

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

### **ScienceDirect**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/he



## Development of a new bi-functional steam reforming/water-gas shift catalyst for production of hydrogen in a membrane reactor



## Y. Sun <sup>a,\*</sup>, M. Grigore <sup>b</sup>, S.S. Hla <sup>c</sup>, L.D. Morpeth <sup>c</sup>, J.H. Edwards <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CSIRO Energy, P.O. Box 330, Newcastle, NSW 2300, Australia <sup>b</sup> CSIRO Energy, P.O. Box 184, North Ryde, NSW 2113, Australia <sup>c</sup> CSIRO Energy, P.O. Box 883, Pullenvale, QLD 4069, Australia

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 September 2015 Received in revised form 20 January 2016 Accepted 22 January 2016 Available online 5 March 2016

Keywords: CeO<sub>2</sub>—La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Based Cu and Ni catalyst Water-gas shift catalyst Steam reforming catalyst Hydrogen

#### ABSTRACT

Two bi-functional catalysts were developed and experimentally tested at 550 °C and atmospheric pressure to determine the activity for both steam reforming of methane (SRM) and water-gas shift (WGS) reactions. The compositions of the catalysts are 0.8wt%Cu-3.0wt %Ni (CR1) and 2.4wt%Cu-1.5wt%Ni (CR2) supported on CeO<sub>2</sub>–La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Ce/La = 2.33). The feed gases consist of CH<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O = 1:2.8 for SRM and CO/H<sub>2</sub>O = 1:3 for WGS reaction. The catalysts used in this study have been more active for both reactions on an active site basis than their counterpart commercial catalysts. The CH<sub>4</sub> conversion rate increases by 128% over CR1 and by 36.7% over CR2 respectively, compared with that of the commercial reforming catalyst (RR) for SRM. Also, the CO conversion rate increases by a factor of 8.4 over CR1 and 3.7 over CR2 respectively, compared with that of the commercial high temperature watergas shift catalyst (HT2) for WGS. The good dispersion of Cu and Ni species in the CeO<sub>2</sub> –La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support and their interaction with the support could contribute to their enhanced performance.

Crown Copyright © 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

#### Background

Hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) is an important feedstock for use in ammonia synthesis and hydrocarbon processing, and recently, there has been an increasing demand for using H<sub>2</sub> in gas turbines and fuel-cells for power generation in both stationary and transport applications [1]. H<sub>2</sub> is mainly produced through steam reforming of hydrocarbons such as natural gas. Traditional steam methane reforming (SMR) has been performed over both commercial  $Al_2O_3$  or MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> supported Ni

0360-3199/Crown Copyright © 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. All rights reserved.

reforming catalysts operating in the temperature range 750–850 °C and with high  $H_2O/CH_4$  molar ratios (2.8–3.5), making it an energy-intensive and expensive process. The SMR reaction can be described by the following equations:

$$CH_4 + H_2O(g) \rightleftharpoons CO + 3H_2 \quad \varDelta H_{25^{\circ}C}^{\circ} = +206 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
 (1)

$$CO + H_2O(g) \rightleftharpoons H_2 + CO_2 \quad \varDelta H_{25^\circ C}^\circ = -41 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$
(2)

where reaction (1) is the main reaction for SMR and reaction (2), the water-gas shift (WGS) reaction, is a secondary reaction that also occurs to a certain extent during reforming. The amount of CO produced via SMR is usually quite high (about

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 2 4960 6106; fax: +61 2 4960 6111. E-mail address: Yanping.Sun@csiro.au (Y. Sun).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.01.123

5% in the product gas), but it is affected by operating conditions such as reaction temperature, pressure and the  $CH_4/H_2O$ ratio. High CO concentration in the reforming product gas can cause carbon formation on the catalyst and reactor wall via the following reactions:

$$CO + H_2 \rightleftharpoons C_{(s)} + H_2O \quad \varDelta H_{25^{\circ}C}^{\circ} = -131 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}C_{(s)}$$
 (3)

$$2CO \rightleftharpoons C_{(s)} + CO_2 \qquad \qquad \Delta H_{25^{\circ}C}^{\circ} = -172 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}C_{(s)}$$
(4)

Another possible carbon-forming reaction is that of  $CH_4$  decomposition:

$$CH_4 \rightleftharpoons C_{(s)} + 2H_2 \quad \varDelta H_{25^\circ C}^\circ = +75 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}C_{(s)}$$
 (5)

Bradford and Vannice [2] have reported that reaction (3) is the main contributor to carbon formation during SMR. Carbon formation can reduce catalyst lifetime, impair heat transfer efficiency, cause metal dusting and ultimately damages both the reformer tubes and downstream equipment. To reduce the amount of CO and simultaneously produce additional H<sub>2</sub>, the WGS reaction is carried out in two separate stages downstream of the reformer namely, a high-temperature shift at 320–450 °C using Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst and a lowtemperature shift at 200–250 °C using Cu–ZnO catalyst.

