



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Procedia Food Science 5 (2015) 199 – 202



International 58th Meat Industry Conference "Meat Safety and Quality: Where it goes?"

The microbiological status of carcasses from wild boar in Serbia

Jovan Mirceta^{a,*}, Jelena Petrovic^b, Bojan Blagojevic^a, Milos Malesevic^c, Dragan Antic^d

^aDepartment of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Novi Sad, Trg D. Obradovica 8, 21000, Novi Sad, Serbia ^bScientific Veterinary Institute Novi Sad, Rumenacki put 20, 21000, Novi Sad, Serbia

^cInstitute of Meat Hygiene, Meat Technology and Food Science, University of Veterinary Medicine, Veterinaerplatz 1, A 1210, Vienna, Austria ^dSchool of Veterinary Science, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, Leahurst, Neston, CH64 7TE, United Kingdom

Abstract

In this study, the microbiological status of wild boar carcass meat and the likely sources of its contamination were investigated. Wild boar carcasses (125) were analysed for Total Viable (TVC) and *Enterobacteriaceae* Count (EBC). The mean TVC and EBC on the skin were 3.5 and 2.1 \log_{10} cfu/cm², whereas higher levels of 4.3 and 2.9 \log_{10} cfu/cm² were determined on carcass meat, respectively. No difference was determined when TVC and EBC were compared between animals shot in the abdominal region and those shot elsewhere, indicating that inadequate hygiene in carcass handling was the reason for high level of microbiological contamination.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of scientific committee of The 58th International Meat Industry Conference (MeatCon2015)

Keywords: wild boars; microbial contamination; game meat; carcass; hunting

1. Introduction

Consumption of wild boar meat is very common among many hunter families and their friends and relatives, and to some extent among other members of the population. Wild boar meat in Serbia is often consumed in the form of home-made traditional meat products that are cured, cold smoked and dried (dried meats and fermented sausages), but not subjected to any heat treatment. That indicates the necessity of having raw meat of good microbiological

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +381-64-118-90-90; fax: +381-21-635-04-19. *E-mail address:* mircetajovan@gmail.com

quality and presumably low risk of being contaminated with foodborne pathogens such as *Salmonella* spp. and/or *Yersinia* spp.¹ The microbiological quality of game meat depends on several factors, among which the most important are the health of the animal before being shot, the skill and the attitude of the hunter including the anatomical shooting location, and the level of hygiene applied during handling carcasses (including collection, evisceration, skinning and chilling)². Several authors have investigated the microbiological status of wild boar carcass meat, considering the level of general contamination (Total Viable Count, TVC) and faecal contamination (*Enterobacteriaceae* count, EBC)^{1,3}. However, such studies on wild boars hunted in Serbia are lacking in the literature. Therefore, the main aim of this study was to investigate the microbiological status of wild boar carcass meat and determine the likely cause for its contamination. Several factors that might influence carcass meat contamination, i.e. the level of microbiological contamination of the skin, anatomical shooting location and hygienic practice during handling and evisceration of carcasses, were investigated.

2. Materials and methods

Sampling was performed during November and December in the hunting season of 2014. In total, 125 wild boars were sampled during five hunting days, in three hunting areas in Northern Serbia. All samples were collected from the animals after their arrival at the collection point, and for each tested animal data were recorded including anatomical shooting location, time elapsed between shooting and evisceration and the level of hygienic practice during handling and evisceration of carcasses. Skin samples were collected immediately before evisceration by swabbing approximately 1000 cm² area (lateral rump-perianal-medial rump-flank-brisket-neck). Following evisceration, carcass meat samples were taken by swabbing the same location on the corresponding dressed carcass, but covering a smaller area (400 cm²) for practical reasons. Each swab was placed in a separate stomacher bag (Nasco, Whirl-pack, 19x30 cm; Fort Atkinson, WI, USA) and transported in a chill-bin to the laboratory within 2 h. The evisceration procedure was performed in the period 30-90 minutes after killing of the animals, and the carcass meat sampling approximately 10 minutes after evisceration.

Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD; Oxoid; 90 ml) was added to each bag containing a sponge-swab, the bag exterior was then repeatedly squeezed manually for 1 min and further decimal dilutions were made in MRD (ISO method 6887-1:1999). Sample homogenates or their appropriate dilutions were used for microbiological analysis. For TVC and *Enterobacteriaceae* counts, the respective procedures were followed: ISO 4833:2004 and ISO 21528-2:2009.

On both skins and carcasses, TVC and EBC were calculated as log_{10} cfu/cm². For each appropriate group of samples, mean values and standard deviation as well as significance of differences between means (*t*-test) based on log_{10} cfu/cm² values of target microorganisms in individual samples were calculated using Microsoft Excel 2007.

3. Results and discussion

In Table 1 the results of the TVC and *Enterobacteriaceae* count on wild boar skin and carcass meat throughout different hunting days are presented. The mean determined TVC level on the skin was $3.5 \log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$ and for EBC 2.1 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$, ranging from 2.7-4.1 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$ and 1.3-3.1 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$ for different hunting days, respectively. Higher TVC and EBC levels of 4.3 and 2.9 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$ respectively (ranging from 3.5-4.9 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$ and 1.9-4.5 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$) were determined on carcass meat than on wild boars' skin. According to other published studies, average TVC and EBC on wild boar carcass meat were either lower (3.2 and 2.1 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$)³, or higher (4.6 and 3.0 $\log_{10} \text{ cfu/cm}^2$ respectively)¹, when compared to our study.

When examining the influence of anatomical shooting location, the frequencies of animal shot in the abdomen region were similar for all hunting days, and ranged from 34-54% (Table 2). Overall, 55 animals (44%) were shot in abdomen, which was similar to another study¹. That situation would have presumably led to higher TVC or EBC levels on carcass meat. However, that was not the case, as no significant difference was found between shots in the abdomen and elsewhere within the same hunting day and when comparing the levels of TVC or EBC (Table 2), contrary to another study³. This leads to the conclusion that "poor shooting" did not account for the high level of microbial contamination of carcass meat; even the animals shot in the right anatomical location had high levels of microbial contamination. On the other hand, TVC and EBC on carcass meat were notably higher than those on the

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1266320

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1266320

Daneshyari.com