

Absolute electron impact ionization cross-sections and polarizability volumes for the C₂ to C₆ methanoates and C₃ to C₇ ethanoates

James E. Hudson, Ze F. Weng¹, Claire Vallance², Peter W. Harland*

Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand

Received 21 September 2005; received in revised form 3 November 2005; accepted 3 November 2005

Available online 9 December 2005

Abstract

Absolute total positive ion electron impact ionization cross-sections from 15 to 285 eV are reported for the C₂ to C₆ methanoates (formates) and the C₃ to C₇ ethanoates (acetates), including all isomers. The reproducibility of the measured cross-sections over the full energy range is better than ±4%, and absolute cross-sections measured for a series of reference species (N₂ and inert gases) are in excellent agreement with values reported by several other groups claiming accuracies of around ±5%. A monotonic relationship is shown to exist between the maximum ionization cross-sections for the esters, reported here, the C₁ to C₄ alcohols, reported previously, and the total number of carbon atoms in the skeletal chain. The relationship provides a useful means for estimating unknown cross-sections. Polarizability volumes for esters may be estimated using a previously established correlation with the maximum ionization cross-section, and for the four species for which published data are available the predictions are in good agreement with experiment. Finally, the experimental data are compared with calculations carried out using the binary encounter Bethe (BEB) method for the calculation of total ionization cross-sections.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Ionization cross-section; Electron impact ionization; Polarizability volume; Ionization of esters

1. Introduction

The influence of functional group, molecular shape and size, molecular orientation and three-dimensional structure on ionization efficiency has revealed some interesting and useful correlations between the maximum electron impact ionization cross-section and other fundamental molecular parameters, such as molecular polarizability volumes and ionization potential [1–9]. Accurate measurements of the absolute total ionization cross-section may be used together with empirical and theoretical relationships to estimate unknown values for other molecular parameters, such as molecular polarizability volume, and may also be used to test theoretical predictions [10,11]. Electron impact ionization plays an important role in many areas of chemistry and physics, including mass spectrometry, plasma

processes and gas discharges. Accurate ionization cross-sections are important for understanding the mechanism of the ionization process, and they are also required for modelling applications ranging from studies of fusion plasma degradation to investigations into radiation effects in medicine and materials science.

In the present work, we report absolute total electron impact ionization cross-sections for the C₂ to C₆ methanoates (formates) and the C₃ to C₇ ethanoates (acetates), including all isomers, from a few eV above threshold to 285 eV. Experimental values for the maximum ionization cross-section are compared with values calculated using the Binary Encounter Bethe method of Kim and Rudd [12–16].

2. Experimental

The ionization cell used for these measurements has been described previously [4,17]. The ionization cell is housed in a vacuum chamber with a typical background pressure of ~10⁻⁷ Torr. Permanent rare-earth magnets with nominal pole strength of 6000 G are used to collimate the electrons emitted from a resistively heated rhenium filament, which is biased at

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +64 3 364 2454; fax: +64 3 364 2110.

E-mail address: peter.harland@canterbury.ac.nz (P.W. Harland).

¹ Present address: Physical and Theoretical Chemistry Laboratory, Oxford University, Oxford OX1 3QZ, UK.

² Present address: University Chemical Laboratory, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB2 1EW, UK.

a potential that determines the electron energy. The effect of the magnetic field on electron path length is small but has been taken into account [4]. The walls of the heated brass ionization cell are coated with colloidal graphite in order to prevent surface scattering of charged particles, an important consideration since the cell walls also serve as the ion collector. The trap current is regulated to a preset value in the range from 75 to 100 nA using feedback control of the filament current. Since the electron energy distribution exhibits a FWHM of around 1 eV, and the trap current regulation becomes unstable below 15 eV, we have not attempted to report ionization thresholds (appearance energies). However, the cross-section for the ionization of N₂ in the region of the ionization threshold is measured using a non-regulated filament current supply in order to estimate the effect of contact potentials on the electron energy scale and this has been reported previously [17].