The integration of a H<sub>2</sub>-selective membrane with a SMR catalyst creates a membrane reformer [3,4], in which the insitu removal of H<sub>2</sub> drives the equilibrium-limited SMR and WGS reactions to the right: essentially total conversion of CH<sub>4</sub> might be achieved in a single reactor at lower temperatures below 600 °C, potentially reducing capital costs. However, such a reactor needs operating at elevated reaction-side pressure to maximise the driving force for H<sub>2</sub> permeation across the membrane. This requires a catalyst that is:

- (i) Very active under high pressure and relatively low temperature
- (ii) Fully stable under the reaction conditions
- (iii) Less prone to carbon formation and metal dusting since both high pressure and low temperature promote carbon formation and metal dusting

There is a growing interest in developing a bimetallic or poly-metallic catalyst for  $H_2$  production since it could enable the catalyst to possess bi- or multiple- functions by the interaction between two metals or metal-support interaction to obtain stable and optimum catalyst performance [5].

The objective of this work is to develop a novel bifunctional catalyst formulation that has the potential for simultaneously conducting SMR and WGS reactions in a membrane reactor, thereby:

- Minimizing P<sub>CO</sub> in the product gas from the reformer by promoting the in-situ WGS reaction whilst simultaneously producing additional H<sub>2</sub> and
- Reducing carbon formation and metal dusting

#### Catalyst design

It has been reported that catalytic activity depends strongly on the nature of the active metal and its support, the active phase precursor, the synthesis method, and the pre-treatment used [6]. In order to achieve the current research goal, novel formulations of bi-functional catalyst were designed on the following rationales.

#### Active metals

Some noble metal-based catalysts are found to have a better performance than the Ni-based ones for SMR [7], but such catalysts are very expensive due to the high cost of the active noble metals components. Noble metal-based catalysts are therefore not practical for industrial-scale reformers. In this study, Ni and Cu have been selected as the active metals. Ni has high activity towards the SMR reaction, and it also shows significant activity towards the WGS reaction. However, Ni can promote carbon deposition on the catalyst surface and the reactor wall and also promotes the methanation reaction (the reverse of reaction (1)) at low temperature. Pieterse et al. [8] indicated that Ni-based catalysts could have sufficient activity to be used in membrane for SMR reactors, but their resistance to carbon formation has to be improved.

Cu has the highest activity for the WGS reaction and no activity for methanation, but it easily sinters at moderate temperatures (>250 °C). However, Cu supported on  $CeO_2-La_2O_3$  catalysts have been recently reported to show high activity and stability for WGS reaction at high temperatures up to 600 °C [1,9]. In addition, Cu is an active metal with lower carbon-metal bonding energy than Ni [10]. This implies that there is a weaker adsorption of carbon over the Cu active sites, thus destabilizing the precursor of solid carbon. It has been reported that addition of Cu to  $Al_2O_3$ -based Ni catalysts can enhance the gasification of Ni to Cu containing catalysts can improve their WGS activity and inhibit sintering of both Ni and Cu due to the interaction between Cu and Ni [12].

#### Catalyst supports

Catalyst activity for SMR and WGS reactions is strongly influenced by the type of support used because the support could play a positive role in the reactions. Redox metal oxidecontaining multiple metal oxides were designed as support of the bimetallic catalyst because redox metal oxides, such as CeO<sub>2</sub>, could provide mobile oxygen and oxygen vacancy to suppress carbon formation and to stabilise Ni as well as Cu [9,13]. Incorporation of La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> into CeO<sub>2</sub> can enhance the activity and stability CeO<sub>2</sub>-based catalyst because the addition can improve oxygen storage capacity and reducibility [14].

Based on the above rationales, two Cu–Ni based catalysts supported on  $CeO_2-La_2O_3$  multiple metal oxides, were designed for this study. The purpose of this design is to enable SMR and WGS reactions over the two bimetallic catalysts to be conducted under experimental conditions far away from equilibrium conversion, thus enabling the relative catalyst activities to be determined.

#### Experimental

#### Catalyst preparation

#### Catalyst support

The urea hydrolysis method has been employed to synthesize  $CeO_2-La_2O_3$  as a bi-functional catalyst support for bi-

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1269768

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1269768

Daneshyari.com