Recent advances in nanomaterials utilized in fiber coatings for solid-phase microextraction

Ali Mehdinia, Mohammad Ovais Aziz-Zanjani

Since the introduction of solid-phase microextraction (SPME) in the 1990s, different types of coating have shown their crucial role in extraction efficiency. In the past decade, unique properties of nanostructured materials (e.g., large surface area, and remarkable thermal, mechanical and chemical stability) led to their application as desirable coatings in SPME.

The current review classifies nanomaterial-based SPME coatings as based on carbon, polymer, silica or metal nanoparticles. It also briefly discusses new developments and methods in preparing nanomaterial-based SPME coatings. © 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Carbon nanomaterial; Extraction; Extraction efficiency; Fiber coating; Metal nanoparticle; Nanomaterial; Nanomaterial-based coating; Polymer nanomaterial; Silica nanomaterial; Solid-phase microextraction (SPME)

Ali Mehdinia*

Department of Marine Science, Iranian National Institute for Oceanography, Tehran, Iran

Mohammad Ovais Aziz-Zanjani

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 21 66944873; Fax: +98 66944869; E-mail: mehdinia@inio.ac.ir

1. Introduction

Since proposed by Pawliszyn and coworker [1] in the early 1990s, solidphase microextraction (SPME) has been widely accepted and applied as a samplepreparation technique due to its solventless nature and simplicity. SPME is based on the partitioning of target analyte(s) between the sample or the headspace (HS) above the sample and a stationary phase (coating), which is typically immobilized on a fiber or wire. Subsequently, analytes are thermally desorbed directly onto the injection port of a gas chromatograph or eluted with a suitable solvent for further analysis by liquid chromatography (LC). The coating type of SPME plays a crucial role in the efficiency of the extraction, as it is the main factor affecting the distribution constant between the sample matrix and the immobilized phase. The chemical and physical properties of the sorbent material have a great impact on the overall extraction performance, including method sensitivity, selectivity and reproducibility.

In recent years, nanomaterials have been attractive for extraction techniques because of their high porosity, which improves the extraction rate [2] and the extraction efficiency [3]. The remarkable thermal, mechanical and chemical stability of nanomaterials has resulted in their usage as SPME coatings [4]. Review articles about SPME coatings [4,5] and application of nanomaterials in analytical sample-preparation methods [6–9] suggest the need to pay particular attention to nanomaterial-based SPME coatings. The aims of this review are to compile and to evaluate nanomaterials used as SPME coating.

2. Carbon nanomaterial-based SPME coatings

Carbon-based nanomaterials have emerged in the past decade as a robust alternative to commercial coatings because of their remarkable electronic properties and their excellent mechanical, electrical and chemical properties. Although procedures for modifying side walls of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) enlarge their potential as SPME coatings, recently other forms of carbon [e.g., fullerenes, graphene and ordered mesoporous carbons (OMCs)] were considered more (see Table 1).

2.1. Carbon nanotube-based coatings

CNT-SPME fibers were first introduced by Wang et al. [3]. Since then, various CNTs

Coating type	Substrate	Analyte	Matrix	Recovery	LOD (ng/L)	Life span	Thermal stability (°C)	Ref
TSO-SWCNTs	FS fiber	PBDEs	Wastewater	74–109	0.08–0.8	200	340	[10
TSO-SWCNTs	FS fiber	OCPs and chlorophenols	Lake water and wastewater	90–101	0.07–4.36	_	-	[11
PEG/SWCNTs	FS fiber	Chlorinated organic carriers	Polyester textile	82–105	0.02–7.5	200	340	[12]
SWCNTs	SS wire	VOCs	Human urine	90–95	10	150	350	[13]
SWCNTs	SS wire	Butyltin compounds	Seawater	73–85	4–10	150	350	[14
SWCNTs	SS wire	Bisphenol derivatives	Food samples	79–86	100	150	350	[15
SWCNTs	SS wire	OCPs	Lake water and wastewater	88–111	ng/g 0.19	150	350	[16
SWCNTs	FS fiber	13 multi-class pesticides	Tea samples	75–118	27–230	70	_	[17
SWCNTs	Pt	Phenols	Seawater and	88–102	900–3800	80	_	[18
SWCNTs	wire Pt	BTEX	tap water Sea water, tap water and	75–105	5–26	120	350	[19
SWCNTs	wire Pt	Inorganic ions	wastewater Deionized	65–121	60–260	50	_	[20
SWCNTs	plate Pt	Endocrine-disrupting	water Seawater and tap	82–97	320–520	120	_	[21
PEG/MWCNTs	wire FS	compounds VOCs	water River, well	103–124	300	150	320	[22
PEG/MWCNTs	fiber FS	VOCs	and tap water Environmental water samples	94–112	1–500	_	_	[23
PEG/MWCNTs	fiber FS	BTEX	Tap, mineral	90–102	0.6–3	200	320	[24
PEG/MWCNTs	fiber FS	Non-steroidal	and well water, and wastewater Tap, river	84–107	7–30	150	320	[25
	fiber	anti-inflammatory drugs	and well water, and wastewater					
PEG/MWCNTs	FS fiber	Furan	Babyfood and fruit juice	92–103	0.1–2.5	_	_	[26
MWCNTs	FS	PBDEs	River water and skimmed milk	90–119	3.6-8.6	_	_	[3]
COOH-MWCNTsOH-MWCNTs	fiber SS	VOCs, phenols,	Deionized	_	_	_	350	[27
Nafion/MWCNTs	wire SS	PAHs and pyridine Polar aromatic	water Pond water	83–106	30–570	_	_	[28
Nafion/MWCNTs	wire SS wire	compounds Basic drug	Pure aqueous matrix and urine samples	_	120–260	100	_	[29

Trends in Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 42, 2013

Trends

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1248005

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1248005

Daneshyari.com