Inorganica Chimica Acta 445 (2016) 22-27

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Inorganica Chimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ica

Color tuning of cyclometalated 2-phenylbenzo[d]oxazole-based iridium (III) complexes through modification of different N^O ancillary ligands

Gao-Nan Li^{a,1}, Cheng-Wei Gao^{a,1}, Hao-Hua Chen^a, Ting-Ting Chen^a, Hui Xie^a, Shuai Lin^a, Wei Sun^a, Guang-Ying Chen^b, Zhi-Gang Niu^{a,b,*}

^a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China
^b Key Laboratory of Tropical Medicinal Plant Chemistry of Ministry of Education, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 November 2015 Received in revised form 31 January 2016 Accepted 2 February 2016 Available online 8 February 2016

Keywords: Iridium(III) complex 2-Phenylbenzo[d]oxazole Ancillary ligand Photoluminescence DFT calculation

ABSTRACT

Four new cyclometalated bo-based iridium(III) complexes with different N^O ancillary ligands, $[Ir(bo)_2pic]$ (1), $[Ir(bo)_2prz]$ (2), $[Ir(bo)_2bop]$ (3) and $[Ir(bo)_2btp]$ (4) (bo = 2-phenylbenzo[d]oxazole, pic = picolinate, prz = pyrazinate, bop = 2-benzoxazol-2-yl phenol, btp = 2-benzothiazol-2-yl phenol), have been synthesized and investigated by optical spectroscopy, electrochemistry as well as density functional theory (DFT). The crystal structures of 1, 2 and 4 have been determined, which show that each adopts the distorted octahedral coordination geometry. They exhibit intense green to orange phosphorescence ($\lambda_{max} = 531-598$ nm) with quantum yields of 0.19–0.94 and lifetimes of 0.078–0.468 µs in solution at 298 K. The broad range color tuning of complexes 1–4 is dependent on the ancillary ligand structure. The cyclic voltammetry has been measured, showing a quasireversible, metal-centered oxidation with potentials at 1.00–1.49 V. The frontier molecular orbital diagrams and the lowest-energy electronic transitions of 1–4 have been calculated with density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT).

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In recent years, a considerable amount of interests have been drawn to the application of iridium(III) complexes in organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs), because of their saturated phosphorescence color, high phosphorescence efficiency, and physical compatibility [1–4]. Particularly, well-defined molecular design methods for efficient phosphorescence color tuning are currently under active investigation with the aim of achieving full-color displays [5]. Previous researches found that the emission color of the heteroleptic iridium complexes [Ir(C^N)₂(LX)] are largely governed by the nature of the cyclometalated ligands (C^N), while the ancillary ligand (LX) operated insignificant control [6–8]. But such a standpoint has been changed. Using appropriate ancillary ligands can also achieve the tuning of emission colors for Ir(III) based complexes [9]. For example, the emissions of Ir(dfppy)₂(LX) [10] can be tuned from blue to red by changing ancillary ligands. Afterward, a

series of Ir(dfpypy)₂(N^O) and Ir[(RO)₂pypy]₂(N^O) heteroleptic iridium(III) complexes were reported, exhibiting intense blue to yellow phosphorescence by changing N^O ligands [11]. More recently, we explored the influence of three different hetero-atom (S, O and N) ancillary ligands on the photophysical and electrochemical properties of [Ir(btp)₂(LX)] iridium(III) complexes [12]. These research support that the ability to tune the emission color by varying the structure of ancillary ligands.

Iridium(III) complex with bis(2-phenylbenzo[d]oxazole) as the ligand, Ir(bo)₂(acac), was first reported by Thompson et al. [13]. Subsequently, Chen et al. [14] studied Ir(bo)₂(acac) derivatives with substituents in phenyl ring and showed that the emissive colors of the materials can be finely tuned by systematic control of the nature and position of the substituents on the ligands. Very recently, we also reported Ir(bo)₂(acac) derivatives with substituents on the benzoxazole ring and obtained a high phosphorescent iridium(III) complex with quantum yields of 53.5% [15].

The above results hence inspired us to further investigate the color tuning of bo-based iridium(III) complexes by choosing different ancillary ligands. Here, we design four heteroleptic iridium(III) complexes (1–4) with bo ligand as the parent compound and pic/prz/bop/btp ancillary ligands as tunable phosphors (Scheme 1). And, their photophysical and electrochemical properties are





Inorganica Chimica Acta

^{*} Corresponding author at: College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hainan Normal University, Haikou 571158, China. Tel.: +86 898 31381637; fax: +86 898 65889422.

E-mail address: niuzhigang1982@126.com (Z.-G. Niu).

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.



Scheme 1. Synthetic routes of Ir(III) complexes 1-4.

discussed in detail. The absorption spectra are rationalized on the basis of density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent DFT (TDDFT).

2. Experimental

2.1. Physical measurements

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM 400 MHz instrument. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm relative to Me₄Si as internal standard. MALDI-TOF-MS spectra were recorded on a Bruker Autoflex ^{II}TM TOF/TOF instrument. The elemental analyses were performed on a Vario EL Cube Analyzer system. UV–vis spectra were recorded on a Hitachi U3900/3900H spectrophotometer. Fluorescence spectra were carried out on a Hitachi F-7000 spectrophotometer. Luminescence lifetime curves were measured on an Edinburgh Instruments FLS920P fluorescence spectrometer and the data were treated as one-order exponential fitting using OriginPro 8 software.

