



Semi-interpenetrating polymer networks as solid polymer electrolytes: Effects of ion-dissociation, crosslink density and oligomeric entanglements on the conductivity behavior in poly(ethylene oxide)–polyurethane/poly(acrylonitrile) matrix

Kota Ramanjaneyulu¹, Nimai Bar¹, Md. Selim Arif Sher Shah¹, Sunkara V. Manorama*, Pratyay Basak*

Nanomaterials Laboratory, Inorganic and Physical Chemistry Division, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 607, India

HIGHLIGHTS

- ▶ Aspects of structural modifications on a promising semi-IPN electrolyte are reported.
- ▶ Dependence of ion-dissociation and matrix conductivity on the nature of salt used.
- ▶ Network crosslink density depends on PEG chain length and affects ion conductivity.
- ▶ Use of an entangled oligomer to effectively plasticize and create a ternary system.
- ▶ Results demonstrate versatility of these semi-IPNs to be custom designed as SPEs.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 February 2012

Received in revised form

12 April 2012

Accepted 25 May 2012

Available online 6 June 2012

Keywords:

Solid polymer electrolytes

Semi-interpenetrating polymer networks

Ionic conductivity

Arrhenius

Vogel–Tammann–Fulcher equation

ABSTRACT

The present study investigates into three aspects of tailoring and simple structural modifications on a promising solid polymer electrolyte system of poly(ethylene oxide)–polyurethane/poly(acrylonitrile) semi-interpenetrating polymer networks. Influence of the nature of salt solvated, cation/anion mobility, ion–polymer interaction and transient crosslink formation is evaluated using different solvated electrolytes and the conductivity achieved varied as $\text{LiClO}_4 \geq \text{LiCF}_3\text{SO}_3 > \text{NaBF}_4 > \text{NaClO}_4 > \text{KI}$. The role of optimum chain length between the crosslinks (crosslink density) necessary to attain better conductivity levels is appraised using PEG of different molecular weights (400, 2000, 4000, 10,000 and 35,000) for synthesis and the maximum conductivity was obtained for PEG-4000. The temperature dependence of ion conductivity shows an Arrhenius to VTF transition at ~ 323 K in these semi-IPNs, a general behavior independent of the nature of salt and the poly(ethylene glycol) chain length. Ternary composition of semi-IPN containing 30 wt% PEGDME MW 500 yields a conductivity of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ at 35°C , an order of magnitude higher than that obtained without plasticizing component. VTF behavior is witnessed throughout the temperature window in the study for samples with oligomeric plasticization. Linear sweep voltammetry indicates significantly good electrochemical stability (~ 4.6 V) of the semi-IPN electrolyte matrix.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The goal of achieving an ideal polymer electrolyte (PE) suitable for realizing practical application is still elusive and several gaps and bottlenecks remain to be addressed. Structural modification of

PEO based systems to improve their physical properties and conductivity behavior is still an open challenge in the field of solid polymer electrolytes. Redesigning the polymer architecture to incorporate optimal distribution of solvating groups for cation coordination, minimization of chain regularity to reduce crystallinity and enhancing chain flexibility have been identified as some of the most suitable strategies to develop superior SPEs. In this direction, various classes of polymeric materials such as random copolymers [1–8], block copolymers [9–11], comb polymers [12–14] and crosslinked networks [15–18] have been explored and documented. The nature (cation/anion) and concentration of the

* Corresponding authors. Tel.: +91 40 27193225 (office); fax: +91 40 27160921.

E-mail addresses: manorama@iict.res.in (S.V. Manorama), pratyay@iict.res.in, pratyaybasak@gmail.com (P. Basak).

¹ The authors indicated have equal contribution in the study.

incorporated salt used can affect the conductivity by influencing the formation of crystalline domains, intermolecular crosslinking and phase composition. Thus, the electrolyte properties can be suitably modified by incorporating different salts into the matrix [11,19]. For network systems, the crosslink density *i.e.* the molecular weight of the polymeric chains between the crosslinks, too plays a significant role in the ion conducting behavior of the electrolyte matrix.