Analar grade (>99.0%) methyl ethanoate, ethyl ethanoate, *n*-propyl ethanoate, *n*-butyl ethanoate and *n*-amyl ethanoate from Aldrich were dried over MgSO₄ and further purified by fractional distillation under atmospheric pressure. *iso*-Propyl ethanoate, *iso*-butyl ethanoate, *sec*-butyl ethanoate, *tert*-butyl ethanoate were synthesized as follows: to a stirred and gently refluxed solution of the corresponding alcohol (77 mmol, approximately 7.3 mL) and *N,N*-dimethylaniline (10.6 mL, 84 mmol) in anhydrous ether (10 mL), analar acetyl chloride (5.6 mL, 79 mmol) was added dropwise over a period of 30 min with stirring for a further 60 min at the same temperature. The base *N,N*-dimethylaniline was added in order to increase the reaction rate and to prevent acid-catalysed side reactions such as dehydration or alkyl halide formation with tertiary alcohols [18]. The resultant mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, distilled H₂O added (10 mL) and stirring recommenced until all the precipitated *N,N*-dimethylaniline hydrochloride had dissolved. The top organic phase was separated and washed with cold 10% H₂SO₄ (3 × 3 mL), dried over MgSO₄ overnight and purified by fractional distillation under atmospheric pressure.

¹H NMR spectra of all samples were recorded on a 500 MHz Oxford AS 500 spectrometer using CDCl₃ as an internal reference. Both ¹H NMR spectroscopic data and the boiling points measured during fractional distillation were in accord with those reported in Aldrich [19] for samples of 99% or greater purity, indicating high purity of the prepared samples.

Absolute electron ionization cross-sections, σ_i , are calculated from Eq. (1):

$$\frac{I^+}{I^-} = n\sigma_i x \quad (1)$$

where I^+ and I^- are the measured ion and electron currents, n the number density of the target gas and x is the path length through the collision cell. Assuming ideal gas behaviour, the above expression can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{I^+}{I^-} = \frac{P\sigma_i x}{k_B T} \quad (2)$$

where P and T are the pressure and temperature of the target gas and k_B is Boltzmann's constant.

Ionization efficiency curves are highly reproducible from run to run, even for experiments carried out several weeks apart. The results reported here are the averages of between 5 and 10 repeated determinations for each target gas, made over a period of several months. Before and after each data run, three to five measurements were made of the ionization efficiency curve for N₂. These were compared with the data recommended in the compilation by Lindsay and Mangan [9] as an independent assessment of the accuracy of the data. The recommended maximum cross-section for N₂ is given as 2.51 Å² (±5%) and the absolute values measured in this work always fall within the range 2.50–2.52 Å².

3. Results and discussion

Cross-section data for the C₂ to C₆ methanoates (formates) and the C₃ to C₇ ethanoates (acetates) are shown graphically in Figs. 1 and 2. Tabulated data can be obtained from the corresponding author on request. A plot of the maximum ionization cross-section, σ_{\max} , for the methanoates, ethanoates and the C₁ to C₄ alcohols, reported previously, as a function of the number of carbon atoms, n_C , in the molecule is shown in Fig. 3. The component of cross-section for the addition of each –CH₂ unit is shown to be 2.67 Å², Eq. (3):

$$\sigma_{\max} = 2.67n_C + 2.34 \quad (3)$$

where the goodness of fit $R^2 = 0.997$. Since this plot includes the methanoates, ethanoates and alcohols [17], Eq. (3) could be used




Fig. 1. Ionization cross-section vs. electron energy for the methanoates: a, methyl methanoate; b, ethyl methanoate; c, *iso*-propyl methanoate; d, *normal*-propyl methanoate; e, *iso*-butyl methanoate; f, *normal*-butyl methanoate; g, *normal*-amyl methanoate.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1194847>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1194847>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)