



International 58th Meat Industry Conference “Meat Safety and Quality: Where it goes?”

Hazard analysis and risk assessment in meat production practice in Russian Federation

Irina Chernukha^{a,*}, Oxana Kuznetsova^a, Viktoria Sysoy^a

^aThe V.M. Gorbатов All-Russian Meat Research Institute, Talalikhina str., 26, Moscow 109316, Russia

Abstract

Animals are carriers of biohazards including foodborne pathogens *Clostridium perfringens*, *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Salmonella*, enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. Chemical and physical hazards can be present in meat and ingredients or occur during processing. Food safety management system is of particular importance with implementation of the Customs Union documents, TR CU - 021/2011, 022/2001 and 034/2013. HACCP is obligatory in Russia since July 2014. The meat industry is the most prepared for HACCP implementation because scientific research on hazard analysis and risk assessment have been conducted since 2005. The results of assessments for chemical hazard, PAHs, and microbiological hazard, *S. aureus*, are presented.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of scientific committee of The 58th International Meat Industry Conference (MeatCon2015)

Keywords: risk assessment; hazard analysis; meat production chain

1. Introduction

In the meat production chain, processors have to face up with the consumers. It is the processor who has to answer to all complaints from the consumer. Consumer expectations about meat product quality can be shortened to several criteria: it should be safe, delicious, juicy, fresh, healthy and easy to prepare.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +7-495-676-7211; fax: +7-495-676-9551.
E-mail address: imcher@mail.ru

One of the tools to give the consumer meat product with the qualities they expect is quality management system (QMS). HACCP has been obligatory for Russian food production since July 1, 2014, including other novel requirements such as allergen labeling and product traceability. Meat industry is the most prepared for HACCP implementation because scientific research on hazard analysis has been done since 2005. However, risk management has not been studied. We have just started to implement science-based risk management to the Russian meat industry. Risk profiling is needed at the first step. That is noted by the Codex Alimentarius Commission as a necessity to describe the problems in food safety assurance procedure¹.

In many countries, the strategy of risk analysis is used for risk assessment and even to connect “hazard” – “a particular type of food”.

As part of the construction and development of a new system of technical regulation in Russia, a procedure has been launched to harmonize local, RF, food legislation with European and international standards. The basic requirements for food safety have been established and fixed in Technical regulations of the Custom Union 021/2011 “Of food product safety” and 034/2013 “Of meat and meat product safety”. A general description of obligations for HACCP implementation (Fig. 1) shows that the research institutions are obliged, for risk management, to: (1) develop safety limits, (2) create hazard analysis methodology, (3) create hazard database.

The aim of this paper is to describe some results of risk management application to meat processing in RF: identification, control and management of hazards at each stage of the production chain, namely transportation, processing, storage, sale and consumption, producer responsibility for the output of hazardous products for human health and life.

2. Materials and methods

To study and analyze the causes of potentially dangerous phases at each stage of a meat production chain – breeding, farming, transporting to slaughter, preslaughter harvesting, slaughter; processing, storage, retail, the FMEA technique (failure modes and effects analysis)² was used. Characteristics of a product, ingredients, raw materials, procedures at each stage of the production process, which may lead to a hazard occurrence; hazards which may come from personnel, equipment, retail, were analyzed.

3. Results and discussion

To identify the critical hazard, it is necessary to include all significant failure modes for each contributing element or part in the system limit. The limit for a Risk Priority Number for meat processing was established by experts as 140 ± 10 . Risk Priority Numbers for each stage were calculated. The most unstable are breeding (Risk Priority Number = 226) and processing (Risk Priority Number = 245).

Hazard analysis and risk assessment were done for each part of meat production chain (Fig. 2), with average ratio of (micro)biological, chemical and physical hazards of 60:24:16 ranging from 30 to 86 for (micro)biological hazards; from 12 to 60 for chemical hazards and from 2 to 33 for physical hazards at different stages in production chain.




Fig. 1. Obligations for good quality management implementation in the RF meat industry.




Fig. 2. Hazard profile for a meat processing chain.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1266280>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1266280>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)