



Chiral capillary electrophoresis–mass spectrometry of tetrahydroisoquinoline–derived neurotoxins: Observation of complex stereoisomerism

Hao Wu, Baiqing Yuan, Yi-Ming Liu*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Jackson State University, 1400 Lynch St, Jackson, MS 39110, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 January 2011

Received in revised form 8 March 2011

Accepted 14 March 2011

Available online 21 March 2011

Keywords:

Chiral capillary electrophoresis

Mass spectrometry

Neurotoxin

Tetrahydroisoquinoline

N-methylsalsolinol

Stereoisomer

ABSTRACT

Previous studies have shown that certain 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives (TIQs) are neurotoxins inducing Parkinsonism. Further, individual enantiomers of these toxins such as (*R/S*)-N-methylsalsolinol ((*R/S*)-NMSal) possess distinct neurotoxicological properties. In this work, a chiral capillary electrophoresis (CE) method with electrospray ionization–tandem mass spectrometric (ESI-MS/MS) detection was developed for the quantification of TIQ enantiomers. Enantioseparation was achieved with sulfated β -cyclodextrin (sulfated β -CD) as chiral selector. To avoid any potential contamination of MS ionization source by the non-volatile chiral selector, partial filling technique was deployed in the CE separation. TIQ derivatives, including (*R/S*)-6,7-dihydroxy-1-methyl-TIQ (salsolinol, Sal), (*R/S*)-1-benzyl-TIQ (BTIQ), and (*R/S*)-NMSal, were base-line resolved with resolution values (*R*) ranging from 3 (for Sal) to 4.5 (for BTIQ), which were much better than those reported previously by HPLC methods. ESI-MS/MS detection of the resolved TIQ enantiomers was specific and sensitive (LOD = 1.2 μ M for Sal enantiomers). The proposed chiral CE–MS/MS method was used to study *in vitro* formation of (*R/S*)-NMSal. It was found that NMSal was formed from the incubation of epinine (a dopamine metabolite) with acetaldehyde (a metabolite of alcohol). More interestingly, four isomers of NMSal were separated and detected in the incubation solution. They were identified as (*R*)-*e.e*-NMSal, (*R*)-*e.a*-NMSal, (*S*)-*e.e*-NMSal, and (*S*)-*e.a*-NMSal. This was the first lab evidence that this Parkinsonian neurotoxin exists in multiple isomeric forms.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

It is well documented that some tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives such as N-methylsalsolinol (NMSal) cause neurotoxicological damages similar to those caused by 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) [1–3]. MPTP is a well known synthetic neurotoxin that causes Parkinsonism in humans, monkeys, and various animals [4]. Therefore, study on TIQs' neurotoxicity has been intensive [5–7]. Very importantly, it has been found that many chiral TIQ compounds exhibit enantioselective neurotoxicity, that is the two enantiomers possess distinct neurotoxicological properties [8–10]. For example, (*R*)-enantiomer of NMSal was found 1000 times more potent to induce Parkinsonism in rat than the (*S*)-enantiomer [2,11]. Study on TIQs' enantioselective neurotoxicity requires sensitive quantification of these toxic compounds with stereochemical selectivity.

Analytical methods based on high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [12–17], capillary electrophoresis (CE) [18–21], gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) [22–26], and HPLC–MS [27–29] have been developed for enantiomeric quantification of TIQs. Since many TIQs such as Sal and NMSal are highly hydrophilic and easily oxidized in basic solutions, the cumbersome sample pretreatment and pre-column derivatization procedures required in GC–MS analysis can be problematic causing a significant loss of the analytes. The chiral HPLC–MS methods reported previously allowed a facile determination of Sal enantiomers without pre-column derivatization. However, efforts to achieve a chiral separation of other TIQ neurotoxins including NMSal and BTIQ on the β -cyclodextrin bonded silica column failed in our previous studies [29].

Compared with HPLC, CE offers advantages including high separation efficiency, short separation time, and the compatibility with small sample volume/mass. In recent decade much interest has been given to coupling of CE with MS for chiral analysis. Extensive reviews on this topic were given [30,31]. A major challenge remains the potential contamination of MS ionization source by the non-volatile chiral selector and other additives in CE running buffer.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 601 9793491; fax: +1 601 9793674.
E-mail address: yiming.liu@jsums.edu (Y.-M. Liu).

Several chiral selectors including chiral crown ethers [32,33], proteins [34], chiral micelles [35], and cyclodextrins [36,37] were used in chiral CE–MS analysis. In search for an effective assay of TIQ enantiomers, a CE–MS method with high separation efficiency, peak identification capability, and assay sensitivity was developed. In this work, sulfated β -cyclodextrin (β -CD) was selected as the chiral selector since it was the most effective chiral selector for resolving Sal enantiomers by using HPLC based on our previous investigations. In addition, since sulfated β -CD is negatively charged, it migrates away from the MS ionization source in a CE–MS separation. To avoid any potential contamination by the non-volatile chiral selector, partial filling technique was deployed. Three most extensively studied TIQ neurotoxins, i.e. Sal, NMSal, and BTIQ were selected as the model analytes. By using the chiral analytical method, *in vitro* formation of NMSal from incubation of epinine (a dopamine metabolite) with acetaldehyde (an alcohol metabolite) was investigated. Taking advantage of the mass-specific detection, stable isotope labeled chemicals could be used to facilitate the CE peak identification in the study of this important neurotoxin.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Racemic Sal, racemic 1-BTIQ, dopamine (DA), epinine, acetaldehyde, acetaldehyde-2,2,2- d_3 , ammonium acetate, acetic acid and sulfated sodium salt of β -cyclodextrin (sulfated β -CD) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). (+)-(*R*)-/(–)-(*S*)-Sal enantiomers were prepared from racemic Sal as described in our previous work [19]. Milli-Q water (Millipore) was used throughout the work. Prior to CE analysis, all samples and the running buffer were filtered through a nylon 0.22 μ m syringe filter.

