

Some issues in modelling methane catalytic decomposition in fluidized bed reactors

P. Ammendola^a, R. Chirone^a, G. Ruoppolo^a, G. Russo^{a,b}, R. Solimene^{a,*}

^aIstituto di Ricerche sulla Combustione, CNR, Piazzale V. Tecchio, 80, 80125 Napoli, Italy ^bDipartimento di Ingegneria Chimica, Università di Napoli Federico II, Piazzale V. Tecchio, 80, 80125 Napoli, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 March 2007 Received in revised form 4 January 2008 Accepted 23 March 2008 <u>Available online 12 May 2008</u> Keywords: Methane catalytic decomposition Fluidized bed reactor Hydrogen production Attrition phenomena

ABSTRACT

This paper reports a model of fluidized bed thermo-catalytic decomposition (TCD) of methane. The novelty of the model consists of taking into account the occurrence of different competitive phenomena: methane catalytic decomposition, catalyst deactivation due to carbon deposition on the catalyst particles and their reactivation by means of carbon attrition. Comparison between theoretical and experimental data shows the capability of the present model to predict methane conversion and deactivation time during the process. The model demonstrates to be also a useful tool to investigate the role played by operative parameters such as fluidizing gas velocity, temperature, size and type of the catalyst. In particular, the model results have been finalized to characterize the attrition phenomena as a novel strategy in catalyst regeneration.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc 0}}$ 2008 International Association for Hydrogen Energy. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The thermo-catalytic decomposition (TCD) of methane is an attractive process towards the production of hydrogen with reduced CO_2 emissions [1–3]. The use of a catalyst is extremely advantageous since the non-catalytic thermal decomposition would require elevated process temperatures, i.e. above 1200 °C [1,2]. Fluidized beds have been indicated in the last years as an efficient reactor solution for TCD process compared to fixed bed reactors [1–5]. Consequently, new criteria have to be taken into account for catalyst design. In particular, a suitable catalyst should be characterized by a low propensity to attrition in addition to the requirements of high thermal stability and conversion efficiency [6].

Catalytic systems containing Ni [7,8] and Fe [9,10] have been largely tested in the past. The Ni-based catalysts have a maximum operative temperature of 600 °C. As a consequence, methane conversion being thermodynamically limited at this temperature, concentrated hydrogen streams (H_2 >60%) cannot be obtained using nickel-based catalysts [6]. On the contrary, Fe-based catalysts are more stable at higher temperatures in the order of 700–1000 °C, but deactivation occurs upon repeated cycles, resulting in a short lifetime [6]. In addition, whatever the catalyst, deposited carbon generally has a filamentous shape, i.e. nanofibres or nanotubes, with metal particles on their tips. This is an undesirable feature with reference to applications in fluidized bed reactors where attrition is likely to remove the active metal phase away from the support, resulting in a decrease in hydrogen production and in an increase in consumption of metals [2].

Muradov et al. [1–3] have investigated the feasibility of using both activated carbon and carbon black as catalysts for methane decomposition in fluidized beds. They pointed out that the process is more advantageous than that involving a metal catalyst: low cost, high temperature resistance and tolerance to potentially harmful compounds. On the other hand, the drawbacks are: (i) the poor catalytic activity of carbon in comparison with metal catalyst; (ii) the carbon

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +390817682237; fax: +390815936936.

E-mail address: solimene@irc.cnr.it (R. Solimene).

^{0360-3199/}\$ - see front matter © 2008 International Association for Hydrogen Energy. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.03.033

