Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/na)

Nonlinear Analysis

[www.elsevier.com/locate/na](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/na)

## Extensions of a result by G. Talenti to (p,q)-Laplace equations

A B S T R A C T

Behrouz Em[a](#page-0-0)mizadeh<sup>a</sup>, Yichen Liu<sup>[b](#page-0-1)</sup>, Giovanni Porru<sup>[c](#page-0-2),\*</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> *School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Nottingham, Ningbo, China*

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>b</sup> *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, China*

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>c</sup> *Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Cagliari, Italy*

A R T I C L E I N F O

*Article history:* Received 24 May 2018 Accepted 10 September 2018 Communicated by Enzo Mitidieri

*MSC:* 35J25 49K20 49K30 *Keywords:* (p,q)-Laplacian Symmetrization Comparison results

## 1. Introduction

An inequality attributed to Giorgio Talenti [[11\]](#page--1-0) has been a masterpiece in partial differential equation. Its physical and mathematical implications are profound. The original description of this inequality is here reviewed for the convenience of the reader. Let  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  be the solution to the boundary value problem

$$
-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + c(x)u = f(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,
$$
 (1)

We prove a comparison result for solutions to  $(p,q)$ -Laplace equation via Schwarz symmetrization. For the p-Laplace equation, the corresponding result is due to Giorgio Talenti. In a special (radial) case we also prove a reverse comparison result.

where  $a_{ij}(x)$ ,  $c(x)$  are measurable functions satisfying

$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2, \quad c(x) \ge 0,
$$

and  $f \in L^{\infty}_{+}(\Omega)$ . Henceforth,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$  is a bounded domain.

<span id="page-0-3"></span>Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2018.09.005> 0362-546X/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



<span id="page-0-4"></span>©2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



*E-mail addresses:* [Behrouz.Emamizadeh@nottingham.edu.cn](mailto:Behrouz.Emamizadeh@nottingham.edu.cn) (B. Emamizadeh), [Yichen.Liu01@xjtlu.edu.cn](mailto:Yichen.Liu01@xjtlu.edu.cn) (Y. Liu), [porru@unica.it](mailto:porru@unica.it) (G. Porru).

On the other hand, let  $v \in H_0^1(B)$  be the solution to the following Poisson boundary value problem:

$$
-\Delta v = f^{\sharp}(x) \text{ in } B, v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B,
$$
\n
$$
(2)
$$

where *B* is the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered at the origin and such that  $|B| = |\Omega|$  (the radius *R* of *B* is  $(|\Omega|/\omega_n)^{1/n}$ , where  $\omega_n$  stands for the measure of the n-dimensional unit ball). The notation  $f^{\sharp}$  stands for the Schwarz symmetrization of *f*. In other words,  $f^{\sharp}(x) = f^*(\omega_n|x|^n)$ , where  $f^*$  denotes the essentially unique decreasing rearrangement of *f* defined on the interval  $[0, |\Omega|]$ . The Talenti inequality follows:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
u^{\sharp}(x) \le v(x) \quad \text{for almost every} \quad x \in B. \tag{3}
$$

Some immediate consequences of ([3\)](#page-1-0) are summarized below.

 $(i)$  ess sup $\Omega u(x) \leq \text{ess sup}_{B}v(x)$ ,

(ii)  $\int_{\Omega} u^r dx \le \int_{B} v^r dx$ ,  $1 \le r < \infty$  (norm estimate),

 $\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) u_{x_i} u_{x_j} dx \le \int_{B} |\nabla v|^2 dx$  (energy estimate).

The most common version of Talenti's inequality corresponds to the case when  $a_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij}$ , and the potential function  $c(x)$  is identically zero. Under these conditions, problem ([1\)](#page-0-4) reduces to a Poisson problem:

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
-\Delta u = f(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \tag{4}
$$

In this case, when  $n = 2$ , [\(3](#page-1-0)) can be interpreted physically as follows. An elastic membrane occupying the (horizontal) region  $\Omega$ , fixed at the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and subject to a vertical force  $f(x)$  is displaced from the rest position. The amount of displacement is denoted by *u*, the solution to [\(4](#page-1-1)). In this setting, the Talenti inequality  $(3)$  $(3)$ , guarantees that a circular membrane having the same area as that of  $\Omega$ , and subject to a radial vertical force but equi-measurable with  $f(x)$  will have the largest displacement. Applications of Talenti's inequality in the literature are overwhelming, the reader is suggested to refer to a recent survey [[12\]](#page--1-1), and the numerous references therein for a thorough treatment.

In the present paper, we prove an extension of Talenti's inequality ([3\)](#page-1-0). The precise description of the problem of interest is as follows. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
-\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u = f(x)h(u), \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega,\tag{5}
$$

where  $1 < q < p$ ,  $f(x)$  is a non-negative bounded function, and  $h : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  satisfies the conditions:  $(H1)$  *h*(*t*) is a non-decreasing function,

(H2)  $h(t)t^{1-\alpha}$  is non-increasing for some  $\alpha$  such that  $1 \leq \alpha < q$ .

The operator  $\Delta_p$  (similarly  $\Delta_q$ ) denotes the usual p-Laplacian i.e.  $\Delta_p u = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ . Our first result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let u be the unique positive solution to* ([5\)](#page-1-2)*. Suppose v is the solution to*

$$
-\Delta_p v - \Delta_q v = f^{\sharp}(x)h(v), \quad v > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial B,
$$
\n
$$
(6)
$$

*where B is the ball centered at the origin with radius*  $R = (|\Omega|/\omega_n)^{1/n}$ . Then

$$
u^{\sharp}(x) \le v(x)
$$
 for almost every  $x \in B$ .

The proof of the above theorem relies on a comparison result (see [Proposition](#page--1-2) [2.1\)](#page--1-2) which is itself of interest. Indeed, it is this comparison result which guarantees the solution to problem  $(5)$  $(5)$  to be unique, whereas the existence of a positive solution follows from standard variational arguments (note that, thanks to condition (H2), the associated energy integral is coercive).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/12121871>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/12121871>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)