



# A note on the complete convergence of moving average processes

Soo Hak Sung

Department of Applied Mathematics, Pai Chai University, Taejeon 302-735, South Korea

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 18 August 2007

Received in revised form 1 March 2009

Accepted 2 March 2009

Available online 9 March 2009

MSC:  
60F15  
60G50

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\{Y_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  be a doubly infinite sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E|Y_1| < \infty$ ,  $\{a_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  an absolutely summable sequence of real numbers. It is still an open question whether  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(|\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i+k}(Y_i - EY_i)| > n\epsilon) < \infty$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . In this paper, we show that the answer to this question is false by giving a counterexample. This also shows that some basic results in the literature on this topic are not completely correct.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Assume that  $\{Y_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  is a doubly infinite sequence of identically distributed random variables. Let  $\{a_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  be an absolutely summable sequence of real numbers and

$$X_n = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{i+n} Y_i, \quad n \geq 1$$

be the moving average process based on the sequence  $\{Y_i\}$ .

Under the independence assumption of the base sequence  $\{Y_i\}$ , many limiting results have been obtained for the moving average process  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ . For example, [Ibragimov \(1962\)](#) has established the central limit theorem, [Burton and Dehling \(1990\)](#) have obtained a large deviation principle, and [Li et al. \(1992\)](#) have obtained the complete convergence. Under different dependence assumptions of the base sequence  $\{Y_i\}$ , [Zhang \(1996\)](#), [Baek et al. \(2003\)](#), and [Li and Zhang \(2004\)](#) have obtained the complete convergence results.

For a sequence  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  of i.i.d. random variables, [Baum and Katz \(1965\)](#) proved the following well-known complete convergence theorem.

**Theorem A.** Suppose that  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. Then  $EX_1 = 0$  and  $E|X_1|^p < \infty$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ) if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} P(|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i| > n^{1/p}\epsilon) < \infty$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

The case  $r = 2$  and  $p = 1$  of the above theorem was proved by [Hsu and Robbins \(1947\)](#) and [Erdős \(1949\)](#). [Spitzer \(1956\)](#) proved the above theorem for the case  $r = 1$  and  $p = 1$ .

[Li et al. \(1992\)](#) generalized Hsu–Robbins–Erdős result for the moving average process based on a sequence of i.i.d. random variables  $\{Y_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$ . [Zhang \(1996\)](#) and [Baek et al. \(2003\)](#) generalized the result of [Baum and Katz \(1965\)](#) for the moving average process based on a sequence of dependent random variables. If we omit the insignificant condition (slowly

E-mail address: [sungsh@pcu.ac.kr](mailto:sungsh@pcu.ac.kr).

varying function), the result of Zhang (1996) can be formulated as follows:

**Theorem B.** Let  $\{Y_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  be a sequence of identically distributed and  $\phi$ -mixing random variables with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{1/2}(n) < \infty$ . Suppose that  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is the moving average process based on the sequence  $\{Y_i\}$ . If  $EY_1 = 0$  and  $E|Y_1|^{1/p} < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $r \geq 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^n X_k\right| > n^{1/p} \epsilon\right) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Baek et al. (2003) proved Theorem B for the negatively associated random variables. However, the proofs of Zhang (1996) and Baek et al. (2003) are mistakenly based on the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^{r-1-1/p} = O(n^{r-1/p}). \quad (1)$$

Note that (1) holds only for  $r - 1/p > 0$ . From the conditions  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $r \geq 1$ , the proofs of Zhang (1996) and Baek et al. (2003) are valid except for the case  $r = 1$  and  $p = 1$ . Thus it is natural to ask whether the result of Spitzer (1956) holds for the moving average process.

**Question.** If  $\{Y_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E|Y_1| < \infty$ , and  $\{a_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  is an absolutely summable sequence of real numbers, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P(|\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i+k}(Y_i - EY_i)| > n\epsilon) < \infty$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ?

In this paper, we show that the answer to the question is false by giving a counterexample. From this result, we have that Theorems of Zhang (1996) and Baek et al. (2003) for the case  $r = 1$  and  $p = 1$  are not true.

## 2. A counterexample

In this section, we give a counterexample to the question. To do this, we need the following lemmas. Lemma 1 is due to Itemadi (1985).

**Lemma 1.** If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variables, then for any  $t > 0$

$$\max_{1 \leq l \leq n} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^l X_i\right| > t\right) \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_i| > 8t) \left\{1 - P\left(\max_{1 \leq l \leq n} \left|\sum_{i=1}^l X_i\right| > 4t\right)\right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\{Y_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. non-negative random variables with  $EY_1 < \infty$ ,  $\{a_i, -\infty < i < \infty\}$  a summable sequence of non-negative real numbers. Then there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $D$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{i+k} Y_i > \frac{n}{2}\right) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P\left(\left|\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i+k} (Y_i - EY_i)\right| > \frac{n}{64}\right) + DEY_1.$$

**Proof.** Set  $\theta^{-1} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i$  and  $a_{ni} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i+k}$ . Then it is obvious that  $a_{ni} \leq 1/\theta$  and  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} \leq n/\theta$ . By Lemma 1, we have that

$$\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} P\left(a_{ni} Y_i > \frac{n}{2}\right) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} P\left(a_{ni} Y_i I(Y_i > \theta n/2) > \frac{n}{2}\right) \leq \frac{4P\left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} Y_i I(Y_i > \theta n/2) > \frac{n}{16}\right)}{1 - P\left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} Y_i I(Y_i > \theta n/2) > \frac{n}{4}\right)}. \quad (2)$$

We also have by Markov's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} Y_i I(Y_i > \theta n/2) > \frac{n}{4}\right) &\leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} EY_1 I(Y_1 > \theta n/2) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\theta} EY_1 I(Y_1 > \theta n/2) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} EY_1 I(Y_1 > \theta n/2) \leq n/32$  and  $P(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} Y_i I(Y_i > \theta n/2) > \frac{n}{4}) \leq 1/8$  if  $n \geq N$ . It follows that for  $n \geq N$

$$1 - P\left(\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ni} Y_i I(Y_i > \theta n/2) > \frac{n}{4}\right) \geq \frac{7}{8} \quad (3)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1154143>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1154143>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)