



Multiplicative bias correction for generalized Birnbaum–Saunders kernel density estimators and application to nonnegative heavy tailed data



Nabil Zougab^{a,b,*}, Smail Adjabi^b

^a University of Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria

^b LAMOS, Laboratory of Modeling and Optimization of Systems, University of Béjaïa, Algeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 December 2014

Accepted 1 July 2015

Available online 17 July 2015

AMS 2000 subject classifications:

62G05

62G07

Keywords:

Bandwidth

Multiplicative bias correction

Generalized Birnbaum–Saunders kernel

Heavy tailed data

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we show that the multiplicative bias correction (MBC) techniques can be applied for generalized Birnbaum–Saunders (GBS) kernel density estimators. First, some properties of the MBC–GBS kernel density estimators (bias, variance and mean integrated squared error) are shown. Second, the choice of bandwidth is investigated by adopting the popular cross-validation technique. Finally, the performances of the MBC estimators based on GBS kernels are illustrated by a simulation study, followed by a real application for nonnegative heavy tailed (HT) data. In general, in terms of integrated squared bias (ISB) and integrated squared error (ISE), the proposed estimators outperform the standard GBS kernel estimators.

© 2015 The Korean Statistical Society. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction.....	52
2. A short review on GBS kernels	52
2.1. GBS distribution	52
2.2. GBS kernel estimator	53
3. MBC for GBS density estimators.....	54
3.1. Estimators	54
3.2. Asymptotic properties.....	54
3.3. Global property	55
3.4. Choice of bandwidth for MBC–GBS kernel estimators.....	56
4. Simulation study	56
5. Application to air pollution data	57
6. Proofs	58
6.1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1	59
6.1.1. Bias.....	59
6.1.2. Variance.....	61

* Correspondence to: LAMOS, route de Targa-Ouzemmour, 06000 Béjaïa, Algeria.

E-mail addresses: nabilzougab@yahoo.fr (N. Zougab), adjabi@hotmail.com (S. Adjabi).

6.2.	Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2	61
6.2.1.	Bias.....	61
6.2.2.	Variance.....	62
7.	Conclusion	62
	Acknowledgments	62
	References.....	62

1. Introduction

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) continuous random variables with an unknown probability density function (pdf) f on the support \mathbb{T} ($\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{T} = [0, \infty)$). A continuous symmetric or asymmetric kernel estimator $\hat{f}_h(x)$ of $f(x)$ can be defined as follows:

$$\hat{f}_h(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_{x,h}(X_i) \quad (1)$$

where $h = h(n) > 0$ is an arbitrary sequence of smoothing parameters (bandwidths) and $K_{x,h}$ is the continuous symmetric or asymmetric kernel with the target x and the bandwidth h . Note that in symmetric case, we have $K_{x,h}(\cdot) = (1/h)K\{(x - \cdot)/h\}$, where $K(\cdot)$ is the kernel function which is a symmetric pdf independent of x and h ; see, e.g., [Parzen \(1962\)](#), [Rosenblatt \(1956\)](#) and [Silverman \(1986\)](#). It is well known that the symmetric kernel estimator is inappropriate for estimating densities with support $\mathbb{T} = [0, \infty)$, because it causes boundary bias. Thus, the asymmetric kernels have been proposed as a good solution for avoiding these boundary effects. This simple idea is due to [Chen \(2000\)](#) (gamma and modified gamma kernels), [Scailelet \(2004\)](#) (inverse and reciprocal inverse Gaussian kernels), [Jin and Kawczak \(2003\)](#) (log-normal and Birnbaum–Saunders (BS) kernels) and [Marchant, Bertin, Leiva, and Saulo \(2013\)](#) (generalized Birnbaum–Saunders (GBS) kernels); see also [Chen \(1999\)](#) when the support is $\mathbb{T} = [0, 1]$ and [Kokonendji and Senga Kiessé \(2011\)](#) for discrete case ($\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{N}$).

Assuming that the true density f is twice continuously differentiable, then the bias of (1) is $O(h^2)$ with symmetric kernels and $O(h)$ with asymmetric kernels as $h \rightarrow 0$. [Jones, Linton, and Nielsen \(1995\)](#) and [Terrell and Scott \(1980\)](#) proposed the so-called multiplicative bias correction (MBC) techniques, which improve bias from $O(h^2)$ as the bandwidth $h \rightarrow 0$, to $O(h^4)$ for kernel density using symmetric second-order kernels, see also [Jones and Foster \(1993\)](#) for the same context. Recently, [Hirukawa \(2010\)](#) and [Hirukawa and Sakudo \(2014\)](#) have demonstrated that these two classes of MBC approaches can be applied to kernel density estimation on the unit interval using beta and modified beta kernels and for density estimation using asymmetric kernels (gamma, modified gamma, inverse Gaussian, reciprocal inverse Gaussian, log-normal and BS kernels), respectively. The authors have shown that the order of magnitude in bias is improved from $O(h)$ to $O(h^2)$.

The main goal of this paper is to extend the application of MBC approaches for GBS kernel density estimation as in [Hirukawa \(2010\)](#) and [Hirukawa and Sakudo \(2014\)](#). These previous studies are motivations of this paper. Our study is also motivated by several points. First, the family of GBS kernels introduced recently by [Marchant et al. \(2013\)](#) has a large number of particular cases such as the BS-classical, BS-power-exponential (BS-PE) and BS-Student- t (BS- t) kernels. Second, the GBS kernels are more appropriate for estimating densities of nonnegative HT data, because of their flexibility and properties; see [Jin and Kawczak \(2003\)](#) and [Marchant et al. \(2013\)](#). As third motivation, some applications of GBS kernel methods can be found in various domains such as in economics, finance, reliability, actuarial and also environmental sciences.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly recalls the GBS distribution and standard GBS kernel density estimators. In Section 3 we develop asymptotic properties of MBC-GBS kernel density estimators and adopt the unbiased-cross validation (UCV) procedure of choosing the bandwidth for proposed estimators. Section 4 conducts Monte Carlo simulations to compare sample finite performance of standard GBS and proposed MBC-GBS kernel estimators. Section 5 provide an application on real environmental data and all proofs are given in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

2. A short review on GBS kernels

In this section, we present a brief recall on GBS distribution and GBS kernel density estimators.

2.1. GBS distribution

Consider a GBS random variable $T \sim GBS(\alpha, \beta; g)$, where $\alpha > 0$ is the shape parameter, $\beta > 0$ is the scale parameter and g is a real function that generates the density of random variable $Z = (\sqrt{T/\beta} - \sqrt{\beta/T})/\alpha$ with standard symmetric distributions; see [Marchant et al. \(2013\)](#) for more details. The probability density function (pdf) of T is given by

$$f_T(t; \alpha, \beta; g) = cg \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left(\frac{t}{\beta} + \frac{\beta}{t} - 2 \right) \right) \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta t}} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{t^3}} \right), \quad t > 0; \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \quad (2)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1144525>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1144525>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)