

CrossMark

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Taibah University for Science 9 (2015) 320-325

www.elsevier.com/locate/jtusci

### On adequate rings

### Najib Mahdou<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mohammed Zennayi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez, Box 2202, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco <sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Dhar-Mehraz of Fez, Box 1796, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco

Available online 17 February 2015

#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the transfer of notion of adequate rings to trivial ring extensions and pullbacks. Our aim is to give new classes of commutative rings satisfying this property.

© 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Taibah University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

MSC: 16E05; 16E10; 16E30; 16E65

Keywords: Adequate ring; Trivial rings extension; Pullbacks

#### 1. Introduction

All rings in this paper are commutative with unity. We denote by U(R) the set of unit of a ring R. And, if  $a, b \in R, a | b$  means a divides b, that is b = ac for some  $c \in R$ .

We know that an elementary divisor ring is a Hermite ring. Kaplansky showed that for the class of adequate domains being a Hermite ring was equivalent to being an elementary divisor ring. Gillman and Henriksen showed that this was also true for rings with zero-divisors. See for instance [4,7,10,12].

Now, we give the definition of adequare ring.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +212 535645364.

*E-mail addresses*: mahdou@hotmail.com (N. Mahdou), m.zennayi@gmail.com (M. Zennayi).

Peer review under responsibility of Taibah University.

ELSEVIER Production and hosting by Elsevier

**Definition 1.1.** A ring *A* is said an adequate ring if for all  $a \in A - \{0\}$  and  $b \in A$ , there exists two non-zero elements *r*, *s* of *A* such that:

- (a) a = rs.
- (b) rA + bA = A.
- (c)  $\forall t \in A U(A)$ : t divides s implies  $tA + bA \neq A$ .

The notion of an adequate domain was originally defined by Helmer [7]. The ring of entire functions on the complex plane is an adequate Bezout domain (see [7,12]).

Let *A* be a ring and *E* an *A*-module. The trivial ring extension of *A* by *E* (also called idealization of *E* over *A*) is the ring  $R := A \propto E$  whose underlying group is  $A \times E$  with multiplication given by (a, e)(a', e') = (aa', ae' + ea').

Trivial ring extensions have been studied extensively. Considerable work, part of is summarized in Glaz's book [5] and Huckaba's book [8], has been concerned with trivial ring extension. These extensions have been useful for solving many open problems and conjectures in both

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtusci.2015.01.006

1658-3655 © 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Taibah University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

commutative and non-commutative ring theory. See for instance [1,5,8,9].

Let *T* be a domain and let *K* be a field which is a retract of *T*, that is T := K + M where *M* is a maximal ideal of *T*. Each subring *D* of *K* determines a subring R := D + M of *T*. This construction arises frequently in algebra, especially in connection with counterexamples. The original of D + M construction involved a valuation domain *T* with K := T/M, where *M* is the maximal ideal of *T* and  $K \subset T$ . A throughout account of results about D + M construction can be find in [2,3,5].

In this paper, we investigate the transfer of the adequate notion to trivial ring extensions and pullbacks. Our results generate new families of adequate rings.

## 2. Transfer of adequate property to trivial ring extension

We begin by showing that a local ring is adequate.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let (A, M) be a local ring. Then A is an adequate ring.

**Proof.** Let (A, M) be a local ring,  $a \in A - \{0\}$  and let  $b \in A$ . Two cases are then possible:

- **Case 1**:  $b \in M$ . It suffices to take r = 1 and s = a. Indeed:
- (a) a = 1a = rs.
- (b) rA + bA = 1A + bA = A.
- (c)  $\forall t \in A U(A)$ : t divides s. Since  $t \notin U(A)$ , then  $t \in M$ . So,  $tA + bA \subset M + M = M \neq A$ . Therefore,  $tA + bA \neq A$ .

Case 2:  $b \notin M$ .

Then  $b \in U(A)$  and so bA = A. Hence, it suffices to take r = a and s = 1. Indeed:

- (a) a = a1 = rs.
- (b) rA + bA = rA + A.
- (c) For each  $t \in A U(A)$ , t divides s. Using the fact  $t \notin U(A)$  and t divides 1, then  $tA + bA \neq A$ , as desired.

Hence, A is an adequate ring.  $\Box$ 

Now, we study the transfer of the adequate property to trivial ring extension.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let *A* be an integral domain, *E* be an *A*-module such that aE = E for each  $a \in A - \{0\}$ , and let  $R := A \propto E$  be the trivial ring extension of *A* by *E*. Then *R* is an adequate ring if and only if the following conditions hold:

(1) A is an adequate ring.

(2)  $\forall a, b \in A - U(A), aA + bA \neq A.$ 

The proof of this theorem requires the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let A be a ring, E an A-module,  $R := A \propto E$  be the trivial ring extension of A by E, and let  $\pi$  be a projection of R to A. Then:

- (1) Let  $a, b, c \in A$  and let  $x, y, z \in E$ . Then, (a, x) = (b, y)(c, z) implies a = bc.
- (2) Let  $a, b \in A$  and  $x, y \in E$ . If (b, y)|(a, x), then b|a.
- (3) Let a, b, c ∈ A such that bE = E and let x, y ∈ E. The following statements are equivalents:
  (i) There exists z ∈ E such that (a, x) = (b, y)(c, z).
  (ii) a = bc.
- (4) Let  $a, b \in A$  such that bE = E and let  $x, y \in E$ . Then, (b, y)|(a, x) if and only if b|a.
- (5) Assume that A is an integral domain, K := qf(A) be the quotient field of A and E be a K-vector space. Let a, b, c ∈ A such that b ≠ 0 and let x, y ∈ E. Then the following statement is equivalents:
  (i) There exists z ∈ E such that (a, x) = (b, y)(c, z).
  (ii) a = bc.
- (6) Assume that A is an integral domain, K := qf(A) be the quotients fields of A and let E be a K-vector space. Let a ∈ A, b ∈ A {0}, and let x, y ∈ E. Then, (b, y)|(a, x) if and only if b|a.
- (7) Let I be an ideal of A and F a submodule of E such that  $IE \subset F$ . Then, for each  $t \in A$ , we have:

 $(t, 0)(I \propto F) = (tI \propto tF).$ 

In particular, if *I* is an ideal of *A*, then for each  $t \in A$ , we have  $(t, 0)(I \propto E) = (tI) \propto (tE)$ .

(8) Let I be an ideal of A, F be a submodule of E such that  $IE \subset F$ , and let  $(t, u) \in R := A \propto E$ . Then,  $\pi((t, u)(I \propto E)) = tI$ .

In particular, if *I* is an ideal of *A* and  $(t, u) \in R$ , then  $\pi((t, u)(I \propto E)) = tI$ .

(9) Let  $a, b \in A$ , and  $x, y \in E$ . Then, (a, x)R + (b, y)R = Rif and only if aA + bA = A.

#### **Proof.** Straightforward. $\Box$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $R := A \propto E$  be the trivial ring extension of A by the A-module E. Assume that aE = E for each  $a \in A - \{0\}$ . If R is an adequate ring, then so is A.

**Proof.** Assume that *R* is an adequate ring and aE = E for each  $a \in A - \{0\}$ .

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1263023

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1263023

Daneshyari.com