

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/he



Effects of structural parameters on the performance of a micro-reactor with micro-pin-fin arrays (MPFAR) for hydrogen production

Deqing Mei^{a,*}, Miao Qian^a, Zhehe Yao^a, Binhong Liu^b, Xinyang Lou^a, Zichen Chen^a

^a State Key Laboratory of Fluid Power Transmission and Control, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, PR China

^b Department of Material Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 July 2012 Received in revised form 29 August 2012 Accepted 2 September 2012 Available online 30 September 2012

Keywords: Micro-reactor Micro-pin-fin arrays Structural parameter Hydrogen production Methanol steam reforming

ABSTRACT

To enhance the energy conversion efficiency of the micro-reactor with micro-pin-fin arrays (MPFAR) for hydrogen production, the effect of structural parameters (the height of the micro-pin-fin, the transverse and longitudinal center distance between two adjacent micro-pin-fins) on the performance of the MPFAR for hydrogen production is investigated. Based on the geometrical parameters, a theoretical model of material balance for hydrogen production in the MPFAR is established. The calculated results show that with the increase of the micro-pin-fin height or the decrease of the distance between two adjacent micropin-fins, the methanol conversion rate and the CO molar fraction increase. The methanol conversion rate increases by about 10% when the height of micro-pin-fin increases from 0.2 to 1 mm or the center distance between the two adjacent micro-pin-fins increases from 1.2 to 2.6 mm. The comparisons between the experimental and calculated results validate the theoretical model of material balance utilized in this study. Finally, a better geometrical structure of micro-pin-fin arrays is obtained, in which the height of the micropin-fin, the transverse and longitudinal center distances between two adjacent micro-pinfins are 1.0 mm, 1.2 mm and 1.2 mm, respectively. The hydrogen yield in the MPFAR can reach about 8.3 ml/min under the condition that the methanol conversion rate is above 90%.

Copyright © 2012, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fuel cell vehicles are a better alternative in comparison to internal combustion engine vehicles, in the context of energy sustainability and environmental impacts. This is due to the increase in efficiency and reduction in CO₂ emissions associated with the fuel cell vehicles [1]. Furthermore, microreformers fueled by liquid fuels such as methanol have received increasing interest to produce hydrogen for proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) vehicles. This is attributed to the increased safety and volume efficiency relative to fuel cell vehicles fueled by high-pressure hydrogen [2]. It is widely accepted that the design of the fuel processor for hydrogen production can allow for small volume, small weight, low cost, long lifetime, low byproduct (carbon monoxide), ease of manufacture, low flow resistance and

 $^{^{*}}$ Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 571 8795 1906; fax: +86 571 8795 1145.

E-mail address: medqmei@zju.edu.cn (D. Mei).

^{0360-3199/\$ –} see front matter Copyright © 2012, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.09.011

Nomenclature	n _L column number of micro-pin-fin arrays in the
A section area along the flow direction (m^2) A ₀ section area of the reaction channel at the	reaction channel n _T row number of micro-pin-fin arrays in the reaction channel
ASection area of the reaction channel at the entrance (m^2) AR, BRpre-exponential factors for methanol reforming reaction $(m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-1})$ ADpre-exponential factor for methanol decomposition reaction $(mol kg^{-1} s^{-1})$ C1molar concentration of the gaseous methanol $(mol m^{-3})$ C10molar concentration of methanol at the surface of catalyst layer $(mol m^{-3})$ Cimolar concentration of species i $(mol m^{-3})$ Cimolar concentration of species i $(mol m^{-3})$ ddiameter of the micro-pin-fin (m) Ea1activation energy of methanol decomposition reaction $(J mol^{-1})$ Ea2activation energy of methanol decomposition reaction $(J mol^{-1})$ FM0methanol molar flow rate at the entrance of the reaction channel $(mol s^{-1})$ hheight of the micro-pin-fin (m) Hheight of the reaction channel (m)	$n_{\rm T}$ row number of micro-pin-fin arrays in the reaction channel $r_{\rm D}$ reaction rates of methanol decomposition reaction (mol m ⁻³ s ⁻¹) r_i chemical reaction rate of species i (mol m ⁻³ s ⁻¹) $r_{\rm R}$ reaction rates of methanol steam reforming reaction (mol m ⁻³ s ⁻¹) R universal gas constant (J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)S/Csteam to methanolSMRmolar ratio of steam and methanolTreaction temperature of the MPFAR (K) u_0 mean velocity at the entrance (m s ⁻¹) \dot{V} volumetric flow rate of the dry reformed gas at standard conditions (m ³ s ⁻¹)Wwidth of the catalyst support (m) $X_{\rm m}$ volumetric expansion coefficient produced by methanol steam reforming reactionY_Ccarbon volumetric fraction in the dry reformed gas
<i>j</i> natural number sequence	o thickness of the catalyst layer (m)
reaction (mol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	$\eta_{\rm m}$ methanol conversion rate for the methanol steam
R_R volumetric reaction constant of methanol reforming reaction (m ³ kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)	$ ho_{\rm s}$ catalyst density (kg m ⁻³)
L ₀ distance between two adjacent micro-pin-fins (m)	

short start-up time [3]. These demands can be met by enhancing the heat and mass transfer of the fuel processor. As a result, the catalyst support with microstructure has been widely utilized in the reactor for hydrogen production due to its great heat and mass transfer characteristics. There are two types of typical reactors for the intensification of heat and mass transfer: the tubular reactor with micro-tube as the catalyst support and the micro-channel reactor. The tubular reactor with micro-tube as a microstructure used for fuel process has been found to be able to enhance the heat and mass transfer [4]. However, there are still some crucial obstacles for the tubular reactor to be applied in fuel cell vehicles considering the scale up and the coating of the reforming catalyst [5]. The micro-channel reactor is a better alternative as a fuel processor due to the relative ease of scale increase; and the study of the micro-channel reactors has also demonstrated the enhancement of mass and heat transfer in

comparison with the traditional reactor for hydrogen production [6,7]. For a micro-channel reactor, the catalyst support is usually a metal sheet such as the stainless steel sheet with straight micro-channels [8], or the porous sintered felts such as copper fiber sintered felts [9–11]. It has been known that the ratio of surface area to volume is large for the micro-channel reactor with multi-micro-channels, but it can be much larger when changing the structure of catalyst support from 'straight channels' to the 'micro-pin-fin arrays' as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, a novel micro-reactor with micro-pin-fin arrays (MPFAR) for fuel processing has been developed in our previous study, and some results have shown advantages of the MPFAR for hydrogen production [12].

Due to the importance of structural effects of the catalyst support on the reactor performance of hydrogen production, it is crucial to establish a theoretical model to study the process of hydrogen production in the micro-reactor with various



Fig. 1 – Configuration of the catalyst support: (a) straight channels, and (b) micro-pin-fin arrays.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1278300

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1278300

Daneshyari.com