

A converse to precise asymptotic results

Deli Li^{a,*}, Aurel Spătaru^{b,2}

^aDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada P7B 5E1

^bInstitute of Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Romanian Academy, Calea 13 Septembrie No. 13, 76100 Bucharest 5, Romania

Received 23 February 2004; received in revised form 25 July 2005

Available online 1 September 2005

Abstract

Let $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with partial sums $\{S_n, n \geq 1\}$, put $f(\varepsilon) = \sum_n a_n P(|S_n| \geq \varepsilon b_n)$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, and assume there exist functions g and h , such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon)f(\varepsilon) = h(EX^2)$ whenever $EX^2 < \infty$ and $EX = 0$. We prove the converse result, namely that $\limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon)f(\varepsilon) < \infty$ and $b_n = O(n)$ imply $EX^2 < \infty$ and $EX = 0$.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: primary 60G50; secondary 60F15

Keywords: Tail probabilities of sums of i.i.d. random variables; Precise asymptotics; Symmetrization

1. Introduction and result

Let $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with $P(X \neq 0) > 0$ and partial sums $\{S_n, n \geq 1\}$, and consider series of the type

$$f(\varepsilon) = \sum_n a_n P(|S_n| \geq \varepsilon b_n), \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

where $a_n, b_n > 0$ and $\sum_n a_n = \infty$. Then there exists a threshold α , such that $f(\varepsilon) = \infty$ for $\varepsilon < \alpha$, while $f(\varepsilon) < \infty$ for $\varepsilon > \alpha$. The so-called precise asymptotic problem consists in finding, under appropriate moment conditions, an elementary function $g(\varepsilon) > 0, \varepsilon > \alpha$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow \alpha} g(\varepsilon) = 0$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow \alpha} g(\varepsilon)f(\varepsilon) = l \neq 0, \infty$, i.e., in establishing that $f(\varepsilon) \sim l/g(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow \alpha$. For almost exhaustive references on this area, see Spătaru (2004a,b). Except for the cases, where X is assumed to belong to the domain of attraction of a stable law, most known such asymptotics are derived by assuming at least $EX^2 < \infty$, and then it turns out that $l = h(EX^2)$ for some finite function h . Typical precise asymptotic results suited to have genuine converses are those where the assumption “ $EX^2 < \infty$ and $EX = 0$ ” is stronger than “ $f(\varepsilon) < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ ”. When $\alpha = 0$, such a general result is as follows.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: dli@sleet.lakeheadu.ca (D. Li), aspataru@pcnet.ro (A. Spătaru).

¹Supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

²Supported in part by the Ministry of Education and Research of Romania under Grant CNCSIS 27639.

Theorem A. *There exist positive and finite functions g and h on $(0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$, depending solely on the sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$, such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon) f(\varepsilon) = h(EX^2),$$

whenever $EX^2 < \infty$ and $EX = 0$.

We obtain the next converse to Theorem A.

Theorem 1. *Let g be as in Theorem A, and assume that*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon) \sum_n a_n P(|S_n| \geq \varepsilon b_n) < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where $b_n = O(n)$. Then $EX^2 < \infty$ and $EX = 0$.

Remark 1. (1) implies that $f(\varepsilon) < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. If this, in turn, implied that $EX^2 < \infty$ and $EX = 0$, there would be nothing more to prove. The two examples below are veritable converses to important precise asymptotic theorems.

Proof. We first show that (1) implies $EX^2 < \infty$. To do this, let $\{X', X'_n, n \geq 1\}$ be an independent copy of $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$, and consider the symmetrized random variables $Y = X - X'$, $Y_n = X_n - X'_n$, $U_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$, $n \geq 1$. Next, for any $\lambda > 0$, write $Y_n(\lambda) = Y_n I\{|Y_n| \leq \lambda\} - Y_n I\{|Y_n| > \lambda\}$, $n \geq 1$. Since each Y_n is symmetric, it follows that $\{Y, Y_n(\lambda), n \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. random variables. Clearly, (1) implies that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon) \sum_n a_n P(|U_n| \geq 2\varepsilon b_n) < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k I\{|Y_k| \leq \lambda\} = \left(U_n + \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k(\lambda) \right) / 2,$$

(2) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon) \sum_n a_n P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k I\{|Y_k| \leq \lambda\}\right| \geq 2\varepsilon b_n\right) \\ \leq 2 \limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon) \sum_n a_n P(|U_n| \geq 2\varepsilon b_n) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, by Theorem A, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon) \sum_n a_n P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^n Y_k I\{|Y_k| \leq \lambda\}\right| \geq 2\varepsilon b_n\right) = h(E[Y^2 I\{|Y| \leq \lambda\}]/4). \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) with (4), it follows there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$h(E[Y^2 I\{|Y| \leq \lambda\}]/4) \leq M < \infty, \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (5)$$

As $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h(x) = \infty$, on letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in (5) shows that $EY^2 < \infty$, and so $EX^2 < \infty$.

We now show that (1) implies $EX = 0$. Note that $nEX = S_n - (S_n - nEX)$, $n \geq 1$. Hence, by (1) and Theorem A, we have

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} g(\varepsilon) \sum_n a_n P(|nEX| \geq 2\varepsilon b_n) < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Since $\sum_n a_n = \infty$ and $b_n = O(n)$, (6) leads to $EX = 0$. \square

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1155340>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1155340>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)