



Short communication

Synthesis of sub-10 nm copper sulphide rods as high-performance anode for long-cycle life Li-ion batteries



Mingjiong Zhou^a, Na Peng^a, Zhen Liu^a, Yun Xi^a, Huiqiu He^a, Yonggao Xia^{b,*}, Zhaoping Liu^{b,**}, Shigeto Okada^c

^a School of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University, Fenghua Road 818, Jiangbei District, Ningbo 315211, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China

^b Ningbo Institute of Industrial Technology, Chinese Academy of Science, Ningbo 315201, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China

^c Institute for Materials Chemistry and Engineering, Kyushu University, 6-1 Kasuga-koen, Kasuga 816-8580, Japan

HIGHLIGHTS

- Copper sulfide (CuS) nanorods were successfully synthesized.
- A facile sol–gel method without using template and complicated treatment was used.
- The as-prepared CuS nanorods as anode achieve great electrochemical performance.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 August 2015

Received in revised form

27 November 2015

Accepted 15 December 2015

Available online 22 December 2015

Keywords:

Copper sulphide

Nanorods

Long-cycle life

Anode

Lithium-ion batteries

ABSTRACT

Copper sulfide (CuS) nanorods with the size of sub-10 nm are synthesized via a facile sol–gel method without post–thermal treatment. The as-prepared CuS nanorods are characterized by X-ray diffraction, transmission electron microscope, and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy as hexagonal covellite CuS. The as-prepared CuS nanorods utilized as anode material exhibit a high reversible capacity and excellent cycling stability up to 250 cycles, as well as high Coulombic efficiency. The unique structure of the CuS nanorods should be responsible for their excellent electrochemical performance.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Li-ion battery batteries have been applied in a variety of portable electronic devices and are being pursued as power sources for hybrid electric vehicles and electric vehicles [1,2]. Commercially available Li-ion batteries utilize an intercalation-based graphite anode coupled with a lithium-transition metal oxide or phosphate cathode. The graphite anode with a theoretical capacity of 372 mAh g⁻¹ cannot enable the creation of batteries that achieve the high energy density required for vehicles and other emerging applications, which has motivated research into new high-capacity

anode materials. A significant advance in the field comes with the discovery of materials that operate via a conversion reaction. 3d-transition metal compounds M_xX_y (M = Co, Fe, Ni, Cu, etc.; X = F, O, S, N, etc.) based on the conversion reaction have now been widely investigated for their potential use in Li-ion batteries because of their high capacities [3]. In these materials, transition metal sulfides, such as ZnS, CuS and MoS₂, have been widely studied during the past few decades because of their unusual physicochemical properties [4–7]. In particular, copper sulfides including CuS, Cu_{1.75}S, Cu_{1.8}S, Cu_{1.95}S and Cu₂S are of great interest with potential applications ranging from energy storage, owing to their variations in stoichiometric compositions, complex structures, nanocrystalline morphologies, and valence states [7–10]. Among them, covellite CuS with a high electrical conductivity (10⁻³ S cm⁻¹) and a high theoretical capacity (560 mAh g⁻¹) with flat discharge curves

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 574 86324027.

** Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 574 86324027.

E-mail addresses: xiayg@nimte.ac.cn (Y. Xia), liuzp@nimte.ac.cn (Z. Liu).

when cycled versus Li^+/Li , has been studied as cathode material for Li-ion batteries [11–13]. However, the challenge of CuS used as electrodes in Li-ion batteries is the rapid drop in capacity and the formation of lithium polysulfides, which dissolve into electrolyte and drift away from the electrode. Hence, the cycle stability is a key issue for CuS used as an electrode material in Li-ion batteries. To overcome the issue, many attempts have focused on the synthesis of various nanostructures of CuS, including flowers [14–16], complex hierarchical nanostructure [17–22], one-dimensional nanostructure [23–25]. All these nanoscale morphologies somewhat improves the electrochemical performance of CuS electrodes due to their nanostructure, which can increase reaction sites, short the path of ion motion, and improve efficient charge transport. However, the preparation techniques involved mostly template-based, surfactant-directed, or interface methods. These growth methods usually require the use of special instruments, surfactants, or templates, which will increase the difficulty of operation, the cost, and contamination. In the present work, uniform small sized of sub-10 nm CuS rods were prepared via a facile sol–gel method without using template and complicated post-treatment. This method utilizes low temperature, no protective gas and cheap chemicals that make our method advantageous. Meanwhile, the electrochemical properties of as-prepared CuS nanorods as an anode material were investigated for Li-ion batteries.