In combination with these simple structural modifications, further plasticization of the polymer matrix is a widely employed approach to improve the overall performance of the polymer electrolytes [20–39]. Plasticizers used in ‘gel’ polymer electrolytes are usually low molar mass organics, preferably having a high dielectric constant to ensure strong charge dissociation and provide a liquid-like environment within the polymer matrix, which facilitates ion transport. Propylene carbonate (PC) [20–23], ethylene carbonate (EC) [38,39], dimethyl carbonate (DMC) [35], diethyl carbonate (DEC), γ -butyrolactone and the likes, are some of the conventional organic liquids commonly used for the purpose. Low molecular weight glycols, such as diglyme, tetraglyme (TGME) and polyethylene glycol dimethylether (PEGDME) have also been exploited [28–33]. Ideally, the system behaves like a liquid with high ionic conductivity, while preserving the dimensional stability of the solids.

The feasibility of using poly(ethylene oxide)–polyurethane/poly(acrylonitrile) (PEO–PU/PAN) semi-interpenetrating polymer networks (semi-IPNs) and its nano-composites as solid polymer electrolytes have been demonstrated by our group in recent years [40–44]. The attempts have resulted in a promising SPE with room temperature ionic conductivity of $\sim 10^{-6} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$, while retaining the necessary dimensional and thermal stability required for a polymer electrolyte. Useful insights into the various aspects of the electrochemical behavior of these systems and their dependence on the physico-chemical properties gained from these studies provided reasonable grounds for tailoring the system further.

In continuation with our efforts to enhance the ionic conductivity whilst creating an effective library of these semi-IPN matrices, the present paper, reports some of the interesting findings pertaining to various simple structural modifications that have generated important leads toward developing an ideal SPE for practical purposes. This endeavor investigates into three aspects of structural modifications on the PEO–PU/PAN semi-IPN system and their impact on the ionic conductivity behavior: *viz.*, i) the nature of salt (ion-dissociation), ii) crosslink density of the PEO–PU network (PEG chain length) and iii) creating a ternary system using an entangled oligomer within the semi-IPN matrix (oligomeric plasticization) have been assessed. The results presented herein, provide a more comprehensive understanding of the complex matrix behavior for the PEO–PU/PAN semi-IPNs and affirm our contention of the potential use of such systems as solid polymer electrolytes.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Castor oil (BSS Grade), diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) (Ind-ital Chem. Ltd.), polyethylene glycol (PEG), (MW = 400, 2000, 4000 from SD Fine Chemicals and MW = 10,000, 35,000 from Fluka), N,N-dimethylaniline (DMA) (SD Fine Chemicals), acrylonitrile (SRL), benzoyl peroxide and tetrahydrofuran (THF) (Ranbaxy), lithium perchlorate (LiClO_4) (Aldrich), lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate (LiCF_3SO_3) (Aldrich), sodium perchlorate (NaClO_4) (Aldrich), sodium tetrafluoroborate (NaBF_4) (Merck), potassium iodide (KI) (SD Fine Chemicals), polyethylene glycol dimethylether (PEGDME, MW = 500) (Aldrich) were used as obtained.

2.2. Synthesis of PEO–PU/PAN (60/40) semi-IPNs

2.2.1. Variation of salt

In a typical reaction, the isocyanate terminated urethane prepolymer is synthesized by reacting castor oil with excess of MDI in THF for 1 h at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere as reported elsewhere [40–43, see Supporting information]. The desired amount of various salts to be added for each composition is calculated from the EO/M^+ mole ratio ($\text{M}^+ = \text{Li}^+, \text{Na}^+, \text{K}^+$). The EO/M^+ mole ratios (salt concentration) used in the present study are 30, 20, 15 and 10 (Table 1). The required amount of salt is mixed with PEG and dissolved in acetonitrile/THF (2:1) prior to its addition to the prepolymer. The PEG containing the desired amount of salt, the second monomer acrylonitrile (40 wt%), benzoyl peroxide (initiator) and N,N-dimethylaniline (catalyst) is then added to the prepolymer. For the synthesis of the poly(ethylene oxide)–polyurethane networks a $-\text{OH}/-\text{NCO}$ ratio of 1:1.25 is maintained. The mixture is again degassed and stirred under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 30 min. The viscous solution is then cast in

