

Kinetic models discrimination for the high pressure WGS reaction over a commercial CoMo catalyst

A.R. de la Osa^{*}, A. De Lucas, A. Romero, J.L. Valverde, P. Sánchez

Chemical Engineering Deparment, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Castilla La Mancha, Avda. Camilo José Cela 12, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 March 2011 Received in revised form 6 May 2011 Accepted 8 May 2011 Available online 25 June 2011

Keywords: Water gas shift reaction Co-Mo commercial catalyst Kinetics Bench scale

ABSTRACT

A mechanistic kinetic model has been study to describe the bench scale water-gas shift reaction over a commercial presulfided CoMo catalyst using an industrial coal-derived gas feed. A rigorous kinetic network has been considered on the basis of formate, associative and direct oxidation mechanisms. Kinetic models were derived by using LHHW formalism and steady-state approximation for reaction intermediates. Kinetic parameters were estimated by nonlinear regression of the experimental data using the Marquardt–Levenberg algorithm. The WGS kinetic data were measured by experiments over a wide range of reaction conditions and comparisons for various rate equations were also established. A preliminary discrimination resulted in the necessity of rewrite models as a unique parameter models. The model based on direct oxidation mechanism successfully predicted the CO_2 formation within the range of experimental conditions (high pressure and temperature). WGS rate expressions based on the regenerative process (oxidation-reduction) with the assumption that CO_2 desorption reaction can be regarded as the rate determining step were found to be the best.

Copyright © 2011, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The depletion of fossil oil reserves and the pollution (anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions) caused by the growing demand for energy generation have been a major objective of automobile, refinery and catalyst industries for many years [1,2]. The use of coal can be efficiently applied in coal-fuelled integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) power plants as a transition feedstock for a hydrogen economy is likely to be accomplished via gasification, i.e. the conversion of solid coal into a gaseous mixture of CO, CO_2 , H_2O , and H_2 . The hydrogen yield of such gasifier effluent may be increased by CO reacting with additional amounts of water, using the so-called water-gas shift reaction (WGSR). Also, this step can be

followed by carbon dioxide capture and storage (CCS) prior to combustion of the hydrogen in gas turbines or its utilisation in fuel cells [3,4]. Although the WGS reaction is customarily used in industry, the reaction has not been explored at the conditions (temperature, pressure) envisioned in the gasification process. However, high temperature and high pressure provide a unique scenario to increase the hydrogen yield. Water-gas shift (WGS) is then applied to increase and adjust the H₂/CO molar ratio in the synthesis gas and to remove CO from the gas effluents. ELCOGAS shows an example of the mentioned IGCC technology through the plant operating in Puertollano (Ciudad Real, Spain). In fact, this plant is now coupled to a pilot plant for H₂ production and CO₂ capture that operates since October 2010.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: AnaRaquel.Osa@uclm.es, anraqel@gmail.com (A.R. de la Osa).

^{0360-3199/\$ –} see front matter Copyright © 2011, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.05.043

Nomenclature		P_{H2}	H ₂ partial pressure, bar
۵	pro exponential factor	P _{H2O}	H ₂ O partial pressure, bar
л h		R	universal gas constant, kJ mol $^{-1}$ K $^{-1}$
D	estimate of p	R _{Pi}	axial flow profiles through the reactor defined by
E _a	activation energy, kj mol		eq. (21).
Ехр	experimental	ROwas	R_{CO2} , WGS reaction rate, mmol g_{cat}^{-1} s ⁻¹ defined
F	F-test parameter	C (1) CD	by eq. (26).
F _c	function defined by eq. (23).	SSO	sum of squared differences defined by eq. (22).
F_{Pi}	molar flow rate of each component i, mmol s^{-1}	T	temperature. K
F _{exp,Pi}	experimental molar flow rate of each component	t	t-test parameter
	i, mmol s ^{-1}	tri	function defined by eq. (24).
F _{th,Pi}	theoretical molar flow rate of each component i,	th	theoretical
	$mmol s^{-1}$	V(b)	covariance matrix
f(x,β)	mathematical model	W/	weight of catalyst g
GHSV	gas hourly space velocity, h^{-1}	v	set of independent variables in f(x_B) model
IGCC	integrated gasification combined cycle	N V	experimental dependent variable
i	the species considered	у	experimental dependent variable
i _{th}	diagonal term of the covariance matrix	Greek sy	mbols
k _{i, i=1-6}	kinetic constant	α	significance level
K_p	WGS equilibrium constant	β_i	parameter in f(x, β) model
K _{i, i=1-7}	adsorption group constant	η	approach to the WGS equilibrium defined by eq.
LHHW	Langmuir-Hinshelwood-Hougen-Watson		(27).
	predictive model	χ^2	statistic parameter to measure goodness of the
Ν	total number of experiments		fitting
р	number of parameters in a model		
P _i	partial pressure of species i	Otners *	
P _{CO}	CO partial pressure, bar		adsorption site
P _{CO2}	CO ₂ partial pressure, bar		

Although the WGS reaction could be catalyzed by many materials [5–8], industrially, it is carried out by using iron oxide-based (Fe_3O_4 – Cr_2O_3) and copper oxide-based (Cu–ZnO) catalysts [9–12]. However, in the case of coal-derived syngases, sulfur-resistant CoMo based catalysts are required [12–16]. Sulfide catalysts, similar to those used for hydrodesulfuration, have received much attention for the shift activity in presence of higher amounts of sulfur in the feed.

WGS reaction over Co—Mo catalysts has been extensively studied at laboratory conditions; however there are few papers [17] where industrial feed and bench scale set-up are used. None of them related to kinetics. In this sense, in a previous work, the effect of both operating conditions and catalyst pretreatment on the high pressure WGS reaction was investigated. A bench scale set-up, using a sulfur-resistant commercial catalyst and an industrial coal-derived feeding (from IGCC-ELCOGAS plant, Puertollano, Spain), were considered [18]. The aim of this research was to find a predictive model derived from a Langmuir-Hinshelwood-Hougen-Watson (LHHW) one for the bench scale WGS reaction that fits with the experimental results obtained with a commercial sulfide CoMo catalyst.

2. Experimental

2.1. Bench scale set-up description

The facility which is fully automated and computerized consisted of three physically separated parts: (gas and liquid) feed mixing and supply system, reaction system and product analysis system.

 N_2 , H_2 , CO and CO₂ (high purity supplied by PRAXAIR), were fed to the plant as the main gases, whereas H_2S (PRAXAIR) was introduced into it as the sulfide agent for the catalyst pretreatment. Each of these gases could be fed through two separate lines that had the same elements but different flow rates.

The water supply system consisted of a liquid dosing pump (Damovo) and a vaporizer, which achieved complete vaporization of the liquid driven by the pump before entering the reactor. The bench scale set-up included an Inconel fixed bed reactor (17.7 mm ID and 1000 mm length) for WGS experiments.

The gaseous effluent was connected to the input of a gas chromatograph by means of a Peltier cell. The analysis system consisted of a gas microchromatograph (CP-4900 Micro-GC VARIAN) with two analysis columns (Molsieve 5A for H₂, N₂, CH₄ and CO and Pora Pack Q column for CO₂, ethane and propane) using Ar and He as carrier gases, respectively.

The liquid effluent, after crossing a level control valve, was placed in a polyethylene tank positioned on a balance of 6 kg capacity.

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of the experimental bench scale plant for WGS reaction.

2.2. Catalyst

The catalyst studied in this work was a high temperature industrial WGS catalyst, which consisted of a mixture of Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1278907

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1278907

Daneshyari.com