Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Engineering Structures

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/engstruct

Web crippling of cold-formed ferritic stainless steel square and rectangular hollow sections

existing and modified provisions.



Hai-Ting Li, Ben Young*

Department of Civil Engineering, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Cold-formed steel Design rules Direct strength method Ferritic stainless steel Hollow sections Web crippling	This paper presents experimental and numerical investigations on cold-formed ferritic stainless steel (CFFSS) square and rectangular hollow sections undergoing web crippling. A total of 44 web crippling tests was carried out under the four codified web crippling load cases as per the American cold-formed stainless steel specification. Numerical model of each load case was built and verified with the web crippling test results. After the verification, a parametric study comprised of 154 finite element analyses was undertaken thereafter to gain further insight into the behaviour of the CFFSS sections undergoing web crippling. The current design provisions in the American, Australian/New Zealand and European stainless steel sections were assessed. Moreover, the North American Specification (NAS) provisions for carbon and low-alloy steel sections were evaluated. Furthermore, design recommendations in the literature for stainless steel sections were examined. Improved design rules are proposed in this study for CFFSS square and rectangular hollow sections by modifying

1. Introduction

Cold-formed stainless steel (CFSS) square and rectangular hollow sections (SHS and RHS) become increasingly appealing in structural applications owing to their favourable characteristics such as aesthetic appearance, recyclability, durability, high torsional stiffness and so forth. Under local transverse bearing forces, the webs of SHS/RHS may cripple and, hence, web crippling check is crucial in designing CFSS SHS/RHS structural members. Currently, web crippling provisions are available in the American Specification (ASCE) [1], Australian/New Zealand Standard (AS/NZS) [2] and European Code (EC3) [3] for stainless steel structural members. However, note that the codified web crippling design guidelines in these stainless steel codes of practice were adopted from provisions of carbon steel sections [4]. This is primarily owing to a lack of investigation carried out on CFSS sections undergoing web crippling.

The investigation of web crippling behaviour on CFSS sections was conducted since the 1990s at Rand Afrikaans University on stiffened Csections subjected to Interior-One-Flange (IOF) [5] and End-One-Flange (EOF) [6] load cases. Talja and Salmi [7] pioneered the studies on stainless steel hollow sections. Six CFSS RHS specimens of austenitic grade (EN 1.4301) were investigated under the IOF load case [7]. Gardner et al. [8] studied the design of CFSS SHS/RHS of austenitic grade (EN 1.4318), and six experiments were carried out under the IOF load case. Zhou and Young [9,10] performed a set of experiments on CFSS SHS/RHS and design rules were proposed based on the North American Specification (NAS) [11] web crippling provisions with newly calibrated coefficients for austenitic [9] and high strength [10] stainless steels. It is noteworthy that the previous investigations on stainless steel members under web crippling have been mainly focused on austenitic stainless steels. On the other hand, ferritic stainless steels, having relatively lower initial material cost, may offer more viable alternatives for structural applications than other stainless steel grades [12,13]. Recently, a thorough project entitled Structural Applications of Ferritic Stainless Steels (SAFSS) was conducted in Europe for the purpose of increasing usage of ferritic grades in construction. In the SAFSS project, IOF and EOF tests were undertaken on ferritic SHS (two tests only) of grade EN 1.4509 [14]. A numerical study on ferritic hollow and hat sections under EOF and IOF loadings were undertaken by Bock et al. [15]. In addition, Islam and Young [16,17] investigated fibre reinforced polymer strengthening for ferritic (EN 1.4003) SHS/RHS undergoing web crippling. To date, however, investigations of ferritic SHS/RHS undergoing web crippling are still rather limited, especially under twoflange load cases, the End-Two-Flange (ETF) and Interior-Two-Flange (ITF).

NAS and Direct Strength Method. Reliability analysis was also undertaken to assess the reliability levels of the

In the present study, experimental and numerical investigations

E-mail address: young@hku.hk (B. Young).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.08.076

