ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Chromatography A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chroma



Applications of Hadamard transform-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry to online detection of exhaled breath after drinking or smoking

Yuan-Kai Cheng^a, Cheng-Huang Lin^{a,*}, Takashi Kaneta^b, Totaro Imasaka^b

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 April 2010 Received in revised form 7 June 2010 Accepted 11 June 2010 Available online 19 June 2010

Keywords: Drinking Smoking Exhaled breath HT-GC/MS

ABSTRACT

A Hadamard transform-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (HT-GC/MS) technique was employed for the online detection of ethanol or toluene in exhaled breath after drinking or smoking, respectively. Exhaled breath samples, collected from volunteers, were directly injected into the GC inlet by a Hadamard-injector without any pretreatment. In the case of breath from a drinker, using a conventional single injection, a small ion peak (corresponding to \sim 0.1 ng of ethanol), the intensity of which was approximately equal to or less than the limit of detection. When the HT technique was applied, the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio was dramatically improved. Furthermore, in the case of breath from a smoker, using conventional injection, a weak ion peak (corresponding to \sim 0.7 pg of toluene) was marginally detected. However, the HT technique led to an improvement in the S/N ratio, with the peak corresponding to the limit of detection. In both cases, the HT technique permitted specific components in exhaled breath to be determined, without the need for any extraction procedures.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In GC (gas chromatography) separations, extraction and clean up steps are generally needed prior to the actual analysis of a practical sample [1]. For this reason, various extraction methods have been developed and are currently in use. Examples include liquid-liquid extraction, solid-phase extraction, accelerated solvent extraction and supercritical fluid extraction, etc. However, with the emphasis on green chemistry in analytical chemistry, a GC detection method that does not involve the use of solvents would be highly desirable. Hence, the use of a mathematical method is one alternative for improving the limit of detection (LOD) of an analysis and the resolution of analytical measurements. The Hadamard transform (HT) technique has been applied to many fields, including time-of-flight mass spectrometry [2–5], Raman spectrometry [6–8], fluorescence imaging [9-12], ion mobility spectrometry [13,14], NMR [15,16] and capillary electrophoretic separations [17–21]. The application of a multiplexing technique such as a Hadamard transformation has also been demonstrated to be useful in GC [22-25] and liquid chromatography [26–31]. Trapp reported on the use of high-throughput multiplexing GC using the HT method [32]. We also previously reported on applications of the Hadamard transform-gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (HT-GC/MS) method [33-35], in which the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios of the signals were substantially improved after the inverse Hadamard transformation of the encoded chromatogram. In this study, we report on a Hadamard transform (HT) technique that permits the rapid and sensitive online detection of analytes by GC at low concentration levels with the complete elimination of any extraction step. Ethanol and toluene in exhaled breath samples, which were collected after drinking and smoking, respectively, were determined by GC/MS. The operation steps of HT-GC/MS, the levels of ethanol and toluene in exhaled breath samples, the degree of enhancement in S/N ratios and details of the experimental conditions are reported herein.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents

Ethanol and toluene were obtained from Acros (Belgium). Beer (alcohol content, 4.5%; Taiwan Tsing beer Corp., Ltd.) and cigarettes (Mild Seven, Japan Tobacco) used in this study were purchased from a local supermarket. All other chemicals and gases were of analytical grade and were obtained from commercial sources.

2.2. Apparatus

A gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC 5890 equipped with 5972 mass selective detectors; Hewlett-Packard, Avondale, PA, USA) was used in this study. An in-house fabricated Hadamard-

^a Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan Normal University, 88 Sec. 4, Tingchow Road, Taipei, Taiwan

^b Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, and Division of Translational Research, Center for Future Chemistry, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +886 2 89316955; fax: +886 2 29324249. E-mail address: chenglin@ntnu.edu.tw (C.-H. Lin).




Fig. 1. A schematic diagram of the online HT-GC/MS detection system.

injector was used for the sample injection and was controlled via a personal computer through a PCI 6221 device (National Instruments, USA), according to a series of Hadamard codes. A capillary column (30 m \times 0.25 μm I.D.) with an DB-5MS (cross-linked 5% PH ME siloxane) bonded stationary phase film, 0.25 µm in thickness (Agilent Technologies, USA), was used. The inlet temperature was maintained at 150°C and the column oven was also held at 80 °C (carrier gas: helium, flow-rate 1.2 mL/min operating in either the splitless or split mode). The mass spectrometry conditions were as follows: ionization energy, 70 eV; and, ion source temperature, 250 °C. A selected ion monitor (SIM) mode was used by selecting ion peaks at m/z = 31, 45 and 46 for ethanol and 91 and 92 for toluene, respectively. Dwell values were set at 25 and 45 for ethanol and toluene, respectively; 8 dots/s could be recorded. Data were collected using Hewlett-Packard Chem-Station software with transfer to an ASCII text file. The average was calculated for each 12 dots that were treated as one bin to fit the HT calculation. A commercially available hand breathalyzer (Dräger Alcotest 6510, USA) was used for the comparison of the alcohol analysis.

