Journal of Power Sources 318 (2016) 1-8



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Power Sources

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Adsorption behavior of low concentration carbon monoxide on polymer electrolyte fuel cell anodes for automotive applications



^a Japan Automobile Research Institute, 2530 Karima, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0822, Japan

^b Green Hydrogen Research Center, Yokohama National University, 79-5 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama, 240-8501, Japan

^c Institute of Advanced Sciences, Yokohama National University, 79-5 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama, 240-8501, Japan

HIGHLIGHTS

- CO, CO₂ and O₂ in the anode exhaust were measured during the PEFC operation.
- CO coverage was estimated from gas analysis and CO stripping voltammetry.
- The CO coverage at low CO concentration followed a Temkin-type isotherm.
- The CO coverage was 0.6 at 0.2 ppm CO and 0.11 mg cm⁻² anode loading at 60 °C.

• Permeated O₂ should have an important role for CO oxidation at low CO concentration.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 14 January 2016 Received in revised form 28 March 2016 Accepted 29 March 2016 Available online 7 April 2016

Keywords: Polymer electrolyte fuel cell Carbon monoxide Gas analysis Oxygen permeation

ABSTRACT

The adsorption behavior of CO on the anode around the concentration of 0.2 ppm allowed by ISO 14687-2 is investigated in polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs). CO and CO₂ concentrations in the anode exhaust are measured during the operation of a JARI standard single cell at 60 °C cell temperature and 1000 mA cm⁻² current density. CO coverage is estimated from the gas analysis and CO stripping voltammetry. The cell voltage decrease as a result of 0.2 ppm CO is 29 mV and the CO coverage is 0.6 at the steady state with 0.11 mg cm⁻² of anode platinum loading. The CO coverage as a function of CO concentration approximately follows a Temkin-type isotherm. Oxygen permeated to the anode through a membrane is also measured during fuel cell operation. The exhaust velocity of oxygen from the anode was shown to be much higher than the CO supply velocity. Permeated oxygen should play an important role in CO oxidation under low CO concentration conditions.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) are promising power devices for stationary, portable and transportation applications because of their high power density and efficiency. In particular, fuel cell vehicles (FCVs) are desirable because of the advantage of lower pollution emissions than internal combustion engine vehicles, and they have longer cruising distances than battery electric vehicles. Development and establishment of the hydrogen infrastructure is also in progress for the widespread use of FCVs.

At present, most hydrogen is produced by steam reforming from

E-mail address: ymatsuda@jari.or.jp (Y. Matsuda).

hydrocarbons [1,2]. In the case of methane, the steam reforming is

$$CH_4 + H_2 O \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2. \tag{1}$$

After the steam reforming, carbon monoxide (CO) in the reformate gas is removed by the water gas shift reaction in Equation (2) and purified by pressure-swing adsorption or CO selective oxidation in Equation (3):

$$CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2, \tag{2}$$

$$\operatorname{CO} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{O}_2 \to \operatorname{CO}_2. \tag{3}$$

The CO concentration in the reformate gas after those reactions is reduced to a level of 10 ppm. Then, the hydrogen gas for the fuel cell is obtained by the separation of CO and other impurities in the



^{*} Corresponding author. Japan Automobile Research Institute, 2530 Karima, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0822, Japan.

pressure-swing adsorption process. However, a trace amount of impurities may remain in the hydrogen obtained as above. If the hydrogen purity is inadequate, the impurities may decrease the fuel cell's performance. On the other hand, excessively high hydrogen purity will lead to an increase in the hydrogen production cost. Therefore, a hydrogen quality specification for FCVs was discussed at ISO/TC197/WG12, which is the working group on hydrogen fuel specification, and was issued as an international standard as ISO 14687-2 in 2012 [3]. The allowable concentration of impurities in hydrogen fuel was specified in consideration of the effect of impurities on single cell performance or degradation of the constituent material. Methods for cell performance recovery after poisoning, analytical methods and accumulation behavior in the hydrogen circulation system were also considered [4]. This international standard applies to the early stages of commercial FCVs. Fuel cell cost reduction will be accelerated with the progress of technical development of fuel cell components, such as lowering of the platinum loading of electrocatalysts [5,6] and thinning of the electrolyte membrane. Preparation for the revision of the specification for hydrogen fuel is under way, looking ahead to the widespread usage stage.

Among the impurities specified in the standard, CO is known as having one of the greatest potentials to decrease fuel cell performance. In addition, a CO concentration that was almost the same as the upper limit of the CO standard has been reported at a hydrogen station [7,8]. So, the allowable concentration of CO is still an important subject for discussion for the revision of the hydrogen fuel specification.

There are many reports on the effect of CO poisoning on the performance of PEFCs [5,6,9-28] and on methods to decrease CO poisoning, such as by Pt–Ru electrocatalysts [5,9-15] and air-bleed systems [16-20] in PEFCs. However, application of these antipoisoning techniques is difficult for FCVs because the Pt–Ru electrocatalyst has a low tolerance for sulfur-containing impurities [9], and the air bleed should cause nitrogen accumulation in the hydrogen circulation system of the FCVs, or membrane degradation by the formation of H_2O_2 in the anode potential range [30]. Consequently, the evaluation of CO at low concentration with low anode platinum loadings is necessary for a discussion of the hydrogen fuel specification for the FCVs.

