

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/spa)



stochastic processes and their applications

[Stochastic Processes and their Applications 123 \(2013\) 45–75](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2012.08.013)

[www.elsevier.com/locate/spa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/spa)

## Small mass asymptotic for the motion with vanishing friction

M[a](#page-0-0)rk Freidlin<sup>a</sup>, Wenqing Hu<sup>[a,](#page-0-0)[∗](#page-0-1)</sup>, Alexander Wentzell<sup>[b](#page-0-2)</sup>

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> *Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland at College Park, United States* <sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, United States*

Received 3 January 2012; received in revised form 23 August 2012; accepted 30 August 2012 Available online 7 September 2012

## Abstract

We consider the small mass asymptotic (Smoluchowski–Kramers approximation) for the Langevin equation with a variable friction coefficient. The friction coefficient is assumed to be vanishing within certain region. We introduce a regularization for this problem and study the limiting motion for the 1-dimensional case and a multidimensional model problem. The limiting motion is a Markov process on a projected space. We specify the generator and the boundary condition of this limiting Markov process and prove the convergence.

⃝c 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*MSC:* 60J60; 60H10; 60J50; 60B10

*Keywords:* Smoluchowski–Kramers approximation; Diffusion processes; Weak convergence; Boundary theory of Markov processes

## 1. Introduction

The Langevin equation

<span id="page-0-3"></span> $\mu \ddot{q}^{\mu}_{t} = b(q^{\mu}_{t}) - \lambda \dot{q}^{\mu}_{t} + \sigma(q^{\mu}_{t}) \dot{W}_{t}, \qquad q^{\mu}_{0} = q \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \qquad \dot{q}^{\mu}_{0} = p \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$  $(1.1)$ 

describes the motion of a particle of mass  $\mu$  in a force field  $b(q)$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , subject to random fluctuations and to a friction proportional to the velocity. Here  $W_t$  is the standard Wiener process

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 11 240 338 5229.

*E-mail addresses:* [mif@math.umd.edu](mailto:mif@math.umd.edu) (M. Freidlin), [huwenqing@math.umd.edu](mailto:huwenqing@math.umd.edu) (W. Hu), [wentzell@math.tulane.edu](mailto:wentzell@math.tulane.edu) (A. Wentzell).

<sup>0304-4149/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\circ$  2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. [doi:10.1016/j.spa.2012.08.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2012.08.013)

in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  is the friction coefficient. The vector field  $b(q)$  and the matrix function  $\sigma(q)$  are assumed to be continuously differentiable and bounded together with their first derivatives. The matrix  $a(q) = (a_{ij}(q)) = \sigma(q)\sigma^*(q)$  is assumed to be non-degenerate.

It is assumed usually that the friction coefficient  $\lambda$  is a positive constant. Under this assumption, one can prove that  $q_t^{\mu}$  converges in probability as  $\mu \downarrow 0$  uniformly on each finite time interval [0, *T*] to an *n*-dimensional diffusion process  $q_t$ : for any  $\kappa$ ,  $T > 0$  and any  $p_0^{\mu} = p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $q_0^{\mu} = q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  fixed,

$$
\lim_{\mu\downarrow 0} \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{0\leq t\leq T} |q_t^{\mu}-q_t|_{\mathbb{R}^d} > \kappa\right) = 0.
$$

Here  $q_t$  is the solution of equation

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_t = \frac{1}{\lambda} \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{q}_t) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sigma(\boldsymbol{q}_t) \dot{\boldsymbol{W}}_t, \qquad \boldsymbol{q}_0 = \boldsymbol{q}_0^{\mu} = \boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n.
$$
 (1.2)

The stochastic term in  $(1.2)$  should be understood in the Itô sense.

