



Original article

Two lanthanide(III)–copper(II) chains based on $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Ln}_2]$ clusters exhibiting high stability, magnetocaloric effect and slow magnetic relaxation



Xiao-Hong Miao, Song-De Han, Sui-Jun Liu, Xian-He Bu *

Department of Chemistry and TKL of Metal- and Molecule-Based Material Chemistry, and Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 April 2014

Received in revised form 12 May 2014

Accepted 15 May 2014

Available online 27 May 2014

Keywords:

3d–4f

High stability

Magnetocaloric effect

Slow magnetic relaxation

ABSTRACT

Two 3d–4f heterometallic one-dimensional chains with neutral 4,4'-bipyridine ligands as linkers and $[\text{Cu}_2\text{Ln}_2]$ clusters ($\text{Ln} = \text{Gd}$ for **1**, Dy for **2**) as nodes have been hydrothermally synthesized and structurally characterized. Magnetic studies indicate that complex **1** exhibits a relatively large magnetocaloric effect, with an entropy change $-\Delta S_{\text{m}}^{\text{max}} = 24.8 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$, whilst, complex **2** features slow magnetic relaxation at low temperature.

© 2014 Xian-He Bu. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Chinese Chemical Society. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the last decades, investigating magnetic properties of 3d–4f heterometallic complexes has witnessed flourishing development [1]. Because of their differences in electron clouds (*d* and *f* orbitals), coordination geometries/numbers, and coordination preferences to donor atoms (N or O-atom), huge effort has been paid to integrating such different spin centers to fabricate optimized materials that could combine the superiority of both of them for practical applications [2].

Recently, molecular magnetic cryogenic materials based on magnetocaloric effect (MCE), as an alternative to the expensive and rare He-3 in some ultra-low temperature cooling applications, have received great attention because of not only their high-efficient, energy-saving and environmentally friendly nature, but also their synthetic tunability and tailorability [3]. Owing to its large spin ground state *S*, negligible magnetic anisotropy ($D_{\text{ion}} = 0$) and low-lying excited spin states, Gd^{III} ion is a competitive candidate to construct desirable molecular magnetic coolers [4]. Additionally, due to the efficient shielding of the 4*f* orbitals of the Gd^{III} ion, the magnetic exchange interactions of $\text{Gd}^{\text{III}}\text{–Gd}^{\text{III}}$ /3d– Gd^{III} are usually expected to be weak, which is also favorable to enhance

MCE [4]. Therefore, Gd-containing complexes attract intensive interest and have been extensively exploited [4,5].

On the other hand, since slow relaxation of magnetization and hysteresis loops were first discovered in $\{\text{Mn}_{12}\}$ cluster, considerable attention has been focused on the study of single-molecule magnets (SMMs) in molecular materials [6]. It is known that energy barriers for the slow magnetic relaxation are mainly dependent on the spin ground state and uniaxial magnetic anisotropy. Previous publications have shown that large spin ground state and significant uniaxial anisotropy are hard to be achieved simultaneously in pure 3d complexes [7]. In contrast, the incorporation of lanthanide ions into 3d–4f systems provides the possibilities to give rise to high spin and/or large anisotropy, to some extent, which may overcome the drawback of 3d homometallic ones. Recently, assembling SMMs into extended structures with the goal of investigating the effects of inter-SMMs magnetic interactions has been reported, which shed new light on the study of SMMs [8]. To the best of our knowledge, most of the corresponding cases are based on the homometallic SMMs, like trinuclear $\{\text{Mn}_3\}$, tetranuclear $\{\text{Mn}_4\}$, and hexanuclear $\{\text{Mn}_6\}$ [8]. Cases based on 3d–4f heterometallic SMMs are still limited [9].

Although notable progress has been made in the synthetic and theoretic approaches [10], the design and synthesis of 3d–4f magnetic materials are still a challenging task for synthetic chemists due to the fact that the assembly process at a molecular level does not fully follow the will of chemists. When building

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: buxh@nankai.edu.cn (X.-H. Bu).

3d–4f magnetic materials, one of the basic principles needed to be considered is the distinct affinity and/or preference of the 3d and 4f metal ions to N/O-donors [11]. In terms of the hard-soft acid base theory, 3d transition metal ions have a strong tendency to bind to N-donor and 4f lanthanide ions with high coordination numbers and variable coordination geometries preferentially bond to O-donor [12]. In this work, we utilized a dicarboxylic acid phthalic acid (H_2pta) as main ligand and 4,4'-bipyridine (4,4'-bipy) as co-ligand, to synthesize 3d–4f complexes based on the following considerations: (1) The two carboxyl groups of H_2pta may be completely or partially deprotonated, yielding a variety of coordination modes, which is helpful to form interesting structures; (2) The phenyl group may exhibit additional steric hindrance, which is profitable to produce low dimensional complexes; (3) Neutral co-ligand 4,4'-bipy as a linker can reduce the competition between phthalic anions and 4,4'-bipy when binding metal ions, which is also beneficial to the process of assembly. Herein, we report the synthesis, structures, and magnetic properties of two cluster-based 1D chains, $[\text{CuLn}(\text{pta})_2(\text{Hpta})(4,4'\text{-bipy})_{0.5}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_n$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Gd}$ for **1**; $\text{Ln} = \text{Dy}$ for **2**). Blue block crystals of **1** and **2** were obtained by the hydrothermal reaction of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Ln}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, H_2pta and 4,4'-bipy at 140 °C. Magnetic measurements revealed that these two isostructural heterometallic chains exhibited different magnetic properties, depending on the different anisotropies of the lanthanide spin carriers: complex **1** displayed a relatively large MCE, with an entropy change $-\Delta S_{\text{m}}^{\text{max}} = 24.8 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ ($T = 3 \text{ K}$, $\Delta H = 7 \text{ T}$), while complex **2** exhibited slow magnetic relaxation at low temperature.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and measurements