2. Experimental

2.1. Chemicals

Copper acetate monohydrate (analytical reagent (AR)), pyridine (AR), and sodium sulfide nonahydrate (AR) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. The electrolyte was purchased from Guo Tai Hua Long Company, including 1 M LiPF_6 in ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1 by volume). All chemicals were used without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of the CuS nanorods

In a typical synthesis, 5.0 mmol of copper acetate monohydrate was dissolved into 40 mL distilled water/pyridine mixture ($v:v = 1:3$) and heated to 80 °C. 5.0 mmol of sodium sulfide nonahydrate was dissolved into 20 mL of distilled water. Then, sodium sulfide solution was dropped into copper acetate solution under vigorous stirring. Finally, the mixture reacted for 2 h at 80 °C. The final products was collected and washed several times by distilled water or other solvents (e.g., ethanol, pyridine), and then dried in a vacuum at 60 °C for 12 h.

2.3. Material characterization

The phase composition of the as-prepared CuS samples was characterized by using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (XRD) with $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ radiation, at 2θ range of 20°–70° with 0.02 per step. The morphology and size of CuS nanorods were determined by FEI Tecnai F20 transmission electron microscope (TEM) coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). Raman spectra were measured using a Renishaw in Via-reflex spectrometer with the 532 nm line of the Ar ion laser as an excitation source in a quasi-backscattering configuration.

2.4. Electrochemical measurement

The as-prepared CuS nanorods were studied as anode materials for Li-ion batteries. The CuS electrodes were prepared by dispersing 70 wt.% active materials, 20 wt.% conductive carbon, and 10 wt.%

poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) binder in 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) to stir for 4 h to form a homogeneous slurry. The slurry was coated onto copper foil, dried at 100 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h, and cut into 1/2 in. diameter electrodes for testing. The amount of material on the Cu foil was in the range of 1–2 mg, thus the active material loading was calculated to be ca. 0.7–1.4 mg. Li foils and polypropylene films were used as counter electrodes and separators, respectively. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF_6 in a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (1:1 by volume). The galvanostatic charge and discharge tests were carried out at a constant current between 0.01 and 3.0 V using a battery tester. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s^{-1} within the range of 0.01–3 V using an Autolab PGSTAT302N (Metrohm, Switzerland). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were collected by an Autolab83710 impedance analyzer, using an alternating current with an amplitude voltage of 5 mV in the frequency range of 0.1–100 KHz to CuS electrodes before and after 3 cycles.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the XRD pattern of the as-prepared CuS nanorods. All diffraction peaks can be indexed to the hexagonal covellite CuS structure, and no impurity phase can be detected, indicating the formation of pure CuS. The sharp diffraction peaks suggest that the obtained CuS particles were highly crystalline. To be emphasized, the disappearance of the (107) diffraction peak from the XRD pattern indicated preferentially orientation effects of the growth direction along the (110) plane of hexagonal CuS [26]. The broadening of the diffraction peaks also indicates that the products have small size.

Fig. 2 shows TEM images of the as-prepared CuS at a high magnification, together with the corresponding scanning EDX analysis. The Raman spectrum of CuS is also plotted in Fig. 2. The CuS particles were irregularly shaped in rods with size less than 10 nm and aggregated together, as shown in Fig. 2a. A high-resolution transmission electron (HR-TEM) image (Fig. 2b) clearly shows that the particle was single crystal with clear interplanar distances of 0.28 nm, corresponding to that of the (103) plane of the hexagonal covellite CuS. EDX analysis (Fig. 2c) reveals that copper and sulfur elements were detected and their atomic ratio was close to 1:1, which agrees with the stoichiometric ratio of CuS. Raman spectroscopy was employed to further characterize the overall symmetry of the as-prepared CuS nanorods, as shown in Fig. 2d. A




Fig. 1. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of as-prepared CuS nanorods.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1292379>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1292379>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)