The luminescence quantum efficiencies were calculated by comparison of the fluorescence intensities (integrated areas) of a standard sample $Ir(ppy)_3$ and the unknown sample according to the equation [16,17].

$$\Phi_{\rm unk} = \Phi_{\rm std} \left(\frac{I_{\rm unk}}{I_{\rm std}} \right) \left(\frac{A_{\rm std}}{A_{\rm unk}} \right) \left(\frac{\eta_{\rm unk}}{\eta_{\rm std}} \right)^2$$

where Φ_{unk} and Φ_{std} are the luminescence quantum yield values of the unknown sample and Ir(ppy)₃ solutions ($\Phi_{std} = 1.0$) [11], respectively. I_{unk} and I_{std} are the integrated fluorescence intensities of the unknown sample and Ir(ppy)₃ solutions, respectively. A_{unk} and A_{std} are the absorbance values of the unknown sample and Ir (ppy)₃ solutions at their excitation wavelengths, respectively. The η_{unk} and η_{std} terms represent the refractive indices of the corresponding solvents (pure solvents were assumed).

2.2. Synthesis of ligand

Picolinate (pic) and pyrazinate (prz) were purchased from Energy Chemical. 2-phenylbenzo[d]oxazole (bo) [18], 2-benzoxazol-2-yl phenol (bop) [19], 2-benzothiazol-2-yl phenol (btp) [20] were synthesized according to modification of literature procedures.

2.3. Synthesis of iridium complexes

The dichlorobridged iridium dimer $(bo)_2 Ir(\mu-Cl)_2 Ir(bo)_2$ was the critical precursor to synthesize complexes **1–4** and prepared according to the literature method [21]. A mixture of $IrCl_3 \cdot 3H_2O$ (1.0 equiv) and bo (2.2 equiv) in a mixture of 2-ethoxyethanol/

2.3.1. Synthesis of $[Ir(bo)_2pic](1)$

A solution of ancillary ligand pic (0.5 mmol) and dichlorobridged iridium dimer (bo)₂IrCl₂Ir(bo)₂ (0.2 mmol), and Na₂CO₃ (1.0 mmol) in 2-ethoxyethanol was refluxed for 24 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography to give the pure product **1**. Yield: 52%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.22 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.95–7.99 (m, 2H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.84–6.91 (m, 2H), 6.50– 6.52 (m, 1H), 6.43 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H). MS (MALDI-TOF) (m/z): 702.935 [M+H]⁺. Anal. Calc. for C₃₂H₂₀IrN₃O₄ (%): C, 54.69; H, 2.87; N, 5.98. Found: C, 54.71; H, 2.86; N, 5.97%.

2.3.2. Synthesis of [Ir(bo)₂prz] (2)

Complex **2** was obtained by the method similar to the preparation of **1** using prz instead of pic ligand. Yield: 37%. ¹H NMR δ 9.43 (s, 1H), 8.73 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.93–7.95 (m, 1H), 7.64–7.70 (m, 4H), 7.35–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.08 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.83–6.91 (m, 2H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H). MS (MALDI-TOF) (m/z): 703.907 [M+H]⁺. *Anal.* Calc. for C₃₁H₁₉IrN₄O₄ (%): C, 52.91; H, 2.72; N, 7.96. Found: C, 52.91; H, 2.70; N, 7.97%.

2.3.3. Synthesis of [Ir(bo)₂bop] (**3**)

Complex **3** was obtained by the method similar to the preparation of **1** using bop instead of pic ligand. Yield: 49%. ¹H NMR δ 7.93 (dd, $J_1 = 2.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.68 \sim 7.75 (m, 3H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.13-7.24 (m, 3H), 6.95-7.03 (m, 3H), 6.79-6.88 (m, 4H), 6.70 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.41-6.51 (m, 2H), 6.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H). MS (MALDI-TOF) (m/z): 791.055 [M+H]⁺. Anal. Calc. for C₃₉H₂₄IrN₃O₄ (%): C, 59.23; H, 3.06; N, 5.31. Found: C, 59.22; H, 3.07; N, 5.30%.

2.3.4. Synthesis of [Ir(bo)₂btp] (4)

Complex **4** was obtained by the method similar to the preparation of **1** using btp instead of pic ligand. Yield: 51%. ¹H NMR δ 7.78–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.02–7.23 (m, 5H), 6.81–7.99 (m, 4H), 6.63–6.73 (m, 3H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.27 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H). MS (MALDI-TOF) (m/z): 806.970 [M+H]⁺. *Anal.* Calc. for C₃₉H₂₄IrN₃O₃S (%): C, 58.05; H, 3.00; N, 5.21. Found: C, 58.06; H, 3.02; N, 5.19%.

2.4. Crystal structure determination

X-ray diffraction data were collected with an Agilent Technologies Gemini A Ultra diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) at room temperature. Data collection and reduction were processed with CrysAlisPro software [22]. The structure was solved and refined using Full-matrix least-squares based on F^2 with program SHELXS-97 and SHELXL-97 [23] within Olex2 [24]. All non-hydrogen atoms were found in alternating difference Fourier syntheses and least-squares refinement cycles and, during the final cycles, refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined as riding atoms with a uniform value of *U*iso.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1308210

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1308210

Daneshyari.com