All chemicals were commercially purchased and used without further purification. Elemental analysis (C, H and N) was performed on a Perkin-Elmer 240C analyzer (Perkin-Elmer, USA). The PXRD spectra were recorded on a Rigaku D/Max-2500 diffractometer at 40 kV, 100 mA for a Cu-target tube and a graphite monochromator. Simulation of the PXRD spectra were carried out by the single-crystal data and diffraction-crystal module of the Mercury (Hg) program available free of charge via the Internet at <http://www.iucr.org>. IR spectra were measured in the range of 400–4000 cm^{-1} on a Tensor 27 OPUS FT-IR spectrometer using KBr pellets (Bruker, German). Magnetic data were measured by a Quantum Design MPMS superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID). Diamagnetic corrections were estimated by using Pascal constants and background corrections by experimental measurement on sample holders.

2.2. Synthesis of complexes **1** and **2**

$[\text{CuGd}(\text{pta})_2(\text{Hpta})(4,4'\text{-bipy})_{0.5}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_n$ (**1**). A mixture of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.0483 g, 0.20 mmol), $\text{Gd}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.181 g, 0.40 mmol), H_2pta (0.199 g, 1.2 mmol), 4,4'-bipy (0.125 g, 0.8 mmol) and H_2O (10 mL) were placed in a Teflon-lined stainless container, then heated to 140 °C and kept at that temperature for 48 h, finally cooled to 30 °C. Yield: ca. 60% with respect to Cu. Elemental analysis (%): Calcd. for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{19}\text{CuGdNO}_{13}$ (810.25): C, 42.95; H, 2.34; N, 1.73. Found: C, 42.65; H, 2.83; N, 1.56. IR (KBr pellets, cm^{-1}): 3521(w), 3406(w), 1624(s), 1573(s), 1539(s), 1488(m), 1425(s), 1390(s), 1305(m), 1289(m), 1220(w), 1143(w), 1083(w), 973(w), 879(w), 841(w), 803(w), 773(w), 752(m), 727(w), 701(w), 684(w), 645(w), 531(w).

$[\text{CuDy}(\text{pta})_2(\text{Hpta})(4,4'\text{-bipy})_{0.5}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]_n$ (**2**). Complex **2** was prepared by a similar procedure as described for **1**, but

Table 1

Crystallographic data and refinement details for **1** and **2**.

	1	2
Formula	$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{19}\text{CuGdNO}_{13}$	$\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{19}\text{CuDyNO}_{13}$
F_w	810.25	815.50
T/K	293(2)	293(2)
$\lambda/\text{\AA}$	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	P-1	P-1
$a/\text{\AA}$	10.893(2)	10.779(2)
$b/\text{\AA}$	11.639(2)	11.507(2)
$c/\text{\AA}$	12.166(2)	11.991(2)
$\alpha/^\circ$	65.35(3)	65.16(3)
$\beta/^\circ$	78.14(3)	78.17(3)
$\gamma/^\circ$	79.71(3)	79.58(3)
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	1364.5(5)	1313.5(5)
Z	2	2
$D_c/\text{g cm}^{-3}$	1.972	2.062
μ/mm^{-1}	3.264	3.710
$F(000)$	794	798
Total reflns	14,580	13,975
Unique reflns	6247	6012
$R_1 [I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$	0.0371	0.0419
wR_2 (all data) ^b	0.0741	0.0963
GoF on F^2	1.004	1.151

$$^a R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|.$$

$$^b wR_2 = \left\{ \frac{\sum [w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)]^2}{\sum w(F_o^2)^2} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

$\text{Dy}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.183 g, 0.40 mmol) was used instead of $\text{Gd}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Yield: ca. 65% with respect to Cu. Elemental analysis (%): Calcd. for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{19}\text{CuDyNO}_{13}$ (815.50): C, 42.67; H, 2.33; N, 1.72. Found: C, 42.32; H, 2.70; N, 1.52. IR (KBr pellets, cm^{-1}): 3518(m), 3416(m), 1629(s), 1573(s), 1539(s), 1493(m), 1420(s), 1395(s), 1305(m), 1289(m), 1220(w), 1143(w), 1083(w), 973(w), 879(w), 841(w), 803(w), 773(w), 752(m), 727(w), 701(w), 684(w), 645(w), 531(w).

2.3. X-ray diffraction studies

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of **1** and **2** were collected on a Rigaku SCX-mini diffractometer at 293(2) K with Mo- $K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) by ω scan mode. The program *CrystClear* [13] was used for the integration of the diffraction profiles. Both the structures were solved by direct method using the SHELXS program of the SHELXTL package and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods with SHELXL (semi-empirical absorption corrections were applied by using the SADABS program) [14]. Metal atoms in each complex were located from the E -maps and other non-hydrogen atoms were located in successive difference Fourier syntheses and refined with anisotropic thermal parameters on F^2 . All hydrogen atoms of organic ligands were generated theoretically onto the specific atoms and refined isotropically with fixed thermal factors. The hydrogen atoms of water molecules in **1** and **2** were added by the difference Fourier maps and refined with suitable constraints. Detailed crystallographic data are summarized in Table 1 and the selected bond lengths and angles are given in Tables S2 and S3 in Supporting information.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and the thermogravimetric analyses (TGA)

The phase purity of crystalline powders of complexes **1** and **2** was confirmed by comparing experimental PXRD peaks with the simulated PXRD peaks from the single-crystal X-ray data (Fig. 1).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1254857>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1254857>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)