Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/saa

A multi writable thiophene-based selective and reversible chromogenic fluoride probe with dual –NH functionality



SPECTROCHIMICA

Siddharth Vishwakarma^a, Ajit Kumar^b, Abha Pandey^a, K.K. Upadhyay^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry (Centre of Advanced Study), Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh 221005, India ^b Department of Applied Sciences & Humanities, National Institute of Foundry & Forge Technology, Ranchi 834003, Jharkhand, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 April 2016 Received in revised form 2 July 2016 Accepted 10 July 2016 Available online 12 July 2016

Keywords: bis-Schiff base Fluoride Chromogenic sensor Density functional theory Logic gate

ABSTRACT

A chromogenic fluoride probe bearing bis imine groups having dual -NH functionality (BSB) has been designed, synthesised and structurally characterized by its single crystal X-ray diffraction studies. The BSB could visually and spectroscopically recognise F^- with high selectivity over other anions by exhibiting intense chromogenic response (from colourless to red) for F⁻ in acetonitrile solution. The UV-visible titration and ¹H NMR titration experiments indicated that the observed changes occur via a combined process including hydrogen bonding and deprotonation between the BSB and F⁻. Moreover theoretical calculations at the Density Functional Theory (DFT) level shed further light upon probe design strategy and the nature of interactions between **BSB** and F⁻. The limit of detection and binding constant of **BSB** towards F⁻ were found to be 6.9×10^{-7} M and $1.42 \pm$ 0.069×10^8 M⁻² respectively. Finally, by using F⁻ and H⁺ as chemical inputs and the absorbance as output, a IN-HIBIT logic gate was constructed, which exhibits "Multi-write" ability without obvious degradation in its optical output.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The fundamental role of anions in a wide range of biological and chemical processes [1] has given an impetus to the development of optical chemosensors. In most cases, the actions of these optical sensors towards anions were found to be a consequence of hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions or coordination with suitable metal complexes [2]. The hydrogen bonding ligands in particular are attractive in this respect due to their directional nature hence allowing discrimination among anions on the basis of their geometries and hydrogen-bonding abilities [3].

Among the anionic analytes, fluoride is smallest anion with a high charge density and is of particular interest due to its fundamental role in industry, food and toxicity etc. [4]. The fluoride anion also shows beneficial effects towards dental health and the treatment of osteoporosis [5]. Furthermore, it is also used in hypnotic and psychiatric drugs as well as for the refinement of uranium in nuclear technology [6]. However, excessive ingestion of F⁻ may also result in immune system disruption, kidney damage, cancer, [7] dental and skeletal fluorosis, lesions of the thyroid, liver and other organs etc. [8]. The fluorosis causes nephrotoxic changes in both humans and animals, and lead to urolithiasis [9]. There are at least 15 states in India which are affected by fluorosis and among them Gujarat is the most severely affected state due to high fluoride content in drinking water [10]. Hence, there is an urgent necessity to develop a sensor for fluoride ions having low detection limit as well as high selectivity at affordable cost.

In recent years, many optical sensors have been developed for fluoride ion detection. Most of the synthetic chemosensors generally involve the covalent linkage between optical signaling chromophoric fragment and a neutral anion receptor containing amide [11] pyrrole [12], urea [13], thiourea [14], indole [15] subunits. These receptor units can provide one or more H bond donor sites for selective binding and sensing of some anions like AcO⁻, H₂PO₄⁻ and F⁻ etc. In these motifs, N-H^{...}X⁻, plays very important roles for selective anion binding. Although deprotonation is an effective way to accomplish naked-eve recognition, but generally, the same cannot differentiate F⁻ from the matrix of other anions particularly from AcO⁻ and H₂PO₄⁻ due to their comparable basicity to that of F⁻ [16]. Hence, the selectivity is a paramount issue [17] to construct the colorimetric chemosensor with specific receptor for the fluoride ion. Hitherto the colorimetric sensors based on N-H proton donation by molecular scaffolds satisfying the above conditions are few only [18]. Our own few reports in this context are worth to be mentioned [19].

The present piece of work is the latest worth full addition in the same context from our lab. Here we coupled 4-nitrobenzohydrazide over the platform of thiophene-2,5-dicarboxaldhyde (BSB) (Fig. 1). Our design strategy of having nitro group at the 4th position of the benzene ring modulated the acidity of N-H proton in such a way that the same bound selectively with F⁻ only and not with other very similar anions like AcO⁻/H₂PO₄⁻ having a comparable basicity with F⁻. The position of nitro group on benzene ring allows it only for push-pull effect



Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: drkaushalbhu@yahoo.co.in, kku@bhu.ac.in (K.K. Upadhyay).



instead of direct electron withdrawing effect. The anchoring of the thiophene in the probe **BSB** is further justified through theoretical and HOMO-LUMO orbital calculations.

