

Accepted Manuscript

A Case for Incomplete Markets

Lawrence E. Blume, Timothy Cogley, David A. Easley, Thomas J. Sargent,
Viktor Tsyrennikov

PII: S0022-0531(18)30582-9
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.09.004>
Reference: YJETH 4821

To appear in: *Journal of Economic Theory*

Received date: 17 February 2017
Revised date: 22 August 2018
Accepted date: 12 September 2018

Please cite this article in press as: Blume, L.E., et al. A Case for Incomplete Markets. *J. Econ. Theory* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jet.2018.09.004>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



A Case for Incomplete Markets*

Lawrence E. Blume[†] Timothy Cogley[‡] David A. Easley[§]
 Thomas J. Sargent[¶] Viktor Tsyrennikov^{||}

September 24, 2018

Abstract

If two rational agents want to trade and there are no externalities, then trade is Pareto improving. Economists generally oppose restrictions on such trade. Complete markets allocations are Pareto optimal and thus complete markets are generally viewed as good. But when individuals want to trade because of heterogeneous beliefs, this standard argument is less compelling. We illustrate this in a standard general equilibrium setting and explore potential social benefits from restrictions on trade that make markets incomplete.

Keywords: social welfare, heterogeneous beliefs, spurious unanimity, speculation, incomplete markets, financial regulation

1 Introduction

A conventional wisdom in the economics profession is that complete markets are good. The welfare theorems state that complete markets outcomes are

*We thank Jonathan Parker for a helpful and detailed discussion. We also thank two referees for this journal, V.V. Chari, Vincenzo Quadrini, and seminar participants of the 2014 SITE conference, the 2014 ITAM Macroeconomics Workshop, the 2013 NBER Summer Institute, 2013 Econometric Society Australian meetings, 2012 North American Econometric Society meetings, 2012 Cornell/PennState Macroeconomics workshop.

[†]Cornell University, lb19@cornell.edu

[‡]New York University, tc60@nyu.edu

[§]Cornell University, dae3@cornell.edu

[¶]New York University and Hoover Institution, ts43@nyu.edu

^{||}Promontory, viktor.tsyrennikov@gmail.com

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/11032301>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/11032301>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)