



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/he

CrossMark

Multi-variable optimisation of PEMFC cathodes based on surrogate modelling

Lei Xing ^{a,b}, Xueguan Song ^{c,*}, Keith Scott ^a, Volker Pickert ^c, Wenping Cao ^c

^a School of Chemical Engineering and Advanced Materials, Merz Court, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK

^b School of Chemical Engineering, Inner Mongolia University of Technology, Hohhot 010051, China

^c School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Merz Court, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 July 2013

Received in revised form

15 August 2013

Accepted 24 August 2013

Available online 21 September 2013

Keywords:

Proton exchange membrane fuel cell

Cathode catalyst layer

Agglomerate model

Design optimisation

Surrogate model

Global sensitivity

ABSTRACT

A two-dimensional, steady state, isothermal agglomerate model for cathode catalyst layer design is presented. The design parameters, platinum loading, platinum mass ratio, electrolyte volume fraction, thickness of catalyst layer and agglomerate radius, are optimised by a multiple surrogate model and their sensitivities are analysed by a Monte Carlo method based approach. Two optimisation strategies, maximising the current density at a fix cell voltage and during a specific range, are implemented for the optima prediction. The results show that the optimal catalyst composition depends on operating cell voltages. At high current densities, the performance is improved by reducing electrolyte volume fraction to 7.0% and increasing catalyst layer porosity to 52.9%. At low current densities, performance is improved by increasing electrolyte volume fraction to 50.0% and decreasing catalyst layer porosity to 12.0%. High platinum loading and small agglomerate radius improve current density at all cell voltages. The improvement in fuel cell performance is analysed in terms of the electrolyte coating thickness, agglomerate specific area, conductivity, over-potential, volumetric current density and oxygen mole fraction within the cathode catalyst layer. The optimisation results are also validated by the agglomerate model at different cell voltages to confirm the effectiveness of the proposed methodologies.

Copyright © 2013, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) converts the chemical energy from a fuel into electricity through a chemical reaction with oxygen or another oxidizing agent. It is widely known as an environmentally friendly energy resource because the only by-products are water and heat [1]. Although significant improvements on PEMFC performance have been achieved over the last ten years, the high

cost associated with platinum catalyst is one of the major barriers hampering the commercial use of PEMFCs. Since platinum is dispersed within carbon black and combines with electrolyte to construct the catalyst layer, the performance depends on how effectively the platinum is dispersed, i.e. the effectiveness of the catalyst layer [2]. Accordingly, the function of different component within the catalyst layer requires the existence of triple phase boundaries (TPB) [3], where the content of electrolyte (for proton transfer), void

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0)191 222 5207; fax: +44 (0)191 222 5292.

E-mail addresses: xinglei1314@gmail.com, lei.xing@ncl.ac.uk (L. Xing), xueguan.song@ncl.ac.uk, songxguan@gmail.com (X. Song), k.scott@ncl.ac.uk (K. Scott), volker.pickert@ncl.ac.uk (V. Pickert), wenping.cao@ncl.ac.uk (W. Cao).

0360-3199/\$ – see front matter Copyright © 2013, Hydrogen Energy Publications, LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.08.104>

Nomenclature		Greek	
A	cross-sectional area, m ²	α	charge transfer coefficient
A _s	reaction surface area per unit platinum mass, m ² kg ⁻¹	$\alpha_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	water activity
a	specific area, m ⁻²	β	unknown coefficient
c	concentration, mol m ⁻³	κ_p	hydraulic permeability, m ²
c _f	fixed charge concentration in membrane, mol m ⁻³	λ	water content
D	diffusivity, m ² s ⁻¹	μ	viscosity, Pa s
D _{ij}	Maxwell–Stefan diffusion coefficient matrix, m ² s ⁻¹	ρ	density, kg m ⁻³
\tilde{D}_i	partial variance	τ	shear stress tensor
d	pore diameter, m	ε	porosity
E	effectiveness factor	$\tilde{\varepsilon}_i$	individual error
E ⁰	open circle potential, V	γ	oxygen diffusion rate through the electrolyte film, s ⁻¹
E _{cell}	cell voltage, V	θ_k	unknown correlation parameters
F	Farady's constant, 96485 C mol ⁻¹	δ	film thickness, m
f	column vector of length n _s	η	overpotential, V
f	platinum mass ratio to Pt/C	σ_M	proton conductivity of electrolyte, $\Omega^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$
H	Henry's constant, Pa m ³ mol ⁻¹	σ^2	variance
i	current density, A m ⁻²	ξ	stoichiometric flow ratio
i ₀	exchange current density, A m ⁻²	ζ	random point
k	reaction rate coefficient, s ⁻¹	M _T	Thiele's modulus
l	thickness, m	ϕ	potential, V
L	volume fraction	$\psi_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	association parameter for water (the value is 2.6)
M _j	molecular weight for specie j, kg mol ⁻¹	<i>Superscripts</i>	
M _n	mean molecular weight, kg mol ⁻¹	0	intrinsic
m _{Pt}	platinum loading, mg cm ⁻²	agg	agglomerate
m _C	carbon loading, mg cm ⁻²	eff	effective
N	number per volume	ref	reference
N _j	molar flux of specie j, mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	eq	equilibrium
n	number	<i>Subscripts</i>	
p	pressure, Pa	a	anode
Q	reactant gas flow rates, m ³ s ⁻¹	agg	agglomerate
R	symmetric correlation matrix	avg	average
R	reaction rate, mol m ³ s ⁻¹	C	carbon
R _g	ideal gas constant, 8.314 J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	c	cathode
R _M	membrane resistance, Ωm^{-2}	CL	catalyst layer
RH	relative humidity	dv	design variable
r	radius, m	GDL	gas diffusion layer
S	global sensitivity	g	gas mixture
T	temperature, K	i	species i
u	flow velocity, m s ⁻¹	j	species j
V	mole volume, m ³	Kn	Knudsen diffusion
w	mass fraction	M	electrolyte
x	design matrix of sample	P	void space
X	normalised distance (x/δ_{CL})	Pt	platinum
x	mole fraction	Pt/C	platinum dispersed carbon
\tilde{x}_i	design variable i	p	primary pores
Y	normalised distance (y/l)	pc	percolation critical value
y	column vector	S	GDL penetration
%M	volume fraction of primary pores occupied by electrolyte	s	secondary pores
		W	liquid water
		sat	saturation
		tot	total

space (for gas transport) and platinum dispersed carbon (for catalysis and electron transfer) interacted [4–8]. As a result, optimisation of the composition and structure of the electrode is important.

Traditionally, the optimum composition and structure of the catalyst layer is conducted through experiments [5–8], which are expensive and time-consuming. Computer simulations facilitate the exploration of alternative designs and

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1281391>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1281391>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)