

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/he



Optimization of a counter-flow microchannel reactor using hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion for steam reforming of methane



Seung Won Jeon, Won Jae Yoon, Min Woo Jeong, Yongchan Kim*

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Korea University, Anam-Dong, Sungbuk-Ku, Seoul 136-713, Republic of Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 November 2013 Received in revised form 28 January 2014 Accepted 3 February 2014 Available online 25 February 2014

Keywords:

Steam reforming of methane Hydrogen assisted combustion Catalytic combustion of hydrogen Start-up Heat exchanger reactor Response surface methodology

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study is to optimize a microchannel reactor using hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion for steam reforming of methane. Hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion does not require preheating because the catalytic combustion of hydrogen occurs at room temperature. After start-up by hydrogen catalytic combustion, fuels of hydrogen and methane were changed to methane. The geometric configuration of the counter-flow reactor was optimized by the simulation model under steady state condition. The hydrogen flow rate in the counter-flow reactor was also optimized by transient simulations using the response surface methodology. As a result, the counter-flow reactor showed extremely short start-up time because of the optimized configuration and the optimized hydrogen flow rate. Hot spots were avoided because of the hydrogen shut-off after start-up. The operating characteristics of the counter-flow reactor were compared with those of the co-flow reactor.

Copyright © 2014, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion has been used in the catalytic combustors of gas turbines because of its low lightoff temperature [1–4]. Catalytic combustion of hydrogen normally occurs at room temperature [4–6], and that of hydrocarbon occurs at elevated temperatures of 413 K–703 K [7]. Hydrogen addition not only decreases ignition temperature [3,4], but also reduces NO_x emission [3,8,9]. Hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion is also used in portable micro burners [10,11]. In addition, it can be used in a steam reforming reactor for hydrogen production [12–19]. When hydrogen is used as a

The catalytic combustor operates without additional hydrogen, once ignited by hydrogen [4,11]. However, most steam reforming reactor used hydrogen only as a fuel of catalytic combustion [12–18]. Hwang et al. [19] measured the performance of a steam reforming reactor by changing the fuel from hydrogen to a mixture of methane and hydrogen after start-up. To reduce the energy consumption, the minimum amount of hydrogen should be used by shutting off the hydrogen supply after start-up [20]. The steam reforming reactor should be investigated for various geometric flow

fuel for catalytic combustion in a steam reforming reactor, neither preheating devices nor preheating energy is required, unlike in hydrocarbon combustion.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +82 2 3290 3366; fax: +82 2 921 5439. E-mail address: yongckim@korea.ac.kr (Y. Kim).

^{0360-3199/\$ –} see front matter Copyright © 2014, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.02.012

Nomenclature		x*	dimensionless length in x direction
$\begin{array}{ccc} C_i & m \\ D_{eff,i} & ef \\ m \\ h & er \\ J_i & di \\ k & th \\ k_{eff} & ef \\ K_{e,j} & eq \\ K_i & ad \\ k_j & re \\ p & pr \\ p_i & pa \\ R & ga \\ R_i & ne \end{array}$	holar concentration, kmol m ⁻³ ffective mass diffusion coefficient of species i, $n^2 s^{-1}$ nthalpy of mixture, kJ kg ⁻¹ iffusion flux of species i, kg m ⁻² s ⁻¹ hermal conductivity, W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ ffective thermal conductivity, W m ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ quilibrium constant dsorption constant of species i eaction rate constant ressure, N m ⁻² artial pressure, N m ⁻² as constant, kJ kmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ et rate of production of species i by chemical	$ \begin{array}{r} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \\ X_4 \\ X_5 \\ X_6 \\ y \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \\ Y_4 \\ Y_5 \\ Y_6 \\ Y_i \\ y \end{array} $	reactor length, m ratio of uncoated length to reactor length initial H ₂ /CH ₄ equivalence ratio H ₂ /CH ₄ just after start-up slope of H ₂ /CH ₄ after start-up coordinates in lateral direction, m sum of reforming and combustion conversions maximum temperature, K start-up time, s hydrogen consumption during start-up, g minimum reforming conversion maximum temperature, K mass fraction of species i mole fraction of species i
$\begin{array}{ccc} & {\rm re} \\ r_j & {\rm re} \\ S_h & {\rm ch} \\ S_i & {\rm m} \\ T & {\rm te} \\ t & {\rm tir} \\ t & {\rm tir} \\ u_i & {\rm ve} \\ {\rm x} & {\rm co} \end{array}$	eaction, kg m ⁻³ s ⁻¹ eaction rate, kmol m ⁻³ s ⁻¹ hemical reaction heat production rate, kJ m ⁻³ s ⁻¹ homentum source in porous medium, N m ⁻³ emperature, K me, s elocity, m s ⁻¹ pordinates in axial direction, m	G_{reek} β ΔH_{298} μ ρ φ	coefficient of the second-order response surface model standard heat of reaction, kJ kmol ⁻¹ viscosity, N m ⁻² s ⁻¹ density, kg m ⁻³ equivalence ratio

