

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Power Sources 174 (2007) 766–769

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

# Improved electrochemical performance of modified natural graphite anode for lithium secondary batteries

Short communication

Wan-Hong Zhang<sup>a,∗</sup>, Liang Fang<sup>a</sup>, Min Yue<sup>b</sup>, Zuo-Long Yu<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *School of Material Science & Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China* <sup>b</sup> *BTR Energy Materials Co. Ltd., Shenzhen 518055, China*

Available online 3 July 2007

### **Abstract**

Modified natural graphite is synthesized by surface coating and graphitizing process on the base of spherical natural graphite. The modified natural graphite is examined discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency for the initial charge–discharge cycle. Modification process results in marked improvement in electrochemical performance for a larger discharge capacity and better coulombic efficiency. The mechanism of the enhancement are investigated by means of X-ray powder diffraction, scan electron microscopy, and physical parameters examination. The proportion of rhombohedral crystal structure was reduced by the heat treatment process. The modified natural graphite exhibits 40 mAh  $g^{-1}$  reduction in the first irreversible capacity while the reversible capacity increased by 16 mAh g−<sup>1</sup> in comparison with pristine graphite electrode. Also, it has an excellent capacity retention of ∼94% after 100 cycles and ∼87% after 300 cycles. © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Natural graphite; Lithium secondary batteries; Graphitize; Capacity

# **1. Introduction**

Graphitic materials have been widely used as anode materials for lithium secondary batteries. Nevertheless, graphitic anodes still suffer from serious problems, which include electrolyte decomposition and subsequent surface film formation. These cause irreversible capacity changes during cycling [\[1,2\], w](#page--1-0)hich give rise to detrimental effects such as high internal pressure and lower cycling efficiency. The irreversible reaction also deteriorates both the cathode material and the electrolyte [\[3,4\]. P](#page--1-0)resent commercial carbon materials, such as mesocarbon microbeads (MCMB) and mesocarbon fibre (MCF), have relatively higher cost and lower discharge capacity. Several materials, such as Sn-based oxides [\[5–7\]](#page--1-0) and graphite–Fe–Si–Sn alloy composites [\[8–10\]](#page--1-0) have recently been reported as possible alternatives. Despite the huge theoretical capacity (maximum capacity of up to 4000 mAh  $g^{-1}$ ) of these materials, a large capacity loss is the main limitation to their use as an anode material for the lithium secondary batteries.

Natural graphite is considered as another promising anode material for lithium secondary batteries because of its high

0378-7753/\$ – see front matter © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi[:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.06.252](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.06.252)

reversible capacity, appropriate potential profile, and low cost. Nevertheless, a large irreversible capacity loss during the first cycle, poor cycleability and poor rate capability has prevented its practical use. Many research groups have reported, however, that surface pretreatment of natural graphite by mild oxidation [\[11,12\],](#page--1-0) carbon coating [\[13,14\]](#page--1-0) or polyelectrolyte adsorption [\[15\]](#page--1-0) is effective in improving cycling efficiencies and reversible capacities.

In this study, it is shown that modified and graphitized treatment of natural graphite can greatly enhance first charge–discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency, long-term cycling performance. An attempt is made to reveal the structural changes responsible for this behavior.

#### **2. Experimental**

The natural graphite used in this experiment was SG18 (from BTR Energy Materials Co.). Surface-modified graphite was prepared as follows: SG18 was first dispersed in a solvent that contains the precursor of nongraphitic carbon and then the solvent was evaporated. Finally, the residue was treated at 3000 ◦C in a nitrogen gas atmosphere for 4 h to 15 days.

The average size of the composite particles in the powders was measured with a Malvern laser diffraction analyzer.

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 755 2989 2511; fax: +86 755 2989 2816. *E-mail address:* [wh-zhang@tom.com](mailto:wh-zhang@tom.com) (W.-H. Zhang).



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of: (a) pristine natural graphite; (b) modified natural graphite prepared by the nongraphitic carbon coating method; (c) graphitized at 3000 °C for 15 days.

Surface areas of carbon particles were determined by nitrogen adsorption according to the BET method. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns, which were obtained with a Rigaku D/max-IIIA diffractometer using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation, were utilized to analyze the crystal structure of graphite, and scan electron microscopy was performed to analyze the surface structure.

