



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Statistical Methodology

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/stamet](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/stamet)

# Some properties of stochastic volatility model that are induced by its volatility sequence

M. Rezapour<sup>a,\*</sup>, N. Balakrishnan<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Statistics, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

<sup>c</sup> Department of Statistics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 18 September 2013

Received in revised form

15 November 2014

Accepted 17 November 2014

Available online 12 December 2014

### Keywords:

Point process

Vague convergence

Multivariate regular variation

Mixing condition

Stationary process

Heavy tail

Exponential AR(2) process

Finance

Stochastic volatility

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we consider a heavy-tailed stochastic volatility model  $X_t = \sigma_t Z_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where the volatility sequence  $(\sigma_t)$  and the iid noise sequence  $(Z_t)$  are assumed to be independent,  $(\sigma_t)$  is regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$ , and the  $Z_t$ 's to have moments of order less than  $\alpha/2$ . Here, we prove that, under certain conditions, the stochastic volatility model inherits the anti-clustering condition of  $(X_t)$  from the volatility sequence  $(\sigma_t)$ . Next, we consider a stochastic volatility model in which  $(\sigma_t)$  is an exponential AR(2) process with regularly varying marginals and show that this model satisfies the regular variation, mixing and anti-clustering conditions in Davis and Hsing (1995).

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The *stochastic volatility model*

$$X_t = \sigma_t Z_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.1)$$

has attracted considerable attention in the financial time series literature. Here, the *volatility sequence*  $(\sigma_t)$  is (strictly) stationary and consists of non-negative random variables independent of the i.i.d. sequence  $(Z_t)$ . We refer to [1] for a recent overview of the theory of stochastic volatility models. The

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [mohsenrzp@gmail.com](mailto:mohsenrzp@gmail.com) (M. Rezapour), [bala@mcmaster.ca](mailto:bala@mcmaster.ca) (N. Balakrishnan).

popular GARCH model has the same structure as in (1.1), but every  $Z_t$  feeds into the future volatilities  $\sigma_{t+k}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and so  $(\sigma_t)$  and  $(Z_t)$  are dependent in this case. However, neither  $\sigma_t$  nor  $Z_t$  are directly observable, and therefore it depends on whether one prefers a stochastic volatility, a GARCH or any other model for returns.

In this paper, we consider stochastic volatility models in which  $\sigma_t$  is a strictly stationary regularly varying random sequence. A strictly stationary sequence  $(X_t)$  is said to be regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$  if for every  $d \geq 1$ , the vector  $\mathbf{X}_d = (X_1, \dots, X_d)'$  is regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$ . This means that there exists a sequence  $(a_n)$  with  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  and a sequence of non-null Radon measures  $(\mu_d)$  on the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_0^d = \overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \setminus \{0\}$  such that for every  $d \geq 1$ ,

$$nP(a_n^{-1}\mathbf{X}_d \in \cdot) \xrightarrow{v} \mu_d(\cdot),$$

where  $\xrightarrow{v}$  denotes vague convergence and  $\mu_d$  satisfies the scaling property  $\mu(t \cdot) = t^{-\alpha} \mu(\cdot)$ ,  $t > 0$ . The latter property justifies the name “regular variation with index  $\alpha > 0$ ”. The sequence  $(a_n)$  can be chosen such that  $nP(|X_1| > a_n) \rightarrow 1$ . We refer to [9,10] for more details on regular variation and vague convergence of measures. Assume that  $(X_t)$  is regularly varying with index  $\alpha > 0$  and normalization  $(a_n)$  such that  $P(|X| > a_n) \sim n^{-1}$ , that the mixing condition  $\mathcal{A}(a_n)$  (see [6]) is satisfied, and that the anti-clustering condition

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\max_{m \leq |t| \leq r_n} |X_t| > y a_n \mid |X_0| > y a_n) = 0, \quad y > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

holds. Here,  $(r_n)$  is an integer sequence such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $r_n = o(n)$  which appears in the definition of  $\mathcal{A}(a_n)$ . Then, Davis and Hsing [4] have presented a rather general approach to the extremes of a strictly stationary sequence  $(X_t)$ . Mikosch and Rezapour [8] showed that the stochastic volatility model in (1.1) inherits the mixing condition  $\mathcal{A}(a_n)$  and regularly varying property of  $(X_t)$  from the volatility sequence  $(\sigma_t)$ . Here, we will show that the anti-clustering condition of  $(X_t)$  can be obtained from the anti-clustering property of the volatility sequence  $(\sigma_t)$  under certain conditions. We also introduce a stochastic volatility model whose volatility is exponential AR(2) which is an extension of the exponential AR(1) described in [8]. We will then show that this model is a regularly varying random sequence and satisfies the mixing and anti-clustering conditions described above. Note that we can also define exponential AR( $p$ ) in a similar manner, but we cannot obtain a similar compact form (as obtained in Lemma 3.1) for exponential AR( $p$ ) with  $p > 2$ , and so we cannot show that this model also has the regular variation, mixing, and anti-clustering conditions. This remains as an open problem.

## 2. Regular variation of stochastic volatility model

In this section, we show that the stochastic volatility model in (1.1) inherits the anti-clustering property of  $(X_t)$  from the volatility sequence  $(\sigma_t)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Consider the stochastic volatility model in (1.1) and assume that  $(\sigma_t)$  is strictly stationary and regularly varying with index  $\alpha$ . Moreover, assume that  $(\sigma_t)$  satisfies the anti-clustering condition in (1.2),  $r_n = o(n^C)$  for some positive constant  $C$ , and  $nE(|Z|^p I_{|Z| > M^{-1}a_n}) = O(1)$  for some positive  $M$  and  $p < \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . Then,  $(X_t)$  satisfies the anti-clustering condition.*

**Proof.** We have

$$P(\max_{m < |t| < r_n} |X_t| > a_n \mid |X_0| > a_n) \sim \frac{P(\max_{m < |t| < r_n} |Z_t| \sigma_t > a_n, |Z_0| \sigma_0 > a_n)}{P(\sigma > a_n)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let

$$A = \{ \max_{m < |t| < r_n} |Z_t| \sigma_t > a_n, |Z_0| \sigma_0 > a_n \},$$

$$B_1 = \{ \sigma_0 > Ma_n, \max_{m < |t| < r_n} \sigma_t > Ma_n \},$$

$$B_2 = \{ \sigma_0 \leq Ma_n, \max_{m < |t| < r_n} \sigma_t \leq Ma_n \},$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1151752>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1151752>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)