2.2. CE–MS apparatus

The CE–MS system consisted of an Agilent 7100 capillary electrophoresis system and a ThermoFinnigan mass spectrometer (LCQ DECA). A CE–MS adapter kit from Agilent Technologies was used for the coupling. All CE operations including capillary flush, chiral selector loading, sample injection, and separation were automated. The mass spectrometer was equipped with an ESI source and a syringe pump. It was operated in a positive ion mode. Multiple stage mass spectrometry (MS/MS) experiments were performed to isolate and fragment the targeted ions. The operating conditions of the MS detector were optimized with a solution of Sal (1.0 μ M) infused into the ESI–MS system with a syringe pump at a flow rate of 2 μ L/min. Parameters were optimized using the Autotune Program. Data were collected and analyzed by using Xcalibur.

2.3. Chiral CE–MS assay

Capillary was flushed with the CE running buffer for 3 min, and then the chiral selector solution was introduced into the capillary by pressure injection at 100 mbar for 50 s. A sample solution was injected at 50 mbar for 12 s. The capillary inlet end was placed in the CE running buffer vial and separation was started by applying a positive voltage. At the same time MS detection began (sheath liquid was automatically turned on by the mass spectrometer).

CE conditions: column, 50 μ m ID/360 μ m OD \times 75 cm long fused-silica capillary; CE running buffer, 20 mM acetic acid/ammonium acetate buffer at pH 5.5; chiral selector solution, 1.0 mM sulfated β -CD in CE running buffer; CE voltage, positive 25.0 kV; column temperature, 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

MS conditions: sheath liquid, 50% methanol in water containing 0.1% acetic acid at 2 μ L/min; spray voltage, 4 kV; capillary temperature, 220 $^{\circ}$ C; sheath gas, 20 arbitrary units (au); auxiliary gas, 0 au.

For SRM experiments, normalized collision energy was set at 30 with an isolation width of 2.0 μ m, and the activation time was set at 30 ms.

2.4. *In vitro* study of NMSal formation

Epinine was dissolved in 50 mM phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4). The solution was mixed with acetaldehyde. The final concentrations were 10 mM and 30 mM for epinine and acetaldehyde, respectively. The mixture was incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h and then centrifuged at 10000 \times g for 10 min. Supernatant was collected for NMSal quantification. Incubations of epinine with acetaldehyde- d_3 were carried out similarly.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chiral CE–MS of TIQs

In our previous work on chiral CE separation of TIQs, a very complex running buffer containing β -CD as the chiral selector had to be used, which did not allow its coupling with MS detection [18]. Ammonium acetate buffer was selected as the background electrolyte in this work because it was volatile and would not contaminate the MS ionization source. Volatile chiral selectors such as certain chiral crown ethers are ideal for chiral CE–MS analysis. However, our efforts to resolve Sal enantiomers by using chiral crown ethers (e.g. 2-hydroxymethyl-18-crown-6) came out with no success. From our computational studies, cyclodextrins form inclusion complexes with Sal, NMSal, and BTIQ with stereochemical preferences in terms of the stabilization energy [38]. Sulfated β -CD, although non-volatile, is negatively charged, and thus migrates against the EOF or away from the MS detector in the proposed CE–MS set-up. Therefore, it was selected as the chiral selector. Further, partial filling technique [32,39,40] was deployed to ensure that sulfated β -CD would not get into the MS ionization source. Results from studying various separation conditions with Sal enantiomers as the model solutes are described as following.

The pH of CE running buffer affects the magnitude of EOF and the apparent charge numbers on the chiral selector and the analytes. It, therefore, affects the separation results. In the tested pH range from 3 to 7, the best resolution of Sal enantiomers was achieved at pH 5.5. The migration time of Sal increased as the running buffer pH decreased. When it was <3, Sal would not be eluted out. Concentration of sulfated β -CD in the range of 0.1–10.0 mM was investigated. Sal enantiomers were base-line resolved with sulfated β -CD concentration at 1.0 mM or above. At high sulfated β -CD concentrations (e.g. 5 mM), better resolutions were obtained, but the migration times increased significantly (to about 30 min). To obtain both an acceptable separation resolution and good separation efficiency, sulfated β -CD at 1 mM was used for further studies. Sheath liquid was used for the coupling. The composition of sheath liquid was investigated to obtain the best MS detection sensitivity. Mixtures of water and methanol or acetonitrile at various ratios containing acetic acid were tested. It was found that better MS signals were obtained with water/methanol (50:50 v/v) with 0.1% acetic acid. Acetic acid was added to enhance the formation of positive ions in ESI. The flow rate of sheath liquid was set at 2 μ L/min. An unstable ESI spray was observed at a flow rate of 1 μ L/min. Under the selected experimental conditions, enantiomers of Sal, BTIQ, and NMSal were all base-line separated and sensitively detected. Typical electropherograms from the separations and the MS² spectra are shown in Fig. 1. The resolution values (*R*) were 3 for Sal enantiomers and 4.5 for BTIQ enantiomers, which were much better than those previously reported by using HPLC–MS methods [27–29]. Moreover, the chiral CE separation was completed within 15 min.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1202217>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1202217>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)