

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/hydro](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/hydro)

# Hydrogen photoproduction by co-culture *Clostridium butyricum* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*

Tatyana Laurinavichene, Anatoly Tsygankov\*

Institute of Basic Biological Problems, RAS, Pushchino, Moscow Region 142290, Russia

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 7 May 2015

Received in revised form

7 August 2015

Accepted 26 August 2015

Available online 19 September 2015

## Keywords:

Co-culture

*Rhodobacter**Clostridium*

Hydrogen

Photofermentation

Starch

## ABSTRACT

The starch-utilizing co-culture *Clostridium butyricum* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* demonstrated the higher H<sub>2</sub> yield than *C. butyricum* (4.9 and 1.5 mol/mol hexose, respectively). Two species in co-culture were probably in balance since volatile fatty acids were not accumulated. The co-culture was as efficient in H<sub>2</sub> production from glucose as *R. sphaeroides* monoculture. A short-term test was suggested to determine the current activity of either species in co-culture. During the early phase, the H<sub>2</sub> production was mediated by both species, or *C. butyricum* accompanied with H<sub>2</sub> consumption by *R. sphaeroides*. Glucose addition did not influence the current rates of *Clostridium*-mediated and *Rhodobacter*-mediated H<sub>2</sub> production arguing against the limitation/competition for glucose between two species. During the late phase, the H<sub>2</sub> production was completely attributed to *R. sphaeroides*. The current rates of *Clostridium*-mediated H<sub>2</sub> production by co-culture were definitely reduced as compared to *C. butyricum* monoculture due probably to adverse effect of purple bacteria.

Copyright © 2015, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Hydrogen is considered as a promising fuel for the future. Various ways of biological H<sub>2</sub> production are under intensive investigation [1–4]. The schemes for biological H<sub>2</sub> production from complex substrates involve diverse microorganisms cooperating either in tandem (two-stages) or in co-culture (one stage). The advantages and disadvantages of different approaches are a subject of wide discussions [1–4]. Combining dark- and photo-fermentation in co-culture is simpler in manipulation and cost-effective because there is no need in pre-treatment of the dark fermentation effluent. Quite successful hydrogen production from glucose by co-cultures of *Lactobacillus* and *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* RV or *Clostridium*

*butyricum* and *Rhodospseudomonas faecalis* RLD-53 has been reported before [5,6]. It should be emphasized that some purple bacteria (monoculture) produce H<sub>2</sub> from glucose with high yield as well [7]. The most efficient single-stage conversion of glucose to H<sub>2</sub> with a yield of 9.0 mol/mol glucose was recently demonstrated in continuous culture of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* JP91, hup<sup>-</sup> [8]. Data on photofermentation of complex substrates such as starch, distillery effluent and cellulose using co-culture of DF and purple bacteria have been presented earlier [9–17]. However, in a number of studies with defined co-cultures and mixed cultures (based on anaerobic sludge) the H<sub>2</sub> yield was quite low [2]. It was likely due to the non-trivial challenge of maintaining stable relationships between bacterial species in co-culture. Apparently, any environmental factor (pH, temperature, light intensity, substrate concentration, nitrogen

Abbreviations: Bchl, bacteriochlorophyll; DF, dark fermentation; VFAs, volatile fatty acids.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +7 4967 732791; fax: +7 4967 330532.

E-mail address: [ttt@issp.serpukhov.su](mailto:ttt@issp.serpukhov.su) (A. Tsygankov).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.08.086>

0360-3199/Copyright © 2015, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

source) can significantly affect co-culture by changing the ratio of species growth rates. To optimize H<sub>2</sub> production much attention was paid to the appropriate initial ratio of DF bacteria to purple bacteria in inoculum. Recommendations were to keep this ratio as high as 1:4 or even 1:10 because prevailing of DF bacteria inevitably resulted in fast pH decrease unfavorable for purple bacteria [2,6,12,18]. Low-efficient H<sub>2</sub> production by co-culture sometimes correlate with accumulation of VFAs produced during carbohydrates fermentation by DF bacteria [2,12,18,19]. It was attributed to inability of purple bacteria to utilize VFAs in presence of glucose, to the point of glucose depletion [2]. On the contrary, other data proved that purple bacteria preferred VFAs to glucose and no VFAs accumulation was observed in well-balanced co-cultures [20,21]. Previously it was suggested that in co-culture the purple bacteria *R. sphaeroides* could not compete with *C. butyricum* for glucose even at the ratio 1:6 [19]. It was attributed to the higher glucose-utilization rate of the latter in monoculture [19]. Nevertheless, the question still exists whether purple bacteria in co-culture are capable of glucose utilization along with DF bacteria or they only utilize VFAs produced.