Received 20 May 2018; Received in revised form 21 August 2018; Accepted 23 August 2018 0141-0296/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Nomenclature			element analysis by using tensile material properties only nominal strength per web obtained from North American
в	overall flange width	- NAS	Specification using compressive flat material properties
E	elastic modulus	$P_{\rm MAS}^{\rm T}$	nominal strength per web obtained from North American
E^{C}	elastic modulus from transverse compressive flat coupon	MAS	Specification using tensile flat material properties
-	test	$P_{NAS#}^{C}$	nominal strength per web obtained from modified North
E^{T}	elastic modulus from longitudinal tensile flat coupon test	11110//	American Specification using compressive flat material
E	elastic modulus from longitudinal tensile corner coupon		properties
Corner	test	$P_{\text{NAS}\#}^{\text{T}}$	nominal strength per web obtained from modified North
Н	overall web height		American Specification using tensile flat material proper-
L	specimen length		ties
N	bearing length	$P_{Z\&Y}^{C}$	nominal strength per web obtained from design rules
P^{C}	nominal strength per web calculated using transverse		suggested by Zhou and Young [10] using compressive flat
	compressive flat material properties		material properties
P^{T}	nominal strength per web calculated using longitudinal	$P_{\rm Z\&Y}^{\rm T}$	nominal strength per web obtained from design rules
	tensile flat material properties		suggested by Zhou and Young [10] using tensile flat ma-
$P_{\rm ASCE}^{\rm C}$	nominal strength per web obtained from ASCE		terial properties
- ASCE	Specification using compressive flat material properties	$P_{\rm cr}$	nominal bearing buckling strength per web
P_{ASCE}^{T}	nominal strength per web obtained from ASCE	$P_{\rm m}$	mean value of test/FE strength to design prediction ratios
NBCL	Specification using tensile flat material properties	$P_{\rm u}$	test/FE web crippling strength per web
$P_{\rm DSM}^{\rm C}$	nominal strength per web obtained from modified direct	$P_{\rm y}$	nominal bearing yield strength per web
	strength method using compressive flat material proper-	R	outer corner radius
	ties	$V_{ m P}$	coefficient of variation of test/FE strength to design pre-
$P_{\rm DSM}^{\rm T}$	nominal strength per web obtained from modified direct		diction ratios
	strength method using tensile flat material properties	h	depth of web flat portion
$P_{\rm EC3}^{\rm C}$	nominal strength per web obtained from European Code	r	inner corner radius
_	using compressive flat material properties	t	web thickness
$P_{\rm EC3}^{\rm T}$	nominal strength per web obtained from European Code	β	reliability index
	using tensile flat material properties	$\varepsilon_{\rm f}^{\rm T}$	fracture strain from longitudinal tensile flat coupon test
$P_{\rm EC3\#}^{\rm C}$	nominal strength per web obtained from European Code	$\varepsilon_{\rm f, corner}$	fracture strain from longitudinal tensile corner coupon test
	using actual bearing length and compressive flat material	$\sigma_{0.2}$	0.2% proof stress (yield stress)
т	properties	$\sigma_{0.2}^{C}$	0.2% proof stress from transverse compressive flat coupon
$P_{\mathrm{EC3}\#}^{1}$	nominal strength per web obtained from European Code	т	test
	using actual bearing length and tensile flat material	$\sigma_{0.2}$	0.2% proof stress from longitudinal tensile flat coupon test
	properties	$\sigma_{0.2,corner}$	0.2% proof stress from longitudinal tensile corner coupon
$P_{\rm Exp}$	experimental web crippling strength per web	-T	test
$P_{\rm FEA}$	web crippling strength per web obtained from finite ele-	σ _u -	tensile strength from longitudinal tensile flat coupon test
	ment analysis by using both tensile and compressive ma-	U _{u,corner}	tensile strength from longitudinal tensile corner coupon
n #	terial properties	4	lesi
$P_{\rm FEA}^{"}$	web crippling strength per web obtained from finite	φ	resistance factor

were undertaken in order to study the behaviour of cold-formed ferritic stainless steel (CFFSS) SHS/RHS undergoing web crippling. In total, 44 web crippling experiments were performed, which covered all four load cases as codified in the ASCE [1] and AS/NZS [2]. These four codified load cases are the EOF, IOF, ETF and ITF. Finite element (FE) models were built and verified with the experimental results. Upon verification, a parametric study was undertaken utilizing the verified FE models to expand the database. The applicability of the codified provisions in the

ASCE [1], AS/NZS [2], EC3 [3] and NAS [11] was evaluated. Furthermore, the web crippling design rules suggested by Zhou and Young [10] were assessed to investigate their feasibility to be applied for CFFSS sections. Modified design rules are provided in this study for CFFSS SHS/RHS undergoing web crippling.

Table 1

Measured specimen dimensions and web crippling strengths per web for EOF load case.

1		0 0	1						
Specimens	<i>Н</i> (mm)	<i>B</i> (mm)	t (mm)	r (mm)	<i>R</i> (mm)	L (mm)	P _{Exp} (kN)	$\frac{P_{\rm Exp}}{P_{\rm FEA}^{\#}}$	$\frac{P_{\rm Exp}}{P_{\rm FEA}}$
EOF-80 \times 80 \times 3 N50	80.0	80.0	2.803	3.0	5.8	441	37.8	1.19	1.11
EOF-60 \times 40 \times 3 N30	60.0	40.1	2.716	3.1	5.9	300	22.4	1.13	1.02
EOF-60 \times 40 \times 3 N30-R [†]	60.0	40.0	2.716	3.1	5.9	301	22.3	1.12	1.01
EOF-100 \times 40 \times 2 N50	99.8	40.3	1.931	3.8	5.7	499	12.1	0.98	0.97
EOF-100 \times 40 \times 2 N30	99.8	40.2	1.925	3.8	5.7	420	9.0	0.95	0.94
EOF-100 \times 50 \times 3 N50	100.2	50.0	2.796	2.6	5.4	500	32.9	1.10	1.04
EOF-100 \times 50 \times 3 N30	100.2	49.9	2.792	2.6	5.4	419	23.9	1.11	1.06
							Mean	1.08	1.02
							COV	0.078	0.057

Note: [†]Repeated test; [#]FE model using material properties obtained from longitudinal tensile coupon tests only.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11028951

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11028951

Daneshyari.com