Journal of Chromatography B, 878 (2010) 398–402

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Chromatography B

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chromb

Simultaneous determination of quinolones for veterinary use by high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection

M.I. Rodríguez Cáceres*, A. Guiberteau Cabanillas, T. Galeano Díaz, M.A. Martínez Cañas

Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Extremadura, Avda. Elvas, S/N, 06071 Badajoz, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 27 August 2009
Accepted 4 December 2009
Available online 16 December 2009

Keywords:
Difloxacin
Sarafloxacin
Danofloxacin
HPLC
Electrochemical detection
Chicken tissues

ABSTRACT

A selective method based on high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection (HPLC-ECD) has been developed to enable simultaneous determination of three fluoroquinolones (FQs), namely danofloxacin (DANO), difloxacin (DIFLO) and sarafloxacin (SARA). The fluoroquinolones are separated on a Novapack C-18 column and detected in a high sensitivity amperometric cell at a potential of +0.8 V. Solid-phase extraction was used for the extraction of the analytes in real samples. The range of concentration examined varied from 10 to 150 ng g^{-1} for danofloxacin, from 25 to 100 ng g^{-1} for sarafloxacin and from 50 to 315 ng g^{-1} for difloxacin, respectively. The method presents detection limits under 10 ng g^{-1} and recoveries around 90% for the three analytes have been obtained in the experiments with fortified samples. This HPLC-ECD approach can be useful in the routine analysis of antibacterial residues being less expensive and less complicated than other more powerful tools as hyphenated techniques.

1. Introduction

Fluoroquinolones (FQs) are antibacterials mainly used for the control of the urinary tract and respiratory infections. The use of quinolone in food-producing animals can generate microbial resistance and also, it is important to mention that this microbial resistance can be transferred to humans, thus, there is a hazard to human health. For this reason, the European Union has established maximum residue limits (MRL) for quinolone residues in animal tissues, and this is included in the Council Regulation 2377/90 [1]. Danofloxacin (DANO), difloxacin (DIFLO) and sarafloxacin (SARA) are FQs of veterinary use. Sarafloxacin was approved by the U.S. FDA for use in chicken, however, as now have been withdrawn from the market due to concerns about microbial resistance [2]. Nonetheless, it is still important to monitor for the possible presence of residues because it is the principal metabolite of difloxacin [3].

Ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (UPLC–MS) has been used for the determination of the three analytes, among others, in eels and urine [4,5]. On the other hand, capillary electrophoresis (CE) and generally high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) have been used for the simultaneous determination of quinolones. For instance, some methods that use CE coupled with mass spectrometry have been developed for the quantification of several FQs in milk [6] and chicken [7,8]. Likewise, HPLC with several types of detectors, such as, ultraviolet [9–12], fluorescence [13–16] and mass spectrometry [9,11,17–21] has been employed. Focusing on the methods which are developed for the analysis of chicken samples, it can be observed that fluorescence and mass spectrometry are the detection methods most employed.

The analysis of chicken tissue samples has been carried out by CE and HPLC. For instance, CE-MS/MS method has been used [7] to determine eight quinolones of veterinary use obtaining limits of detection (LODs) between 17 and 59 ng L^{-1}. The analytes were extracted from the chicken muscle samples by a pressurized liquid extraction method. Beltran et al. [8] developed a procedure for the determination of SARA, among others, in chicken samples based on a capillary zone electrophoresis method coupled with multivariate calibration methods due that there are a strongly overlapping of the peaks of SARA and another FQ. The detector used was a doped array.

On the other hand, since FQs have intrinsic fluorescence, several papers have been published on their analysis in edible tissues by means of HPLC methods with fluorescence detection. Zhao et al. [15] extracted the fluoroquinolones with phosphate-buffered saline solution and cleaned by solid-phase extraction (SPE). Limits of quantification were in the range 0.3–1.0 ng g^{-1}. Schneider et al. [16] extracted the FQs with a mixture of acetonitrile and 0.1 M citrate, 150 mM $MgCl_2$ at pH 5.0. Good recoveries were obtained with LODs comprising between 0.5 and 5 ng g^{-1}. Yorke *et al.* [22] used an acetonitrile basic solution for the extraction of FQs. Recently; a new spectrofluorimetric method [23] has been developed by our research group for the quantification of DANO and DIFLO in the presence of SARA as interference, in chicken tissue samples. The

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 924289375; fax: +34 924274244.
E-mail address: maribelro@unex.es (M.I. Rodríguez Cáceres).

1570-0232/$ – see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2009.12.012

method is based on second-order multivariate calibration, applying parallel factor analysis, to the excitation–emission matrices of these compounds. To solve the high overlapping of the signals and the influence of matrix effects, the standard addition method was used. Both analytes could be analyzed individually and the binary mixture was resolved, with recoveries comprising between 88.7% and 106.6%.

HPLC coupled with mass spectrometry has been used for the confirmatory and quantitative analysis of FQs. On the one hand, Clemente et al. [9] used an experimental design in order to optimize the eluents for the SPE using Isolute Env+ cartridges. On the other hand Bailac et al. [10] developed an extraction methodology using Isolute Env+ cartridges and eluting the FQs with triflouroacetic acid (TFA) and acetonitrile.

