

## E-Bayesian Estimation and Hierarchical Bayesian Estimation for Estate Probability in Engineering

Dan Li, Jianhua Wang\* , Difang Chen

*Department of mathematics, College of Science, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, 430070*

---

### Abstract

Dr. Han Ming gives the definition of E-Bayesian estimation of estate probability and the formulas of E-Bayesian estimation, engineering forecast model and its applications in security investment at 2005. He gives hierarchical Bayesian estimation of estate probability and guess that the E-Bayesian estimation asymptotic equal to hierarchical Bayesian estimation of estate probability at 2006. In this paper we give the proof of that the limits of E-Bayesian estimation and hierarchical Bayesian estimation for estate probability are both zero and the hierarchical Bayesian estimation of estate probability which has no observation data is less than that of E-Bayesian estimation, and guess that the hierarchical Bayesian estimation of estate probability which has observation data is also less than that of E-Bayesian estimation.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Selection and peer-review under responsibility of Desheng Dash Wu.  
Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

*Keywords:* Parameter estimation; estate probability; E-Bayesian estimation; hierarchical Bayesian estimation, engineering

---

### 1. Introduction

In 2005, Dr. Han Ming gives the definition of E-Bayesian estimation of estate probability、 E-Bayesian estimation formula, forecast model and its application in securities investments[1].In 2006, he gives hierarchical Bayesian estimation of state probability asymptotic equal to E-Bayesian estimation[2].the paper is only given the

---

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* [wang\\_jianhua@yahoo.cn](mailto:wang_jianhua@yahoo.cn).

engineering numerical calculation examples, but isn't given the mathematical proof. In this paper we will give the proof of that the limits of E-Bayesian estimation and hierarchical Bayesian estimation for state probability are both zero. As in the table 2 and table 3 of the paper [2], the numerical calculation of hierarchical Bayesian estimation is error, so Han Ming fail to draw on the size comparative between estate probability's hierarchical Bayesian estimation value and E-Bayesian estimation value. We can see that hierarchical Bayesian estimation value of state probability is less than that of E-Bayesian estimation value from correct simulation of hierarchical Bayesian estimation. For no observation data, the hierarchical Bayesian estimation of estate probability is less than that of E-Bayesian estimation. And for observation data, we can guess that the engineering hierarchical Bayesian estimation of estate probability is also less than that of E-Bayesian estimation by engineering numerical examples.

**2. E-Bayesian estimation and hierarchical Bayesian estimation of state probability**

Suppose that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are sample observed values from the same population. Then we divide the  $n$ -observations into  $k$  groups ( $1 < k < n$ ) at a certain distance. Accordingly, the forecast objects are divided into  $k$  states, let  $E_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$  be the  $i$ th state, there are  $r_i (0 \leq r_i < n, i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$  observed values in state  $E_i$ . Let  $p_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$  be the probability of forecast objects falling into state  $E_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$ , we shall call it estate probability. Suppose the event whether the forecast objects fall into the state  $E_i$  is independent. Then the forecast of securities price can be approximated as  $n$ -Bernoulli trials problem, so it can be described as binomial distribution. Let  $X$  be the times of forecast objects falling into the state  $E_i$ , and its distribution law is

$$P(X = r_i) = C_n^{r_i} p_i^{r_i} (1 - p_i)^{n-r_i}, r_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Where  $0 < p_i < 1$ ,  $p_i$  is the estate probability.

If the prior distribution of estate probability  $p_i$  is Bata distribution, and its probability density function is

$$\pi(p_i | a, b) = \frac{p_i^{a-1} (1 - p_i)^{b-1}}{B(a, b)}$$

Where  $0 < p_i < 1, a > 0, b > 0$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are hyper-parameters.  $B(a, b) = \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-1} dt$  is Bata distribution.

When  $0 < b < 1, a > 1$ ,  $\pi(p_i | a, b)$  is increasing in  $p_i$ , and meets the requirements of hierarchical prior distribution decreasing function's construction. Prior distribution with thinner tail would make worse robustness of Bayesian distribution. So when  $0 < b < 1$ ,  $a$  should not be too large. Let the upper bound of  $b$  be  $c (c > 1$ , and  $c$  is a constant). The value range of Hyper-parameters  $a$  and  $b$  is  $D = \{(a, b) | 1 < a < c, 0 < b < 1\}$ . Suppose the prior distribution of  $a$  is uniform distribution in  $(1, c)$ , and the prior distribution of  $b$  is uniform distribution in  $(0, 1)$ , when  $a$  and  $b$  are independent, hierarchical prior density distribution of  $\theta$  is given by

$$\pi(p_i) = \int_0^1 \int_1^c \pi(p_i | a, b) \pi(a, b) db da = \frac{1}{(c-1)} \int_0^1 \int_1^c \frac{p_i^{a-1} (1 - p_i)^{b-1}}{B(a, b)} db da, 0 < p_i < 1.$$

For simplicity, the following only consider the case when  $a = 1$ . now the prior distribution of estate probability  $p_i$  is

$$\pi(p_i | b) = b(1 - p_i)^{b-1} \tag{1}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1143915>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1143915>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)