Nomenclature		$S_2^{\rm i}$	internal catalyst sur carbon. m²
а	catalyst specific surface area, m²/g	t	time, s
co	inlet methane concentration, mol/m ³	t _d	deactivation time, s
c _b	methane concentration in the bubble phase,	Т	temperature, K
	mol/m ³	U	fluidizing gas velocity
Ce	methane concentration in the dense phase,	Ub	rise velocity of bubble
	mol/m ³	$U_{\rm br}$	rise velocity of isolate
$d_{\rm b0}$	bubble diameter just above the distributor, m	Umf	minimum fluidization
$d_{\rm bm}$	maximum bubble diameter, m	υ	generic input variable
$d_{ m b}^*$	effective bubble diameter at x*, m	Vc	volume of a catalyst
d _c	catalyst particle diameter, m	Wc	amount of carbon
$d_{\rm p}$	mean pore diameter of a catalyst particle, nm		surface of all bed par
D	mean methane diffusivity, m²/s	w_{c}^{e}	amount of carbon
D_{CH_4}	methane diffusivity, m²/s		surface of a catalyst
D_{eff}	methane bulk diffusivity, m²/s	w_{c}^{i}	amount of carbon
D_k	methane Knudsen diffusivity, m²/s		surface of a catalyst
Dr	bed diameter, m	х	distance above the di
E ₁	catalyst activation energy, J/mol	<i>x</i> *	distance above the o
E ₂	deposited carbon activation energy, J/mol		the calculation of d_b^* ,
Ec	carbon elutriation rate, g/s	У _{Си}	copper mass fraction
h_{cd}	thickness of the carbon deposits, nm	Z	generic output variab
Н	bubbling fluidized bed height, m		
H_{mf}	static bed height, m	Greek s	symbols
k1	surface intrinsic kinetic constant of the catalyst,		
	m ³ /(m ² s)	3	fractional change in
k_1^0	catalyst frequency factor, m³/(m²s)		tween no conversion
k2	surface intrinsic kinetic constant of the deposited		methane, –
	carbon, m³/(m²s)	ε _c	porosity factor of a c
k_{2}^{0}	deposited carbon frequency factor, m³/(m²s)	ε _{mf}	void fraction in the b
ka	carbon attrition constant, m^{-1}		condition, –
kg	mass transfer coefficient outside the particle, m/s	$ ho_{ m b}$	bulk density of bed o
$k_{\rm p}$	apparent reaction constant per volume of catalyst	$ ho_{c}$	apparent catalyst der
	particle, s ⁻¹	τ	tortuosity factor of a
k ^e p	apparent external reaction constant per volume of catalyst particle s^{-1}	τ_{g}	mean gas residence t
k_{r}^{i}	apparent internal reaction constant per volume of	Dimens	sionless numbers
р	catalyst particle. s^{-1}	Dimen	
Khe	overall coefficient of gas interchange between	Da	Damköhler number
De	bubble and dense phase, s^{-1}	<i>D</i> u <i>o</i> .	model relative error
Kha	coefficient of gas interchange between bubble and	et _d	model relative error o
DC	cloud-wake region. s ⁻¹	e _x i	model relative error
Kce	coefficient of gas interchange between cloud-	CAr	sion
ce	wake region and dense phase, s^{-1}	Rec	Reynolds number at
Mc	carbon molecular weight, g/mol	Remi	dition
Mcu	copper molecular weight, g/mol	Sc	Schimdt number
Мсн.	methane molecular weight, g/mol	Sh	Sherwood number
Мн.	hydrogen molecular weight, g/mol	S	sensitivity of a gener
N _c	number of catalyst particles. –	y Sz	methane conversion
Pc	carbon production rate, g/s	X.	index of gas excha
Pu.	hydrogen production rate g/s	Tbe	dence nhace
S _{cd}	surface of catalyst particle occupied by deposited	Χ.	initial methane conv
-cu	carbon per carbon mass. m^2/g	x x	residual methane con
S ^e	total external surface of a catalyst particle. m^2	Λr β	fluidization velocity
S ⁱ	total internal surface of a catalyst particle, m^2	P	fluidizing and volocity
S ^e	external active surface of a catalyst particle m^2	Θ	Thiele number
S_1^i	internal active surface of a catalyst particle, m^2	2	effectiveness factor of
S ^e	external catalyst surface occupied by denosited	η	enectiveness factor o
-2	carbon. m ²		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

S_2^i	internal catalyst surface occupied by deposited carbon, m^2
t	time. s
ta	deactivation time, s
T	temperature, K
U	fluidizing gas velocity, m/s
Ub	rise velocity of bubbles through the bed, m/s
Ubr	rise velocity of isolated bubbles, m/s
U _{mf}	minimum fluidization velocity, m/s
υ	generic input variable, a.u.
Vc	volume of a catalyst particle, m ³
Wc	amount of carbon deposited on the external
	surface of all bed particles, g
w_{c}^{e}	amount of carbon deposited on the external
	surface of a catalyst particle, g
w_{c}^{i}	amount of carbon deposited on the internal
	surface of a catalyst particle, g
х	distance above the distributor, m
<i>x</i> *	distance above the distributor corresponding to
	the calculation of d_b^* , m
У _{Си}	copper mass fraction of catalyst particles, g/g
Z	generic output variable, a.u.
Greek syı	mbols

	fractional change in volume of the system be-
	tween no conversion and complete conversion of
	methane, –
~	porosity factor of a catalyst particle. –

- bed at minimum fluidization
- of catalyst particles, g/m³
- nsity, g/m³
- catalyst particle, -
- time, s

Da	Damköhler number	
e _{ta}	model relative error of deactivation time	
e _{Xi}	model relative error of initial methane conversion	
e _{Xr}	model relative error of residual methane conver-	
	sion	
Re _{mf}	Reynolds number at minimum fluidization condition	
Sc	Schimdt number	
Sh	Sherwood number	
Sz	sensitivity of a generic output variable	
Х	methane conversion	
X _{be}	index of gas exchange between bubbles and	
	dense phase	
Xi	initial methane conversion	
Xr	residual methane conversion	
β	fluidization velocity excess with respect to the	
	fluidizing gas velocity	
Θ	Thiele number	
η	effectiveness factor of a catalyst particle	

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1281548

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1281548

Daneshyari.com