Gas emission behaviors when a relatively low concentration of CO is added to the fuel cell have been reported in the literature [6,24,25]. However, the influence of CO has not been well understood because the change of CO coverage during the PEFC operation was not clear. To discuss the allowable concentration of CO, which considers the MEA specification and the operating conditions with the widespread use of FCVs, it is important to understand the basic phenomena, such as the relationship between CO coverage and performance degradation of the PEFC.

In addition, permeated oxygen from the cathode would react with hydrogen or CO on the anode electrocatalyst. If permeated oxygen exists in the anode exhaust, oxygen will accumulate in the hydrogen circulation system of the FCVs, and subsequently it will make a possible contribution to mitigating the effect of CO, as in airbleed systems [16–22]. However, oxygen concentration in the anode exhaust has not been reported.

In this study, the adsorption behavior of CO on the anode during PEFC operation has been investigated to understand the progress of CO poisoning near the upper limit of ISO 14687-2 and recovery by high-purity hydrogen. Both CO and CO₂ concentrations in the PEFC exhaust were measured to evaluate the carbon balance of CO in the supplied hydrogen fuel. The oxygen concentration in the anode exhaust was also measured to evaluate the permeated oxygen content from the cathode.

2. Theoretical

In general, two types of bonding for CO adsorption are known on the platinum surface, linear and bridge bonds. The linear-bonded CO occupies one platinum site, while the bridge-bonded CO occupies two sites. Igarashi et al. reported that the type of CO bonding on Pt sites was dependent on the CO coverage, and that linearbonded CO is dominant near full coverage [26]. To estimate the CO coverage in a single cell under operation with hydrogen containing a low concentration of CO, the two possible CO coverage scenarios, which are only linear-bonded CO (θ_L) and both linearand bridge-bonded CO (θ_{L+B}), are considered here.

The CO coverage is estimated by determination of the two types of CO adsorption. One is measured by CO stripping voltammetry and the other is measured by anode exhaust analysis during cell operation. In CO stripping voltammetry, if the platinum catalyst is exposed to a high concentration of CO, linear-bonded CO dominates and the CO coverage reaches almost 1.0 [26]. Then the electrochemical oxidation of CO is expressed by the following equation.

$$Pt - CO + H_2O \rightarrow Pt + CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$$
 (4)

The amount of CO adsorption n (mol) is estimated using the CO oxidation charge $Q_{CO}(C)$ and geometric electrode area $A_{Cell}(cm^2)$ as follows:

$$n = \frac{Q_{\rm CO} A_{\rm Cell}}{2F},\tag{5}$$

where *F* is the Faraday constant (= $9.65 \times 10^4 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$). The whole platinum surface area S_{CO} (m²) is represented by the charge needed to strip a monolayer of CO on platinum, 420 μ C cm⁻²:

$$S_{\rm CO} = \frac{Q_{\rm CO}A_{\rm Cell}}{420}.\tag{6}$$

The CO and CO₂ concentrations in the anode exhaust of a single cell were measured to estimate the amount of CO adsorption. The CO exhaust velocity $v_{CO,out,t}$ (mol h⁻¹) and the CO₂ exhaust velocity $v_{CO2,out,t}$ (mol h⁻¹) at time *t* (h) can be represented as follows:

$$\nu_{\rm CO,out,t} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{S_{\rm f}}\right) \frac{C_{\rm CO,out,t}F_{\rm H_2}}{V_{\rm m}},\tag{7}$$

$$\nu_{\rm CO_2,out,t} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{S_{\rm f}}\right) \frac{C_{\rm CO_2,out,t} F_{\rm H_2}}{V_{\rm m}} - \nu_{\rm CO_2,b,t},\tag{8}$$

where $C_{CO,out,t}$ (ppm) and $C_{CO2,out,t}$ (ppm) are the cell outlet CO and CO₂ concentrations at time *t* (h), respectively. *S*_f, *F*_{H2} (L h⁻¹), *V*_m (=22.4 L mol⁻¹) and *v*_{CO2,b,t} are the anode stoichiometry, fuel flow rate, mole volume and CO₂ exhaust velocity baseline, respectively.

All CO supplied to the anode is thought to be exhausted as CO or CO₂ at the steady state. Here, the CO and CO₂ exhaust velocity at the steady state are defined as $v_{CO,out,s}$ (mol h⁻¹) and $v_{CO2,out,s}$ (mol h⁻¹), respectively. Therefore, the CO adsorption velocity $v_{CO,cell,t}$ (mol h⁻¹) at time *t* (h) can be represented as follows:

$$v_{\text{CO,cell},t} = \left(v_{\text{CO,out},s} + v_{\text{CO}_2,\text{out},s}\right) - \left(v_{\text{CO,out},t} + v_{\text{CO}_2,\text{out},t}\right). \tag{9}$$

Then, the cumulative CO adsorption amount per platinum surface area $q_{\text{CO}}\,(\text{mol}\;m^{-2})$ is

$$q_{\rm CO} = \frac{1}{S_{\rm CO}} \int_0^t \left(\nu_{\rm CO, cell, t} \right) dt.$$
(10)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1285305

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1285305

Daneshyari.com