configurations such as co- and counter-flow because the heat transfer characteristics of co- and counter-flow reactors are completely different; the reaction zones overlap in a co-flow reactor [21], but reactant depletions occur in the opposite direction in a counter-flow reactor [22].

Rapid start-up is a very important issue especially in hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion because the reactant is fed into the reactor at room temperature. The reduction of the start-up time of the steam reforming reactor has been studied using hydrogen [14–16,19] and hydrocarbon fuels [23–25]. Park et al. [15] and Kim [16] investigated start-up time reduction by increasing the hydrogen flow rate. However, the increase in the hydrogen flow rate led to the formation of hot spots [14–16]. Therefore, the hydrogen flow rate should be optimized to reduce the start-up time while minimizing hot spot formations.

In this study, hydrogen assisted catalytic combustion was applied in a microchannel reactor designed for steam reforming of methane. The reactant was fed into the reactor at room temperature without preheating. Hydrogen assisted combustion was used during reactor start-up, and after startup, hydrogen inflow was shut off. The configuration of the counter-flow reactor was optimized by a simulation model under steady state condition. The optimal hydrogen flow rate was determined to reduce the start-up time in the counterflow reactor, while satisfying stable fuel transition by using a transient simulation model. The response surface methodology was used for the optimizations. The temperature of the reactor and the reforming conversion were analyzed for various operating conditions. In addition, the performance of the co-flow reactor was compared with that of the counterflow reactor.

Kinetics of steam reforming and catalytic combustion

A microchannel reactor used for steam reforming of methane can be divided into two channels; namely, the steam reforming channel for hydrogen production and the catalytic combustion channel for heat supply. Methane and hydrogen are used as fuels for catalytic combustion. The reaction in the reforming and the combustion channel is as follows:

Steam reforming of methane

$$CH_4 + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2, \Delta H_{298} = 206 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$$
 (1)

Water-gas shift

 $CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2, \Delta H_{298} = -41 \ \text{kJmol}^{-1} \eqno(2)$

Reverse methanation

$$CH_4 + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + 4H_2, \Delta H_{298} = 164 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$$
 (3)

Catalytic combustion of methane

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O, \Delta H_{298} = -802 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$$
 (4)

Catalytic combustion of hydrogen

$$H_2 + 1/2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O, \Delta H_{298} = -242 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$$
 (5)

The reaction kinetics developed by Xu and Froment [26] on the Ni catalyst was applied in the reforming channel.

$$r_{1} = \frac{\frac{k_{1}}{p_{H_{2}}^{2.5}} \left(p_{CH_{4}} p_{H_{2}O} - \frac{p_{H_{2}}^{3} p_{CO}}{K_{e,1}} \right)}{Den^{2}}$$
(6)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1272841

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1272841

Daneshyari.com