Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Statistics and Probability Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/stapro

# Martingale transforms between $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\Phi}$ of martingale spaces

### Weiwei Meng\*, Lin Yu

College of Sciences, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, Hubei Province 443002, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history: Received 24 April 2009 Received in revised form 5 August 2009 Accepted 10 August 2009 Available online 21 August 2009

MSC: primary 60G42 secondary 60G46 46B09

#### 1. Introduction

#### Martingale transforms were firstly introduced by Burkholder (1966) and since then have acquired intimate relation with some concepts in analysis (for example with conjugate harmonic functions), and a lot of applications in probability, in analysis, and even in Geometry (related to Banach spaces). Martingale transforms are especially useful in studying predictable martingale spaces. Characterizations of such spaces via martingale transforms are provided. So, naturally, many people have been attracted to this field. Garsia (1973) has given a satisfactory characterization about interchanging the space $\mathcal{D}_{p_1}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{p_2}$ , or $H_{p_1}^s$ to $H_{p_2}^s$ , via martingale transforms, for full scope index: $0 < p_1, p_2 < \infty, 0 < p_1 < p_2 = \infty$ . Then these results were improved by Chao and Long (1992). Ishak and Mogyorodi (1982) have obtained a characterization about interchanging the space $\mathcal{D}_1$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\phi}$ via martingale transforms. This article is based on the work of Garsia (1973) and Ishak and Mogyorodi (1982). This article applies the martingale transform techniques to show that given any Young function $\Phi$ with finite power p the corresponding $\mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$ space is the martingale transform of $\mathcal{Q}_1$ and the converse result is also true.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space and  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{F}, f = (f_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a scalar-valued martingale adapted to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ . Denote  $df = (df_n)_{n\geq 1}$  as the martingale differences with  $df_n = f_n - f_{n-1}, n \geq 1$ . For all p : 0 , define the square function and the conditioned square function of <math>f as follows:

$$S_n(f) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |df_i|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad S(f) = \sup_{n \ge 1} S_n(f).$$
$$S_n(f) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n E\left(|df_i|^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad s(f) = \sup_{n \ge 1} S_n(f).$$

Let  $\Phi(x)$  be an increasing convex function on  $[0, +\infty)$  with  $\Phi(0) = 0$ . It is well known that any convex function like this has the form

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(t) \mathrm{d}t, \quad \forall x > 0$$

where  $\varphi(x)$  is a positive, increasing, finite-valued and left continuous function on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

#### ABSTRACT

The martingale transform techniques are used to show that given any Young function  $\Phi$  with finite power p the corresponding  $\mathcal{Q}_{\Phi}$  space is the martingale transform of  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and the converse result is also true. The results obtained here extend the corresponding results in the former literature.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding address: Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, China Three Gorges University, 8 University Avenue, 443002, Yichang, Hubei Province, China.

E-mail addresses: menglingwei181@163.com (W. Meng), yulin@ctgu.edu.cn (L. Yu).

<sup>0167-7152/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.spl.2009.08.008

If  $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^{-1} \Phi(x) = \infty$ , then  $\Phi(x)$  is called a Young function. Define the inverse of  $\varphi(t)$ 

$$\psi(s) = \inf\{t : \varphi(t) \ge s\}$$

with the convention:  $\inf\{\emptyset\} = +\infty$ . It is easy to see that  $\psi(s)$  is still of the same kind. Its integral  $\Psi(x) = \int_0^x \psi(t) dt$ , is a generalized convex function, and will be called Young's complementary function of  $\Phi$ .

We suppose that the power

$$p = \sup_{x>0} \frac{x\varphi(x)}{\Phi(x)}$$

of  $\Phi$  is finite. This implies that the inverse function  $\Phi^{-1}$  of  $\Phi$  exists and has the form

$$\Phi^{-1}(x) = \int_0^x m(t) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Here m(t) is a decreasing function and we can easily see that

$$m(t) = \frac{1}{\varphi(\Phi^{-1}(t))}, \quad t > 0$$

To show these we remark that if p is finite then neither  $\Phi(x)$  nor  $\varphi(x)$  do vanish for x > 0. Consequently,  $\Phi(x)$  strictly increases. This and the continuity of  $\Phi$  imply that inverse function  $\Phi^{-1}$  exists, it is concave and is of the above integral form. For any Young function  $\Phi(x)$ , the Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi} = L^{\Phi}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  of scalar-valued functions is defined by

$$L^{\phi} = \{f: \|f\|_{L^{\phi}} < \infty\}, \|f\|_{L^{\phi}} = \inf\{a > 0: E\left[\phi(a^{-1}|f|)\right] \le 1\}.$$

 $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\phi}}$  is a norm on  $L^{\phi}$ . The normed vector space  $L^{\phi}$  is complete.

