



Demonstration that methadone is being present in the exhaled breath aerosol fraction

Olof Beck^{a,*}, Sören Sandqvist^a, Johan Franck^b

^a Department of Medicine, Section of Clinical Pharmacology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

^b Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Division of Psychiatry, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 April 2011

Received in revised form 5 July 2011

Accepted 3 August 2011

Available online 9 August 2011

Keywords:

Abused drug testing

Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry

Methadone

Exhaled breath

Bioaerosol

ABSTRACT

Methadone has previously been found present in exhaled breath of methadone treated patients. This study aimed at studying if methadone is present in the aerosol fraction of exhaled breath and used different filter sampling techniques for that. Patients receiving methadone maintenance treatment were recruited for the study. Methadone was extracted from filters collecting methadone from exhaled breath using 2-propanol, methanol and ethyl acetate and measured using liquid-chromatography–tandem mass-spectrometry. The limit of quantification was 5 pg/sample and the intra-day imprecision and accuracy within 15%. The recovery of extracting methadone from filters was >90%. Two types of micro-particle filters were used in this study and were compared with the C18 silica filter (Empore) used before. The Glass fiber filter collected methadone from exhaled breath of methadone patients. The amount collected significantly exceeded the amount using the C18 Empore filter (3.6–14-fold), but the variability of amount trapped was large. The second filter type was a polymer filter. Also this filter was able to trap methadone from exhaled breath of methadone patients. The amount and variability was similar to the C18 Empore filter but smaller than the Glass fiber filter. The mean rate of methadone excretion measured with the best polymer filter was 92 pg/min with a range between 20 and 287 ($n = 5$). The polymer filter has the practical advantage of having a low flow resistance making it possible to sample without pumping assistance. The polymer filter was found to collect >90% of the exhaled methadone. The conclusion of this study was that methadone in exhaled breath is carried in the aerosol fraction known to be formed in the lung as a result of normal breathing.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Following the demonstration that amphetamine and methamphetamine are detectable in human exhaled breath following intake [1], we have been studying this in more detail using methadone as a model substance due to the availability of subjects taking the drug regularly [2–4]. The aim of this line of work is to try to develop breath testing into a practical and new method for performing clinical and forensic drugs of abuse testing. Collection of a breath sample might offer a non-invasive, convenient and safe sampling procedure. A further support of this was the demonstration that also cannabis smoking can be detected using a breath sample by measuring tetrahydrocannabinol [5].

The use of two different sampling procedures and repeated samplings has indicated that methadone can be reproducibly detected in breath and that saliva contamination is not the source of this [2–4]. Recently, the aerosol fraction of breath has been further char-

acterized [6,7]. A specially constructed sampling device based on impactor technology capable of size-fractionating breath aerosol particles was used to demonstrate that the aerosol fraction reflects the airway lining fluid of the lung. Proteins and lipids characteristic of this fluid were detected using mass spectrometry [6]. More recently the same group reported that the site of formation of the aerosol particles is the terminal bronchioles and the mechanism is the airway reopening after airway closure during normal breathing [7].

These findings triggered us to further explore the possibility that methadone is being carried in the aerosol fraction. The present study was aimed to study breath sampling using filters capable of trapping aerosol particles from air. Sampling of breath from patients undergoing methadone maintenance treatment was used as the experimental model.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals and materials

Methadone and methadone-d3 were obtained as ampouled methanol solutions from Cerilliant Corporation (Round Rock,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: olof.beck@karolinska.se (O. Beck).

Texas). Methanol, acetonitrile and ethyl acetate of HPLC grade were from JT Baker (Mallinckrodt Baker BV, Deventer, Holland). 2-Propanol of “normapur” grade was from VWR International (West Chester, PA). Formic acid of analytical grade was from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). The Milli-Q water was of ultra-pure quality ($>18\text{ M}\Omega/\text{cm}$) and prepared in-house. The 47 mm C18 Empore disc was from Varian Inc. (Palo Alto, California). The Type A/E Glass fiber filter (1 μm pore size, 25 mm diameter) was from Pall Co., Ann Arbor, Michigan. The Technostat polymer filter (type 15, 20, 25) at different diameters was from Lindpro AB, Örebro, Sweden.

2.2. Preparation of methadone solutions

The ampouled methadone (99.9% purity, $1.000 \pm 0.006\text{ mg/ml}$) and methadone-d3 (no unlabelled methadone detected) solutions were diluted to $100\text{ }\mu\text{g/ml}$ using methanol. These solutions were further diluted to suitable concentrations in 0.1% formic acid and stored at -18°C for a maximum of 1 year.

2.3. Study subjects

Patients undergoing methadone maintenance treatment (11 males, 4 females, aged 44–57 years) were recruited from the Methadone program in Stockholm (Beroendecentrum, Stockholm). The patients were in steady-state and received supervised daily doses of methadone between 70 and 140 mg. The patients were subjected to regular control of compliance to treatment and any use of illicit drugs by urine drug testing. Ethical approval was obtained from the Stockholm Regional Ethics Committee (No. 2008/1347–31).

