



Remarks on asymptotic behavior of weighted quadratic variation of subfractional Brownian motion[☆]

Junfeng Liu^{a,*}, Litan Yan^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Audit University, 86 West Yushan Rd., Pukou, Nanjing 211815, PR China

^b Department of Mathematics, Donghua University, 2999 North Renmin Rd., Songjiang, Shanghai 201620, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 March 2011

Accepted 11 August 2011

Available online 1 September 2011

AMS subject classifications:

60H07

60G15

60G22

Keywords:

Subfractional Brownian motion

Malliavin calculus

Weighted quadratic variation

ABSTRACT

The present note is devoted to prove, by means of Malliavin calculus, the convergence in L^2 of some properly renormalized weighted quadratic variation of sub-fractional Brownian motion S^H with parameter $H < \frac{1}{4}$.

© 2011 The Korean Statistical Society. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The characterization of single path behavior of a given stochastic process is often based on the study of its power variations. A quite extensive literature on the subject has been developed on this subject; see e.g. [Corcuera, Nualart, and Woerner \(2006\)](#) and [Gradinaru and Nourdin \(2009\)](#) for references concerning the power variations of Gaussian and Gaussian-related processes, and [Barndorff-Nielsen, Graversen, and Shepard \(2004\)](#) (and the references therein) for applications of power variation techniques to the continuous time modeling of financial markets. Recall that, a real $p > 1$ being given, the p -power variation of a process X , with respect to a subdivision $\pi_n = \{0 = t_{n,0} < t_{n,1} < \dots < t_{n,p(n)} = 1\}$ of $[0, 1]$, is defined to be the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p(n)} |X_{t_{n,k}} - X_{t_{n,k-1}}|^p. \quad (1.1)$$

For simplicity, consider from now on the case where $t_{n,k} = k/n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. When weights are introduced in (1.1), some interesting phenomenon appears. More precisely, consider quantities such as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(X_{k/n}) (\Delta X_{k/n})^p, \quad (1.2)$$

[☆] This project was sponsored by NSFC (10871041) and NSRC (10023).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jordanjunfeng@163.com (J. Liu).

where the function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be smooth enough and $\Delta X_{k/n} = X_{(k+1)/n} - X_{k/n}$. Notice (1.2) is called weighted power variations because of the presence of the factor $h(X_{k/n})$.

Very recently, for $X = B^H$, the fractional Brownian motion with Hurst index $H \in (0, 1)$, the asymptotic behavior of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h(B_{k/n}^H) \left[n^{2H} (\Delta B_{k/n}^H)^2 - 1 \right], \quad (1.3)$$

received a lot of attentions (see Gradinaru & Nourdin, 2009; Neuenkirch & Nourdin, 2007). The analysis of the asymptotic behavior of quantities of type (1.3) is motivated, for instance, by the study of the exact rates of convergence of some approximation schemes of scalar stochastic differential equations driven by B^H (see Gradinaru & Nourdin, 2009; Neuenkirch & Nourdin, 2007 and references therein for precise statements), besides, of course, the traditional applications of quadratic variations to parameter estimation problems. But it turned out that it was also interesting because it highlighted new phenomena with respect to some classical results obtained in the seminal works by Breuer and Major (1983), Dobrushin and Major (1979), Giraitis and Surgailis (1985) or Taqqu (1979). Indeed, we know that, for any $0 < H < 3/4$, the convergence

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left[n^{2H} (\Delta B_{l/n}^H)^2 - 1 \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{Law}} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_H^2), \quad (1.4)$$

holds, where σ_H denotes a constant depending only H which can be computed explicitly.

Nourdin (2008) began the study of asymptotic analysis of (1.3). If $H < 1/4$, he proved that

$$n^{2H-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h(B_{l/n}^H) \left[n^{2H} (\Delta B_{l/n}^H)^2 - 1 \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L^2} \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 h''(B_s^H) ds, \quad (1.5)$$

holds.

As pointed out by Nourdin (2008), (1.5) is somewhat surprising when compared with (1.4). Indeed, instead of an L^2 -convergence, we only have a convergence in law in (1.4). Observe that, since $2H - 1 < 1/2$ if and only if $H < 1/4$, convergence (1.4) and (1.5) are, of course, not contradictory.

Furthermore, the results of Nourdin (2008) have been improved by Nourdin and Réveillac (2009) and Nourdin, Nualart, and Tudor (2010). More precisely: If $H = \frac{1}{4}$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h(B_{l/n}^{1/4}) \left[\sqrt{n} (\Delta B_{l/n}^{1/4})^2 - 1 \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{Law}} C_{1/4} \int_0^1 h(B_s^{1/4}) dW_s + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 h''(B_s^{1/4}) ds, \quad (1.6)$$

for W a standard Brownian motion independent of $B^{1/4}$ and where

$$C_{1/4} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{|p+1|} + \sqrt{|p-1|} - 2\sqrt{|p|} \right)^2} \approx 1535.$$

If $\frac{1}{4} < H < \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h(B_{l/n}^H) \left[n^{2H} (\Delta B_{l/n}^H)^2 - 1 \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{Law}} C_H \int_0^1 h(B_s^H) dW_s, \quad (1.7)$$

for W a standard Brownian motion independent of B^H .

If $H = \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h(B_{l/n}^{3/4}) \left[n^{3/2} (\Delta B_{l/n}^{3/4})^2 - 1 \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{Law}} C_{3/4} \int_0^1 h(B_s^{3/4}) dW_s, \quad (1.8)$$

for W a standard Brownian motion independent of $B^{3/4}$,

If $H > \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$n^{1-2H} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} h(B_{l/n}^H) \left[n^{2H} (\Delta B_{l/n}^H)^2 - 1 \right] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L^2} \int_0^1 h(B_s^H) dZ_s, \quad (1.9)$$

for Z the Rosenblatt process defined by

$$Z_s = I_2^X(L_s), \quad (1.10)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1144843>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1144843>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)