

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Applied Mathematics Letters

www.elsevier.com/locate/aml



# The energy equality for weak solutions to the equations of non-Newtonian fluids



### Jiaqi Yang

Key Laboratory for Mechanics in Fluid Solid Coupling Systems, Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 17 July 2018
Received in revised form 12 September 2018
Accepted 12 September 2018
Available online xxxx

Keywords:
Non-Newtonian fluids
Weak solution
Energy equality

#### ABSTRACT

In this short paper, we extend the result of Shinbrot (1974) to an incompressible fluid with shear dependent viscosity. It is shown that a weak solution to the equations of non-Newtonian fluids lying in a space  $L^q(0,T;L^p)$  satisfies an energy equality, where  $\frac{2r}{r-1} \le p \le \frac{2r}{r-2}$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{r-1}{r}$ , if r > 2;  $p \ge \frac{2r}{r-1}$  and  $\frac{r-1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{r-1}{2}$ , if  $\frac{2(n+1)}{n+2} < r \le 2$ . In particular, our result implies that the weak solution must satisfy the energy equality when  $r \ge \frac{3n+2}{n+2}$ , which is consistent with the known fact.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$  be a domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary. We consider a non-Newtonian incompressible fluid which is governed by the following system

which is governed by the following system
$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{u}_{t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \operatorname{div} \left( |D(\mathbf{u})|^{r-2} D(\mathbf{u}) \right) + \nabla \pi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\
\mathbf{u}(0, x) = \mathbf{u}_{0}(x), & \text{in } \Omega.
\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$  denotes the unknown velocity of the fluid and  $\pi$  the pressure, and

$$D(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right).$$

We first give the definition of a weak solution to (1). To this end, we denote by  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  the space of smooth functions with compact support. Let  $C_{0,\sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega) = \{ \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) | \nabla \cdot \varphi = 0 \}$ .  $L_{\sigma}^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$  denotes the closure of  $C_{0,\sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in the norm  $\| \cdot \|_p$ .  $\dot{H}_{0,\sigma}^{1,p}(\Omega)$  denotes the closure of  $C_{0,\sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in the norm  $\| \nabla \cdot \|_p$ . We write

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{p,q} = \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_p^q dt\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad 1 \le q < \infty,$$

E-mail address: yjq@imech.ac.cn.

and

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{p,\infty} = ess \sup_{0 < t < T} \|\mathbf{u}\|_p.$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $r \geq \frac{2n}{n+2}$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{\sigma}(\Omega)$ . A vector-valued function  $\mathbf{u} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2_{\sigma}(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;\dot{H}^{1,r}_{0,\sigma}(\Omega))$  is called a weak solution to (1) if the following identity

$$(\mathbf{u}_0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}(0)) + \int_0^T \left[ (\mathbf{u}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi}) - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) - \left( |D(\mathbf{u})|^{r-2} D(\mathbf{u}), D(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) \right) \right] dt = 0$$
 (2)

holds for all  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}([0,T); C_{0,\sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega))$ . Here, one restricts  $r \geq \frac{2n}{n+2}$  to make sure that the expression  $\int_0^T (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \varphi) dt$  makes sense.

The existence of weak solutions of (1) is shown in [1,2] with the periodic boundary condition, and in [3] for the whole space. In [4], J. Wolf showed the existence of weak solutions with Dirichlet boundary condition for  $r > \frac{2(n+1)}{n+2}$ . Moreover, we know that a weak solution satisfies the global energy inequality

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{t} \|D(\mathbf{u})(\tau)\|_{r}^{r} d\tau \le \|\mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{2}^{2}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

A natural question is to consider the possible validity of the energy equality. For Newtonian fluids, i.e. r = 2, the pioneering results by Prodi [5] and Lions [6] concern the validity of energy equality for a weak solution such that

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^4(0, T, L^4(\Omega)).$$

Later, Shinbrot [7] enlarged the range of exponents proving that if a weak solution belongs to

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^{q}(0, T; L^{p}(\Omega)), \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad p \ge 4.$$

More results in this respect, the reader can see [8] and references therein. However, as far as I know, there is no result for the non-Newtonian fluids. In this paper, we will extend Shinbrot's result to the non-Newtonian fluids. Our main result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $r > \frac{2(n+1)}{n+2}$ , r' is the Hölder conjugate of r,  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2_{\sigma}(\Omega)$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a weak solution of (1). If  $\mathbf{u} \in L^q(0,T;L^p(\Omega))$ , where  $2r' \leq p \leq \frac{2r}{r-2}$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{r'}$ , if r > 2;  $p \geq 2r'$  and  $\frac{r-1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{r-1}{2}$ , if  $r \leq 2$ . Then  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies the energy equality

$$\|\mathbf{u}(t)\|_{2}^{2} + 2 \int_{0}^{t} \|D(\mathbf{u})(t)\|_{r}^{r} d\tau = \|\mathbf{u}_{0}\|_{2}^{2}, \quad 0 \le t < T.$$
 (3)

**Remark 1.** Here, since the existence of weak solutions to (1) is still unsolved for  $r \leq \frac{2(n+1)}{n+2}$ , see [4], we have to restrict  $r > \frac{2(n+1)}{n+2}$ .

**Remark 2.** It is well known that the weak solution is strong and unique for  $r \ge \frac{3n+2}{n+2}$ , see for example [2], thus the weak solution satisfies automatically the energy equality. It is remarkable that our result is consistent with this fact. Actually, by virtue of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and Korn's inequality, one easily verifies

$$L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}_{\sigma}(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}(0,T;\dot{H}^{1,r}_{0,\sigma}(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{(n+2)r^{2}-2nr}{n}}(0,T;L^{2r'}_{\sigma}(\Omega)).$$

When  $r \geq \frac{3n+2}{n+2}$ , one can easily check that

$$\frac{1}{2r'}+\frac{n}{(n+2)r^2-2nr}\leq \frac{1}{r'},$$

which implies a weak solution of (1) must satisfy the energy equality for any  $r \geq \frac{3n+2}{n+2}$ .

## Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11031006

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/11031006

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>