The understanding of interaction between two species is crucial for improvement of H<sub>2</sub> production by co-culture. The common approach is to quantify either species during the process using molecular biological, biochemical, and microbiological methods and analyze the population dynamics [22,23]. Notice that the H<sub>2</sub>-producing co-cultures including purple bacteria have not been adequately explored and results are dissimilar. It was demonstrated that *Clostridium cellulolyticum* grew twice as fast in co-culture with *Rhodospseudomonas palustris* as in monoculture, when using cellulose [13]. It seems that in this co-culture both species had mutual advantage: purple bacteria utilized fermentation products thus eliminating the inhibitory effect of these products on *Clostridium* [13]. In another example, the growth of *C. butyricum* in glucose-grown co-culture with *R. sphaeroides* was slowed down as compared to monoculture [19]. Apart from the number of either species, one can estimate their H<sub>2</sub>-production activity in co-culture especially because the cell number and the current activity need not be in close agreement. This information can provide additional insight into the interaction between species in co-culture and limitations of the process.

The aim of this study was to compare the long-term H<sub>2</sub> production by co-culture *C. butyricum* and *R. sphaeroides* with that by monocultures using starch or glucose. The further challenge was to estimate the contribution of each species to the current hydrogen production by co-culture at different stages using the simple short-term test. The comparison of these results to H<sub>2</sub> production by monoculture would be useful for understanding of interspecies interaction and finding the new room to enhance hydrogen production.

## Material and methods

### Bacterial strains and media

The strain of *C. butyricum* was isolated from the dark starch-hydrolyzing consortium [24] by routine anaerobic technique and identified using MALDI mass spectrometry [21]. The basic

cultivation medium was identical to that used for initial consortium but contained 5 g/L starch and 10 mM glutamate instead of peptone. The strain of purple bacterium *R. sphaeroides* N7 [25] was grown 3 d (30 W/m<sup>2</sup>, 30 °C) on Ormerod medium [26] with ammonium sulfate and lactate.

Basic mineral medium for co-culture contained FeSO<sub>4</sub>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>, EDTA and microelements according to Ormerod medium. It was supplemented with 5 g/L starch. Following solutions were sterilized separately and added before inoculation: yeast extract 0.2 g/L, 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and 1–10 mM glutamate. Glucose (4 g/L, sterilized separately) was used instead of starch when indicated. Co-cultures were grown at 30 °C in illuminated by incandescent lamp (30 W/m<sup>-2</sup>) Hungate tubes, under the Ar gas phase. Total tube volume was 16 mL, culture volume 8 mL. When required, tubes were incubated in the darkness. The control experiments with monocultures *R. sphaeroides* and *C. butyricum* were performed under the same conditions. The volumetric inoculum percentage was 0.25–1.25% (as specified in Tables and Figures). Data in Tables represent mean ± 95% confidence interval calculated for 5–8 experiments.

### Hydrogen production by growing cultures

Gas production by growing cultures in Hungate tubes was recorded manometrically at 25 °C. Measurements were made every 1–5 days (as indicated) till the end of gas production. Depending on the substrate, glutamate concentration and bacterial species (*Clostridium*, *Rhodobacter*, co-culture), the H<sub>2</sub> production was recorded 3–30 days till the end of H<sub>2</sub> production. The hydrogen percentage was determined by gas chromatography and the H<sub>2</sub> amount (mL/mL culture) and yield (mol/mol hexose or mol/mol glucose) were calculated.

### Short-term H<sub>2</sub> production test

To estimate the current H<sub>2</sub> production rate of the growing cultures, the 3 mL culture aliquots were withdrawn anaerobically from the Hungate tubes (Section [Hydrogen production by growing cultures](#)) and injected into 12 mL vials filled with argon. Vials were repeatedly evacuated, filled with Ar and incubated with shaking at 30 °C in saturating light (40 W/m<sup>2</sup>) or in the darkness (as indicated). Glucose (10 mM) or H<sub>2</sub> (100 μL) were added anaerobically as indicated in Figures. The duration of experiments was 2–7 h with every 30–60 min gas sampling. Hydrogen concentration was determined by gas chromatography and the H<sub>2</sub> amount (μL) and production rate (μL/h\*mL of culture) were calculated based on linear part of the kinetics.

### Other measurements

Bchl concentration was measured spectrophotometrically at 772 nm after extraction with 7:2 (v/v) acetone:methanol mixture [27]. The protein concentration was measured by Lowry method in washed cells after freezing/thawing and sonication (22 kHz, 30 W, 20 s/1 mL). If grown cultures were heterogeneous, all the measurements were carried out after the thorough mechanical homogenization of cell aggregates. The concentrations of acetate and butyrate were determined

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1269140>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1269140>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)