The modified graphite electrode was fabricated as follows. First, 94% of active graphite, 3% of carbon black, and 3% of the binder LA133 were homogeneously mixed in an agate mortar and then the slurry was spread onto a  $10 \mu m$ -thick copper foil to form an electrode. Next, the electrode was dried under vacuum at  $100^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, then, pressed with a roller to enhance the contact of the particles. The electrode thickness was averaged  $65 \mu m$ . The graphite loading on the current-collector was typically 5.8 mg cm<sup> $-2$ </sup>.

The working electrodes were evaluated in a two-electrode cell in which a metallic Li sheet acted as the counter electrode. The coin cells were assembled in a glove box under an argon atmosphere with a humidity rate of less than 5 ppm. A porous polypropylene separator (Celgard No. 2400) was packed into the cell. Electrochemical measurements of the charge–discharge of the modified graphite electrode were conducted in the electrolyte solvent EC/DMC/EMC (1/1/1 ratio in weight) containing 1 M LiPF6 (Zhangjiagang Guotai-Huarong New Chemical Materials Co.). The charge–discharge current density was  $72 \text{ mA} \text{ g}^{-1}$  $(0.2 C$ -rate) with a cut-off voltage of  $0.001-2.0 V$  at room temperature  $(25 °C)$ .

## **3. Results and discussion**

X-ray diffraction patterns of pristine graphite, surfacemodified natural graphite prepared by the nongraphitic carbon coating method and graphitized at  $3000\degree$ C are shown in Fig. 1(a)–(c), respectively. Four peaks can be observed in a range of the diffraction angle (2 $\theta$ ,  $\theta$ : Bragg angle) from 40° to 50° in the X-ray diffraction patterns, the peaks at approximately 42.3◦ and 44.4 $\degree$  are diffraction patterns of (100) plane and (101) plane of hexagonal structure (2H) of the graphite, respectively. The peaks at approximately 43.3◦ and 46.0◦ are diffraction patterns of  $(1 0 1)$  plane and  $(1 0 2)$  plane of rhombohedral structure  $(3R)$ of the graphite, respectively. As explained above, it was apparent that there were two kinds of crystalline structure in the pulverized pristine graphite. It was also found that peaks at about 43.3◦ and 46.0◦, both belonging to the rhombohedral crystal structure were reduced even by the surface-modified and graphitizing process. Further the existing fraction  $(x)$  of the rhombohedral structure in the graphite powder was calculated by the following equation (Eq. (1)) based on the data of the observed peak intensity  $(P_1)$  of the (1 0 0) planes of the hexagonal structure, the observed intensity  $(P_2)$  of the (1 0 1) plane of the rhombohedral structure, and a theoretical relationship of the intensity ratio in the X-ray pattern of graphite [\[16\]:](#page--1-0)

$$
x = \frac{3P_2}{11P_1 + 3P_2} \tag{1}
$$

In the process of the treatment described above, the structural carbon characteristics, such as, the lattice spacing  $d(002)$ , the relative content of the 2H and 3R crystal structures, and the size of the crystallite domains for the *c*-axis direction  $L_c$ , will change. To facilitate this comparison, Table 1 lists all of these characteristics obtained from XRD, their specific surface areas, and tap densities. The quantificational calculation indicates that the 3R structure amount in modified graphite was 12.6% by the weight of the whole, while the amount of its in pristine graphite SG18 is about 31.7%.

First charge–discharge profiles for modified graphite anode were shown in [Fig. 2.](#page--1-0) The specific capacity of then were listed in [Table 2.](#page--1-0) The modified graphite provides a very high dis-

Table 1 Characteristics of the SG18, and modified graphite

| Sample            | $d(002)$ (nm) | $L_c$ (nm) | Content of $3R$ structure (wt.%) | $SSA$ (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> | Tap density $(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$ |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| SG18              | 0.3358        | 7.8        | 31.7                             | 5.321                                 | 1.02                              |
| Modified graphite | 0.3356        | 17.9       | 12.6                             | 1.415                                 | 1.16                              |

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1286000>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1286000>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)