Finally, a comprehensive review on the analysis of quinolone antibacterials was presented by Hernández-Arteseros et al. [24] which covered most of the methods described for the determination of quionolone residues in edible animal products up to 2002. The review includes a summary of the most relevant information about the analytical procedures.

To the best of our knowledge, no method has been described to date for determining those three fluoroquinolones in chicken muscle using high-performance liquid chromatography with electrochemical detection (HPLC-ECD). Since fluoroquinolones are electroactive compounds an analysis by HPLC that uses the electrochemical properties of fluoroquinolones is proposed. In this work, a method is developed which takes advantage of liquid chromatography and the selectivity and sensibility of the electrochemical detection technique for effective detection and quantification of three fluoroquinolones simultaneously in chicken muscle.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Stock 2.5×10^{-3} M solutions of danofloxacin (Fluka), sarafloxacin hydrochloride (Riedel de-Häen) and difloxacin hydrochloride (Fort Dodge Veterinaria S.A., Girona, Spain) were prepared in 50-mL volumetric flasks, by weighing the appropriate amount and dissolving in 0.5% aqueous acetic acid. These solutions were stored in dark bottles at 4 °C, remaining stable for at least 1 month. Working solutions were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solutions with Milli-Q water (Millipore, USA). Isolute Env+ cartridges (International Sorbent Technology, Hengoed, Mid Glamorgan, UK) were used for solid-phase extraction. Those cartridges are filled with a copolymer of hydroxyl-polystyrene and divinylbenzene, because of that they have a very strong non-polar (hydrophobic) phase and are extraordinarily appropriate for the extraction of high polar analytes which are not retained in C_8 or C_{18} phases. The pH was measured by a pH meter Crison PH25 (Barcelona, Spain). For all experiments analytical grade chemicals and solvents were used.

2.2. Apparatus

The chromatographic equipment is composed of a 420 two piston HPLC pump from Kontron Instruments, a 7125 Rheodyne sample injector equipped with a 20 μL loop, a Novapak C-18 column (3.9 mm × 150 mm, 60 Å, 4 μm) from Waters, a Coulochem II electrochemical detector equipped with a ESA model 5021 conditioning cell and a ESA model 5011 dual analytical cell protected by ESA filters containing 0.2 μm porous graphite filter elements. The high sensitive analytical cell contains, in series, two porous graphite working electrodes together with associated reference (Pd/H_2) and counter electrodes.

The working electrodes are a large surface area coulometric electrode and a high efficiency amperometric electrode, more than seven times as efficient as conventional amperometric electrodes (70% vs. 5–10% efficiency). The conditioning cell contains a single porous graphite coulometric electrode.

The data acquisition and treatment is controlled from an Intel Pentium II PC equipped with the PC Integration Pack software package CSW32 from DATAAPEX (Prague, Czech Republic).

2.3. HPLC operating parameters

The mobile phase was 0.05 M sodium perchlorate–2% acetic acid:acetonitrile (80:20, v/v). It was filtered through a 0.45 μm nylon membrane filter and degassed in ultrasonic bath before being used. The flow rate was adjusted to 0.60 mL/min and the system was equilibrated for at least 10 min prior to injection of the prepared sample or standard.

The conditioning cell was set at +0.4 V and the electrodes 1 and 2 of the analytical cell were set at +0.4 and +0.8 V, respectively. The selected sensitivity in the PC Integration Pack was 500 nA full scale (1 V).

2.4. Procedure for determination of fluoroquinolones in chicken tissue samples

Chicken tissue samples were lyophilized and defatted (by Soxhlet procedure) prior to isolation of fluoroquinoles. Later, 1 g of lyophilized chicken muscle was spiked with the appropriate amount of analytes and later was extracted twice (5 min) with 15 mL of 0.3% meta-phosphoric acid:acetonitrile (75:25, v/v) at pH 3, and the mixture was stirring magnetically. The solution was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant decanted was filtered through a 0.45 μm nylon filter. It was diluted with 35 mL of water and the resulting solution was passed through a SPE Isolute Env+ cartridge, which was previously conditioned with methanol, water and 50 mM phosphoric acid (pH 3). Afterwards, the cartridge was cleaned with 1 mL of water and the analytes were eluted with 2.5 mL of 2% trifluoroacetic acid:acetonitrile (75:25, v/v) and 1 mL of acetonitrile. The eluted solution was evaporated with heat (50 °C) and under N_2. Finally, the residue was redissolved in 1 mL of mobile phase.

Samples were filtered through 0.45 μm nylon filter membranes and degasified in ultrasonic bath before their injection (20 μL) in the chromatographic system. Three chromatograms per sample were collected and the mean of both height and peak area were used as analytical signal.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Selection of electrode potentials

Firstly, the optimization of the acetonitrile contents in the mobile phase was investigated. 30% of acetonitrile gave the best results with a resolution of 1.5. Secondly, the influence of pH was studied. For that, different mobile phases containing acetonitrile:water (30:70, v/v) were prepared and the acidity of the media was varied with acetic acid between 0.1% and 5%. The best resolution values were found with 2% of acetic acid. Finally, the influence of sodium perchlorate in the resolution was studied between 0 and 100 mM. No influence could be observed, however, 50 mM of sodium perchlorate was selected as electrolyte for the following experiments.

The hydrodynamic curves of the fluoroquinolones were obtained to select the appropriate potential values to detect them in the used system. The potentials in the conditioning cell and in