The approximation of  $q_t^{\mu}$  by  $q_t$  for  $0 < \mu \ll 1$  is called the Smoluchowski–Kramers approximation. This is the main justification for replacement of the second order equation [\(1.1\)](#page-0-3) by the first order equation [\(1.2\).](#page-1-0) The price for such a simplification, in particular, consists of certain non-universality of Eq.  $(1.2)$ : the white noise in  $(1.1)$  is an idealization of a more regular stochastic process  $\dot{W}_t^{\delta}$  with correlation radius  $\delta \ll 1$  converging to  $\dot{W}_t$  as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ . Let  $q_t^{\mu,\delta}$  be the solution of Eq. [\(1.1\)](#page-0-3) with  $\dot{W}_t$  replaced by  $\dot{W}_t^{\delta}$ . Then limit of  $q_t^{\mu,\delta}$  as  $\mu, \delta \downarrow 0$  depends on the relation between  $\mu$  and  $\delta$ . Say, if first  $\delta \downarrow 0$  and then  $\mu \downarrow 0$ , the stochastic integral in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) should be understood in the Itô sense; if first  $\mu \downarrow 0$  and then  $\delta \downarrow 0$ ,  $q_t^{\mu,\delta}$  converges to the solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) with stochastic integral in the Stratonovich sense (see, for instance, [\[5\]](#page--1-0)).

We considered in [\[6\]](#page--1-1) the case of a variable friction coefficient  $\lambda = \lambda(q)$ . We assumed in that work that  $\lambda(q)$  is smooth and  $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda(q) \leq \Lambda < \infty$ . It turns out that in this case the solution  $q_t^{\mu}$  of [\(1.1\)](#page-0-3) does not converge, in general, to the solution of [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) with  $\lambda = \lambda(q)$ , so that the Smoluchowski–Kramers approximation should be modified. In order to do this, we considered in [\[6\]](#page--1-1) Eq. [\(1.1\)](#page-0-3) with  $\dot{W}_t$  replaced by  $\dot{W}_t^{\delta}$  described above:

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\mu \ddot{q}^{\mu,\delta}_t = b(q^{\mu,\delta}_t) - \lambda (q^{\mu,\delta}_t) \dot{q}^{\mu,\delta}_t + \sigma (q^{\mu,\delta}_t) \dot{W}^{\delta}_t, \qquad q^{\mu,\delta}_0 = q, \qquad \dot{q}^{\mu,\delta}_0 = p. \tag{1.3}
$$

It was proved in [\[6\]](#page--1-1) that after such a regularization, the solution of [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) has a limit  $q_t^{\delta}$  as  $\mu \downarrow 0$ , and  $\boldsymbol{q}_t^{\delta}$  is the unique solution of the equation obtained from [\(1.3\)](#page-1-1) as  $\mu = 0$ :

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_t^{\delta} = \frac{1}{\lambda(\boldsymbol{q}_t^{\delta})} \boldsymbol{b}(\boldsymbol{q}_t^{\delta}) + \frac{1}{\lambda(\boldsymbol{q}_t^{\delta})} \sigma(\boldsymbol{q}_t^{\delta}) \dot{\boldsymbol{W}}_t^{\delta}, \qquad \boldsymbol{q}_0^{\delta} = \boldsymbol{q}. \tag{1.4}
$$

Now we can take  $\delta \downarrow 0$  in [\(1.4\).](#page-1-2) As the result we get the equation

$$
\dot{q}_t = \frac{1}{\lambda(q_t)} b(q_t) + \frac{1}{\lambda(q_t)} \sigma(q_t) \circ \dot{W}_t, \qquad q_0 = q,
$$
\n(1.5)

where the stochastic term should be understood in the Stratonovich sense. We have, for any  $\delta$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $T > 0$  fixed and any  $p_0^{\mu, \delta} = p$  fixed, that

$$
\lim_{\mu \downarrow 0} \mathbf{P} \left( \max_{0 \le t \le T} |q_t^{\mu, \delta} - q_t^{\delta}|_{\mathbb{R}^d} > \kappa \right) = 0,
$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1155715>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1155715>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)