



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect



Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 190 (2015) 425 - 428

2nd GLOBAL CONFERENCE on PSYCHOLOGY RESEARCHES, 28-29, November 2014

The Social Reality Behind a 1996-Street-Riot

Sinan Caya^a*

^aDr., Marmara University, Faculty of Engineering, Instructor of Social Electives

Abstract

This work is an attempt to analyze —through conventional as well as ethno-methodological and phenomenological methods of Sociology—the social facts behind a youth riot on a labor day, in Istanbul, in 1996, wherein life and property losses occurred.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer-review under responsibility of Academic World Research and Education Center.

Keywords: Social reality, riot, violence, shanty towns.

1. Introduction

Here follows further instructions for authors. On May first 1996, Kadikoy square of Istanbul witnessed some trouble. A riot, supposedly on behalf of the Labor Day, took place. A few people got killed. Many got wounded. Large property destruction came into being. The event, which involved me only at a "tangential point" of touch (1), was a ripe example of a violent incident quite worth of sociological analysis.

The basic sources of information for me were later emissions of TV's and vast numbers of pages of journals and newspapers. Those data were of conventional nature of course. But certain happenings, if concentrated on, were favoring ethnomethodological interpretations (2) much more.

2. Specific Acts of Violence

On that day, one conspicuous act was the beating (almost lynching) of a plain clothes policeman by a group which also included female(!) actors. The man had been walking behind the group for some time when they spotted

* Sinan Caya. Tel.: 0216 348 0292.

E-mail address: sinan.caya@gmail.com

Peer-review under responsibility of Academic World Research and Education Center.

doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.05.020

him and started beating him. Now, his walking behind the group is itself worth dwelling on at a sheer analysis by itself

Ritzer (1983: 342-343 by reference to Ryave and Schenkein, 1974) mentions about walking as a very important example of ethnomethodological study. As a matter fact, here, walking is taken as doing walking rather than just walking. The researchers treated this commonplace phenomenon as a problematic achievement, using a series of video tapes of people walking. In walking, people navigate and avoid collisions. They produce the appropriate walking strategy. This is a striking example of how, using ethnomethodology, sociologists can take a mundane situation and demonstrate its problematic character. Many skills are involved like maintaining a certain proximity with others. A lone walker avoids the appearance of walking together with a passer-by. He or she takes care not to be regarded as following someone. Laughing, touching, offering cigarettes and similar activities are possible by walking together.

So, in what manner was the disguised policeman walking while following the group in question? Was he sure of himself or even displacing a menacing attitude and thereby disclosing (3) his chase? Or, oh the contrary, was he timid and arousing suspicion just because of this appearance? Was he feigning to be one of the rioters themselves in his gait?

Another significant aspect of the beating could be why the police-haters were so ruthless in directing their rage to the person of a single policeman and making him a scapegoat? Here, the primitive vendetta (feud) behavior is easy to detect. Being a representative of the opposing party, he deserved all that in the eyes of the beaters, who, did not bother to stick to the basic principle of individuality of penalty.

A journalist (Milliyet Newspaper May 3, 1996) reports the following: We questioned the 17 or 18-year-old rioters as to who had crashed the shop windows at Rihtim [harbour] Street. The [justifying] reply was that they were the shops of the bourgeoisie. The journalist expresses his astonishment, emphasizing that they are shops where goods are sold at cheapest prices in the region.

So, at this point, accounts (4) given by the actors are not accepted by the actors and vica versa. Beautiful flowers on the square were tramped and destroyed! Many observers could not understand and accept this vandalism, either

3. The Shanty Towns Seem to Lie Behind it All

Traffic lights and bank automats were shattered. As some journalists put it, an animosity for all symbols associated with city life is observed; the shanty towns (bidonvilles) came to explode.

The suburban sharty towns share little with the cities besides the physical proximity. Their populations (5) are somewhere near the bottom of the Maslow's famous Pyramid of Human Needs. Esthetic aspirations comprise a far away luxury for those who simply have problems in obtaining basic needs of survival like shelter, food and clothing.

Young people who can not cope with basic problems and can not postpone their aspirations (like the few who are good at school and nourish hope for future) face many dangers under the temptation to break the constraints imposed by the "establishment". They can be drifted towards crime. They may become religious fundamentalist. They may get mentally deranged. They may keep suffering in silence. However, for sure, they are all full of resentment, which, they know they must suppress in the name of logical thought. But this hatred and resentment can surface at the slightest pretext like the riot in question.

Turkey is a land of contradictions (like many other Third World Countries). Wealth distribution is widely unequal. The children of the poor see all material opportunities of life and crave for them; yet they can not reach them. As journalist Cizmeci puts it, "the gap between the rich and the poor [sometimes seems] insurmountable". The violent participants of that riot were mostly young second generation dwellers of shanty towns. A newspaper used the title "The young (6) Face of Violence".

The authorities seem to be well aware of all the reasons which must have sharpened the feelings of the young. A police chief said: "We put up with their stones and sticks. We prevented worse bloodsheds by not reprising". Late statesman Ecevit, then in opposition, said: "The passionate anger of adolescents really makes one think. Scientists should study that aspect of the youth".

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1109573

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1109573

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>