The HT-GC chromatograms were calculated using the LabVIEW 8.6 program, as described previously [33].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Hadamard-injector and exhaled breath collection

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of the online detection HT-GC/MS system used. A stainless holding chamber (0.7 L) was used for the collection of exhaled breath. The Hadamard-injector (an in-house fabricated micro-control valve) was prepared by modifying a regular pulse nozzle, as described previously [34,35]. The injection volume of the pressurized sample was adjusted by changing the background pressure, the inner diameter of the capillary, the capillary length and the injection time. Once the exhaled breath sample was slowly and continually blown through the holding chamber, the chamber and the Hadamardinjector were pressurized by background N₂ gas. In the meantime, a personal computer was used to rapidly turn the Hadamardinjector on and, according to a series of Hadamard codes, leading to the introduction of the pressurized gas sample of exhaled breath through the capillary into the GC column. The optimized background pressure was 1.8 kg/cm². The injection times for the drinking and smoking experiments were 65 and 500 ms, respectively, resulting in injection volumes of 1 and 43 µL for each single injection.

3.2. Online detection of exhaled breath after drinking.

Fig. 2 shows typical GC/MS chromatograms for breath samples of an adult volunteer (weight, 73 kg), collected at 30 min (frame A) and 180 min (frame B) later after drinking 485 mL of beer (4.5%, alcohol), obtained by means of the SIM (selective ion monitoring) mode (ion peaks of m/z=31, 45 and 46). The GC conditions are shown in the figure caption. In frame A. chromatogram (a) shows the result obtained for a single injection (injection volume of exhale breath, 1 µL). The inset (above chromatogram (a)) shows the result obtained for a 0.2 µL volume of saturated ethanol vapor at room temperature. Under these conditions, the concentration of ethanol was estimated to be $\sim 20 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$ (ion intensity, 4090). Compared to this, the breath sample showed a weak ion peak for ethanol (ion intensity, 20), since the ethanol level in 1 µL of exhaled breath is extremely low (estimated to \sim 0.1 ng). In general, such a small amount would be difficult to detect without the use of extraction steps. However, under the same experimental conditions, when the Hadamard injection was performed (as shown in chromatogram (b); matrix order, n = 255), the S/N ratio was dramatically improved by 7.9-fold. The inset (above chromatogram (b)) shows the raw data prior to the inverse Hadamard transformation. The detected peak is completely consistent with the theoretically predicted value, suggesting that the HT-GC/MS injection device functions very well. The entire measurement can be completed within \sim 15 min. Furthermore, when a higher matrix order (n = 1023) was used, the S/N ratio was further improved by 11-13-fold (depending on the subiect and the measurements). Frame B shows the results obtained for the same beer drinking experiment after 180 min. As can be seen from chromatogram (c), a small, marginal peak corresponding to ethanol can be seen. As expected, when the Hadamard injection was used (as shown in chromatogram (d); matrix order, n = 255), the S/N ratio was substantially improved. The inset (above chromatogram (d)) shows the raw chromatogram prior to the inverse Hadamard transformation. In fact, several studies dealing with ethanol concentrations in venous blood and expired breath have been reported [36–40]. In this study, the tendency of breath alcohol vs. time (h, after drinking) was investigated by HT-GC/MS as well as a breathalyzer. Fig. 3 shows the tendency for ethanol to decay in volunteers after beer drinking, as determined by the HT-GC/MS technique (A) and a hand breathalyzer (B), respectively. In the former case, the plots were obtained from the average of three runs; the order of the Hadamard matrix was set at 255. As can been seen, the concentration of ethanol in exhaled breath reached a maximum value at about 0.5-1 h (depending on the subject) after drinking. These results are also in very good agreement with the breathalyzer used in this study. It should be note that alcohol is cleared by zero order kinetics in both cases. Although the breathalyzer used in this study

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1204817

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1204817

Daneshyari.com