Table 1

Electrolyte compositions of PEO–PU/PAN (60/40) semi-IPNs studied with respect to the molecular weight of PEG used, type of salt, weight % of oligomer PEGDME 500, the EO/M^+ mole ratio, the Arrhenius activation energy (ΔE_a) and the Vogel–Tammann–Fulcher (VTF) fitting parameters (T_0 , A and B).

No.	PEG mol. wt	Salt	PEGDME (wt%)	EO/M^+	ΔE_a (eV)	T_0 (K)	A (S cm^{-1})	B (eV)
1	4000	NaClO_4	–	30	0.66	286.7	0.035	0.014
				20	0.67	281.3	0.034	0.020
				15	0.64	298.7	0.044	0.019
				10	0.54	281	0.086	0.017
2	4000	NaBF_4	–	30	0.65	284.2	0.041	0.056
				20	0.69	300.3	0.043	0.053
				15	0.74	299.7	0.014	0.066
				10	0.63	281.9	0.053	0.064
3	4000	KI	–	30	0.70	211.1	0.144	0.080
				20	0.73	208.6	0.178	0.085
				15	0.81	246.9	0.167	0.045
				10	0.59	180.5	0.0227	0.076
4 ^b	4000	LiCF_3SO_3	–	20	0.52	181.4	0.0423	0.079
				15	0.57	186.3	0.0955	0.094
				10	0.52	187.5	0.1435	0.101
				30	0.58	188.6	0.0070	0.066
5 ^a	4000	LiClO_4	–	20	0.55	187.7	0.0049	0.055
				15	0.53	190.9	0.0013	0.027
				10	0.52	195.2	0.0008	0.015
				30	0.54	244.4	0.016	0.024
6	400	LiClO_4	–	20	0.80	179.2	0.086	0.097
				15	0.78	220.2	0.032	0.050
				10	0.78	251.1	0.048	0.043
				30	0.68	263.1	0.047	0.023
7	2000	LiClO_4	–	20	0.55	195.4	0.119	0.085
				15	0.70	245.9	0.091	0.037
				10	0.78	256.5	0.093	0.031
				30	0.59	248.7	0.031	0.027
8	10,000	LiClO_4	–	20	0.43	216.1	0.042	0.045
				15	0.45	274.4	0.017	0.014
				10	0.47	216.8	0.066	0.061
				30	0.52	262.9	0.020	0.019
9	35,000	LiClO_4	–	20	0.54	207.2	0.076	0.066
				15	0.59	187.8	0.116	0.092
				10	0.64	237.3	0.038	0.041
				20	–	166.6	0.874	0.116
10	4000	LiClO_4	10	15	–	229.1	0.203	0.042
				10	–	184.6	0.816	0.102
				20	–	259.2	0.111	0.017
				15	–	247.9	0.153	0.022
11	4000	LiClO_4	20	10	–	242.1	0.210	0.025
				20	–	238.6	0.428	0.040
				15	–	234.9	0.303	0.033
				10	–	252.9	0.216	0.021
12	4000	LiClO_4	30	20	–	238.6	0.428	0.040
				15	–	234.9	0.303	0.033
				10	–	252.9	0.216	0.021
				10	–	252.9	0.216	0.021

^a Data from Ref. [40].

^b Data from Ref. [41].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1284451>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1284451>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)