Furthermore, owing to the increasing demands of information technology the design and construction of molecular systems capable of performing complex logic functions such as logic gates [20–24], molecular keypad locks [25], information storage devices [26] is of great scientific interest nowadays. Such logic instruments are believed to transfer the molecular-level information in the form of observable optical signals [23a]. In the same context we are reporting hereby the 'INHIBIT' logic gate incorporating two chemical inputs viz.; F⁻ and H⁺ as two chemical inputs as a direct way of detecting congregations of chemical species.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of BSB; (thiophene-2,5-diylbis(methanylylidene))bis(4-nitrobenzohydrazide)

The 2, 5-thiophene dicarboxaldhyde (140.16 mg, 1.0 mM) and 4nitrobenzohyrazide (362.3 mg, 2.0 mM) were taken in dry MeOH (96%, 10 mL) in a 50 mL round bottom flask having catalytic amount of HCl (Scheme 1). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10–30 min than refluxed for 4 h. A yellow crystalline precipitate isolated from reaction mixture by filtration, washed with hot MeOH for two times and dried in a vacuum oven. The desired compound was obtained as a light yellow crystalline solid (326 mg, 0.70 mM, yield ~70%). The **BSB** have been thoroughly characterized by various spectral techniques such as ¹H, ¹³C NMR, IR and ESI-MS (**ESI; Figs. S1–S4**).

2.2. Spectroscopic characterization of BSB

¹H NMR (**300** MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ ppm): 12.20 (s, 2H, 2–N–H), 8.66 (s, 2H, –HC = N–), 8.38–8.35 (m, 4H, Ar–H), 8.14–8.11(m, 4H, Ar–H), 7.53



Scheme 1. Synthesis of thiophene-based chemosensor BSB.

(2H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆), δ (ppm): 176.93, 149.32, 143.54, 138.96, 131.86, 129.20, 123.72, 121.12; **IR** (**KBr**)/**cm**⁻¹: 3432, 3291 (N–H Stretch), 3220 (N–H Stretch), 3065, 2852, 1673 (°C = 0), 1600 (°C = N), 1519 (NO₂; Stretch), 1457, 1346, 1272, 1137, 1109, 1013, 950, 905, 865, 851, 790, 714, 591, 509; **ESI MS** [**M** + **H**]: 467.6 Calc. for **BSB** [**M**] = 466.43.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Description of the crystal structure of BSB

The structure of **BSB** was confirmed through its single crystal X-ray analysis. Crystals of receptor **BSB** were obtained by slow evaporation of its saturated DMSO-CHCl₃ (1:2, v/v) solution over a period of few weeks. Crystallographic data and details of structure determinations have been summarized in Table 1.

The receptor **BSB** crystallizes in the monoclinic system with P21/c space group. ORTEP representation of the **BSB** is shown in Fig. 2a which reveals its dipodal structure. The dihedral angel between C5-N1-N2-C6 and C13-N4-N5-C14 are -177.91° and -179.42° respectively, implying almost planar configuration about thiophene framework with two lateral 4-nitrobenzohydrazide. Two solvent molecules of DMSO were found to be trapped with **BSB** through hydrogen bonding interactions [N(2)H···O(7), N(5)H···O(8) with 2.026 Å, 2.050 Å respectively]. Furthermore, supramolecular interactions between sulphur atom of DMSO and oxygen atom of nitro of **BSB** were also seen; S(1)···O(6) = 3.27 Å, 3.30 Å. The bond angles of C6-N2-N1 = C14-N5-N4 and C5-N1-N2 = C13-N4-N5 were found to be ~119.5° and ~114.5° respectively (Fig. 2b).

3.2. Chromogenic responses and binding ability of BSB

The chromogenic responses of 50 µM acetonitrile (ACN) solution of **BSB** were primarily investigated through naked-eye upon separate additions of several cationic and anionic analytes. The solution of **BSB** did not experience any significant colorimetric change with any chosen

 Table 1

 Crystal data and structure refinement for BSB.

Identification code	BSB
CCDC No.	1407606
Empirical formula	C24 H26 N6 O8 S3
Formula weight	622.69
Temperature	273(2)K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic
Space group	P21/c
Unit cell dimensions	a = 12.4731(7)Å, alpha = 90°.
	b = 19.2037(10)Å, beta = 94.942(2)°
	$c = 11.6946(6)$ Å, gamma $= 90^{\circ}$
Volume	2790.8(3)Å ³
Z	4
Density (calculated)	1.482 Mg m ⁻³
Absorption coefficient	0.325 mm^{-1}
F(000)	1164
Crystal size	$0.32 \times 0.21 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$
Crystal colour and habit	Colourless, rectangular
Diffractometer	'Bruker APEX-II CCD'
Theta range for data collection	1.95 to 28.35°
Limiting indices	$-16 \le h \le 16, -25 \le k \le 25, -14 \le l \le 15$
Reflections collected / unique	27,700 / 6921 [R (int) = 0.0869]
Completeness to theta $= 25.00$	99.1%
Absorption correction	None
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	6921 / 0 / 380
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.041
Final R indices [I > 2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0770, wR2 = 0.1681
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1364, $wR2 = 0.1949$
Largest diff. peak and hole	$0.913, -0.688e \cdot A^{-3}$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1230789

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1230789

Daneshyari.com