These definitions and results are due to Long (1993) and Ishak and Mogyorodi (1982).

Let  $\Gamma$  be the class of non-negative, nondecreasing and adapted sequences  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \ge 0}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \ge 0}$  and  $\lambda_{\infty} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda_n$ . Now define Hardy spaces and Hardy–Orlicz spaces of martingales as follows:

$$\begin{split} H_{p}^{s} &= \{f = (f_{n})_{n \geq 0} : s(f) \in L_{p}\}, \|f\|_{H_{p}^{s}} = \|s(f)\|_{L_{p}}.\\ \mathcal{D}_{p} &= \{f = (f_{n})_{n \geq 0} : \exists \{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma, s.t. |f_{n}| \leq \lambda_{n-1}, a.e., \lambda_{\infty} \in L_{p}\},\\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{p}} &= \inf_{\{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma} \|\lambda_{\infty}\|_{L_{p}}.\\ \mathcal{D}_{\phi} &= \{f = (f_{n})_{n \geq 0} : \exists \{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma, s.t. |f_{n}| \leq \lambda_{n-1}, a.e., \lambda_{\infty} \in L^{\phi}\},\\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\phi}} &= \inf_{\{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma} \|\lambda_{\infty}\|_{L^{\phi}}.\\ \mathcal{Q}_{p} &= \{f = (f_{n})_{n \geq 0} : \exists \{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma, s.t. S_{n}(f) \leq \lambda_{n-1}, a.e., \lambda_{\infty} \in L_{p}\},\\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_{p}} &= \inf_{\{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma} \|\lambda_{\infty}\|_{L_{p}}.\\ \mathcal{Q}_{\phi} &= \{f = (f_{n})_{n \geq 0} : \exists \{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma, s.t. S_{n}(f) \leq \lambda_{n-1}, a.e., \lambda_{\infty} \in L^{\phi}\},\\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\phi}} &= \inf_{\{\lambda_{n}\} \in \Gamma} \|\lambda_{\infty}\|_{L^{\phi}}. \end{split}$$

The martingales of  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\phi}$  spaces are respectively said to be the predictable martingales in  $L_1$  and  $L^{\phi}$ . For some further results on the above spaces see Long (1993) and Weisz (1994). Ishak and Mogyorodi (1982) have obtained a characterization about interchanging the space  $\mathcal{D}_1$  to  $\mathcal{D}_{\phi}$ . On the basis of this, the relations between the  $\mathcal{Q}_1$ -space and the  $\mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$ -space are investigated.

**Remark 1.** Infimum in the definitions of  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}_p}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Q}_p}$  is achieved. In fact, let  $\{\lambda_n^{(k)}\}$  be a predictable sequence of  $\{S_n(f)\}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|\lambda_{\infty}^{(k)}\|_{L_p} \to \|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_p}(k \to +\infty)$ . Then  $\{\lambda_n^{(f)}\}_{n\geq 0}$  with  $\lambda_n^{(f)} = \inf_k \lambda_n^{(k)}$ , is a predictable sequence of  $\{S_n(f)\}$  satisfying  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{Q}_p} = \|\lambda_{\infty}^{(f)}\|_{L_p}$ , which will be called the optimal  $L_p$ -predicting quadratic sequences for f. The proof for  $\mathcal{Q}_p$  is similar.

**Definition 1.** Let  $f = (f_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a martingale and  $v = (v_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be an adapted process. The following transform

$$g_n = \sum_{i=1}^n v_{i-1} df_i, \quad n \ge 1, \qquad g_0 = 0, \ a.e.$$

is called a martingale transform.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f = (f_n)_{n \ge 0}$  be a martingale. Then f converges a.e. on the set  $\{x : s(f) < \infty\}$ .

**Remark 2.** It is well known that for any martingale  $f = (f_n)_{n>0}$  with  $E(Md) < \infty$ ,  $Md = \sup |df_n|$ .

 $\{x : f \text{ converges}\} = \{x : Mf < \infty\} = \{x : S(f) < \infty\} \pmod{2}$ 

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1153845

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1153845

Daneshyari.com