2.4. Sampling of exhaled breath on Empore and Glass fiber filter

Compounds present in the exhaled breath were collected for 1–10 min by suction through a 47 mm Empore C18 disc or a 25 mm Glass fiber filter using a membrane pump to assist the flow (pump capacity 300 ml/min). The subjects were asked to breathe more deeply than normal into an alcometer mouth piece (Palmenco AB, Stockholm, Sweden) mounted in the sampling device holding the filter [4]. The mouth was always washed with water prior to the sampling. It was estimated that all the exhaled breath was passed through the filter during the sampling period time. Following sampling the filter was dismantled using a tweezers and stored at -20°C . The sampling device was carefully cleaned between uses with bacterial disinfectant and 70% ethanol.

Following storage the Empore filter or Glass fiber filter was cut into $5 \times 5\text{ mm}$ pieces using a scalpel and transferred to a 10 ml glass test-tube. A volume of $25\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of 100 ng/ml methadone-d3 was added and mixed using a Vortex mixer, $300\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of 2-propanol was added (to wet the surface), mixed and finally 5 ml of 20% methanol in ethyl acetate was added. This mixture was shaken for 1 h in a thermostatic bath at 37°C . Thereafter, the test-tube was centrifuged for 15 min at $3000 \times g$ at 10°C , the supernatant transferred to a new 10 ml glass test-tube, and the extraction procedure repeated using 1 ml of 20% methanol in ethyl acetate. Finally the two supernatants were combined, $10\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of 10% aqueous formic acid added and evaporated to dryness under a stream of nitrogen at a temperature of 40°C . When about 1 ml remained the solution was filtered through a $0.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ PTFE particle filter, which was rinsed with 2 ml of methanol, followed by evaporation. The final dry residue was dissolved in $100\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ of methanol.

Standards for quantification were prepared from fortified blank Empore or Glass fiber filters. These were prepared by using methanol solutions containing 20 or 300 ng/ml of methadone corresponding to 10–2000 pg/filter. After drying the discs were prepared for analysis as described above. Calibration curves were

constructed using linear regression analysis, with weighting factor $1/x$.

2.5. Sampling of exhaled breath on polymer filter

The collection of breath samples using polymer particle filter was performed in a similar way as described above but with the following modifications. No pump assistance was needed. The extraction from filter was performed in an ultra-sound bath for 5 min at room temperature ($+22^\circ\text{C}$).

2.6. Mass spectrometry analysis system

An aliquot of $10\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ was subjected to analysis by selected reaction monitoring (SRM) LC–MS/MS (Sciex API 2000). The chromatographic system was an XTerra C18 column, $50\text{ mm} \times 2.1\text{ mm}$, particle size $3.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, with an XTerra MS C18 $10\text{ mm} \times 2.1\text{ mm}$, particle size $3.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ guard column (Waters Corporation), with mobile phase A = 0.1% formic acid and B = acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. The mobile phase was 85% A for 0.2 min, followed by a linear gradient from 15% B to 100% B to 2.5 min and kept at 100% B until 3.4 min. The equilibration time between injections was about 2.5 min (85% A). The flow rate was 0.425 ml/min and the column temperature was at 40°C .

Two product ions from the protonated molecules were monitored for methadone ($m/z\ 310 \rightarrow 265$; $310 \rightarrow 105$) and one for methadone-d3 ($m/z\ 313 \rightarrow 268$). This was done by selected reaction monitoring (SRM) in the positive electrospray mode with a 100 ms dwell time for each channel. Other instrumental settings were: declustering potential 14, curtain gas 20 psig, collision gas (N_2) 10 psig, ion source temperature 300°C .

2.7. Method validation

For each filter type separate calibration samples were prepared by fortifying blank filter with a methanol solution of methadone. Recovery of extracting methadone from filter was studied in the same way by comparing with a reference solution. Imprecision and accuracy in quantifications was estimated by repetitive analysis of samples prepared from fortified filters at two levels. Limit of detection (LOD, $s/n=3$) and limit of quantification (LOQ, $s/n=10$) was estimated from the lowest calibrator 10 pg/sample . Matrix effect was studied in an experiment where methadone was infused ($1\text{ }\mu\text{g}$ methadone/ml at $10\text{ }\mu\text{l/min}$) post-column while injection a blank matrix extract.

2.8. Statistical calculations

All calculations were made using Excel Windows Office XP.

3. Results

3.1. Method application

An initial experiment indicated that methadone was being trapped from breath on the Glass fiber filter. The LC–MS/MS results demonstrated that in all samples collected the methadone peak met criteria for identification of correct retention time relative to internal standard methadone-d3 and correct ratio (within $\pm 20\%$) between the two product ions (Fig. 1). The following experiment comparing the trapped amount with the Empore filter demonstrated pronounced variability between individuals. The experiment was therefore repeated another two times in which the second used duplicate samplings using the Glass fiber filter with sampling on an Empore filter in between. The summary of these 3 experiments is given in Table 1. A paired t -test, two-sided,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1222237>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1222237>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)