumen (21.8%). High incidence rates of otitis media during the first five years of life have been found to be greatest within sub-Saharan Africa and South-Asia [20]. Biagio, Swanepoel, Laurent and Lundberg [21] indicated a high prevalence of 16.5% for children attending South African primary healthcare clinics, with a higher prevalence in younger (31.4%) than in older children (16.7%).

Whilst a number of studies have reported on the prevalence of hearing loss, evidence on the characteristics and causes of hearing impairment across Africa is very limited [4,22,23]. Methods of determining hearing loss also vary across existing studies with some basing it on a screen result only, whilst others require diagnostic confirmation. This makes it difficult to compare prevalence data across studies, limiting the utility for improving service delivery [22]. Furthermore, research conducted within the South African context often focuses on the school-aged population rather than more-difficult to test preschool-aged children. Determining the occurrence and profile of hearing loss in this population is an important step to ensure informed planning and implementation of early childhood screening programs to promote school-readiness. The present study aimed to determine and describe hearing loss among preschool children (3–6 years) in a South African community representative of typical LMIC contexts.

2. Method

2.1. Context

This study was conducted in the community of Mamelodi, City of Tshwane, Gauteng, South Africa. Census indicates 110 703 households within the community of which only 61% are formal dwellings [24]. The unofficial population of Mamelodi is currently estimated close to one million [24].

2.2. Study population

Hearing screenings were offered to two hundred and fifty ECD centers within the community of Mamelodi East and West. ECD centers (crèches) included both public and private facilities that provided learning and support to children between the ages of three to six years. This was the first screening opportunity for majority of these children due to a lack of NHS services available in the public health care system [5,6]. If consent was obtained, these children received a hearing screening after which they were referred to their nearest clinic for a diagnostic assessment if necessary. Diagnostic assessments were also conducted on children aged seven years because they were six years of age at the time of screening.

2.3. Data collection

2.3.1. Screening phase

Five community healthcare workers (CHWs) were trained to conduct hearing screenings within ECD centers. If consent was obtained from the ECD center and the child's parent/guardian, hearing screening was conducted using the hearScreen™ smartphone application (hearX group, Pretoria, South Africa) operated on Samsung J2 Galaxy smartphones (Andriod OS, 5.1). Smartphones were connected to supra-aural Sennheiser HD280 Pro headphones (Sennheiser, Wedemark, Germany) and calibrated according to prescribed standards (ISO 389–1:1998). A sweep was performed at the test frequencies of 1, 2 and 4 kHz bilaterally at a screening intensity of 25 dB HL. Failure to respond at any frequency in any ear constituted an initial fail. In such cases, children were reconditioned and an immediate rescreen was initiated. If a child referred the immediate rescreen at the ECD center by the same criteria, he/she was referred to their local clinic for a follow-up diagnostic assessment. This was done by automatically sending a text message notification to parents via the mHealth Studio (hearX group, Pretoria, South Africa) cloud-based server.

2.3.2. Diagnostic phase

The first author or a qualified audiologist based at the local clinics initially rescreened children who attended their follow-up appointment using the hearScreen™ smartphone application. This was done to reduce false positive results and minimize the need for unnecessary diagnostic assessments at the clinics where resources and time are limited. A number of children were also seen for follow-up assessments at their ECD center, rather than at the clinic, in order to improve follow-up rates. These children also received a second screen before determining if diagnostic assessment was necessary.

Children who received a diagnostic assessment underwent the following assessments. The external ear canal and tympanic membrane were examined using a handheld Welch Allyn (Welch Allyn, South Africa (Pty)(Ltd.) or Heine mini 3000 (Heine, Germany) otoscope. Any abnormalities were noted. If equipment was available at the clinic, tympanometry was conducted to determine middle ear status using the GSI Auto Tymp (Grayson Stadler, Eden Prairie, USA) or an Interacoustics Impedance Audiometer AT 235 (William Demant, Smørum, Denmark). Results were recorded in terms of middle ear pressure, static compliance and ear canal volume and classified based on the modified Jerger classification [25]. Diagnostic audiometry was performed using either a KUDUwave (eMoyo, Johannesburg, South Africa) Type 2 Clinical Audiometer (IEC 60645–1/2) or the hearTest™ smartphone application (hearX group, Pretoria, South Africa) operated on Samsung J2 Galaxy smartphones (Andriod OS, 5.1). Diagnostic air- and bone-conduction audiometry was determined across 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 kHz. Testing began at 1000 Hz in the left ear at 40 dB HL. Thresholds were obtained using the routine 10 dB descending and 5 dB ascending method (Hughson-Westlake method) and was only conducted down to 15 dB HL. Testing below 15 dB HL was not attempted due to environmental noise, and since the hearing of children is considered normal if all thresholds are at/or below 15 dB HL [26,27]. Both audiometers actively monitored noise levels throughout the test procedure thereby guiding the audiologist to minimize exceeded maximum permissible ambient noise levels.

2.4. Data analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS v25 (Chicago, Illinois). Descriptive statistical measures were used to analyze screening results, tympanometric findings, diagnostic results and otological status. Binomial logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the effects of age and gender on the prevalence of hearing loss, with $p < 0.05$ used to indicate a significant effect.

3. Results

A total of 6424 children between the ages of 3–6 years were screened at ECD centers over a period of 12 months, with an initial referral rate of 24.9% (1602 children). Follow-up assessments were conducted on 45.3% (725) of these children at their ECD center (330 children) or closest referral clinic (395 children). During follow-up assessments these children received a second screening and immediate diagnostic assessment when necessary.

A total of 270 children (66.7% female) were seen for a diagnostic hearing assessment, of which 143 and 127 children were tested at clinics and ECD centers respectively. Impacted and excessive cerumen were the most common otoscopic findings after normal ear canal and tympanic membrane findings (Table 1). Of these children, 25 (9.3%) that presented with impacted cerumen (7 unilateral; 18 bilateral) were excluded from data analysis, as they could not be tested diagnostically due to limited resources and time constraints. These children were referred for management. Additionally, 16 children (5.9%) were excluded due to inconsistent responses or the presence of excessive noise.