Inorganica Chimica Acta 423 (2014) 320-325

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Inorganica Chimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ica

Synthesis, crystal structure and reactivity studies of iron complexes with pybox ligands

Tao Chen^{a,b,*}, Limin Yang^{b,c}, Dirong Gong^{b,d}, Kuo-Wei Huang^{b,*}

^a MOE Key Laboratory of Advanced Textile Materials & Manufacturing Technology, National Base for International Cooperation in Science & Technology of Textiles and Daily Chemistry, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, PR China

^b King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Division of Physical Sciences and Engineering and KAUST Catalysis Center, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia ^c College of Materials, Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, PR China

^d Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, Faculty of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Key Laboratory of Specialty Polymers, Grubbs Institute, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 June 2014 Received in revised form 8 August 2014 Accepted 13 August 2014 Available online 6 September 2014

Keywords: Synthesis Crystal structure Reactivity Iron complex Pybox ligand

1. Introduction

Since Nishiyama's first report on the tridentate nitrogen ligand pybox in 1989 [1], the use of chiral pybox ligands derived from aminoalcohols in transition metal catalysis has received considerable attention due to the convenient preparation, ready availability of the chiral precursors, and their excellent performance [2–9]. The pybox usually serves as a tridentate ligand [10,11], although a few examples as bidentate ligands (involving pyridine and one of the two oxazoline nitrogen atoms) [12–15], and as monodentate ligands have been reported [16,17]. These observations make the synthesis and structural characterization of pybox transition metal complexes highly interesting and useful as they may allow one to understand the factors that influence the corresponding complexes [18–23].

ABSTRACT

Iron(II) complexes, $[Fe(2,6-bis(4,4-dimethyl-1,3-oxazolin-2-yl)pyridine)Cl_2]$ ((Fe(Me₂-pybox)Cl₂), **3**) and $[Fe(2,6-bis(4,4-diphenyl-1,3-oxazolin-2-yl)pyridine)Cl_2]$ ((Fe(Ph₂-pybox)Cl₂), **4**), have been synthesized and characterized by X-ray crystallographic analysis. Upon treatment of complex **3** with silver triflate and **4** with acetonitrile, $[Fe(Me_2-pybox)(CH_3CN)OTf_2]$ (**5**) and $[Fe(Ph_2-pybox)(CH_3CN)_2CI][FeCl_3]$ (**6**) were obtained, respectively. The bulkier phenyl substitutes were found not only to cause the elongation of the N-Fe bonds but also influence the reactivity of the Fe center.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The development of iron-based catalysts has recently become an active research area due to their low cost and low toxicity, as well as benign environmental impact [24–28]. A number of Fe/ pybox catalyst systems were developed for various organic transformations such as the asymmetric Nazarov cyclization of divinyl ketones [29], the asymmetric aziridine formation reaction [30], Mukaiyama–Aldol reaction [31,32], the enantioselective conjugate addition [33,34], olefin polymerization [35,36], etc. Despite the wide applications of these catalysts, structural studies of welldefined iron–pybox complexes are scarce [30,36,37]. Herein, we report the synthesis, crystal structure and reactivity studies of two iron(II)–pybox complexes with different steric hindrance.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and methods

Commercially available reagents were used without further purification. 2-Amino-2,2-diphenylethanol was prepared by reduction of 2,2-diphenylglycine according to the literature procedure [38]. Column chromatographic purifications were performed using Merck silica gel 60. ¹H, and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker AVIII 400 or AVIII 500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts in ¹H NMR and ¹³C{¹H} NMR were reported in parts per million





Inorganica Chimica Acta

^{*} Corresponding authors. Address: MOE Key Laboratory of Advanced Textile Materials & Manufacturing Technology, National Base for International Cooperation in Science & Technology of Textiles and Daily Chemistry, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hangzhou 310018, PR China. Tel.: +86 571 86843779; fax: +86 571 86843666 (T. Chen).

E-mail addresses: tao.chen@zstu.edu.cn (T. Chen), hkw@kaust.edu.sa (K.-W. Huang).

(ppm). The residual solvent peak was used as an internal reference: ¹H NMR (CHCl₃ in chloroform-*d* δ 7.26) and ¹³C{¹H} NMR (chloroform-*d* δ 77.0). Multiplicity was indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet of doublet), br s (broad singlet). Coupling constants were reported in Hertz (Hz). The NMR data of iron complexes are not available due to the paramagnetic properties of the Fe(II) complexes. Mass spectra were recorded on an Accela-LCQ Fleet mass chromatograph, using Electro Spray Ionization (ESI) mode. HRMS analysis was carried out using a LTQ-Orbitrap-MS (LTQ Orbitrap Velos, Thermo Scientific) with ESI in positive ionization mode. Elemental analysis was performed using a Flash 2000 – Thermo Scientific CHNO Analyzer. MW of PE was determined by a PL-GPC 220 type high-temperature chromatograph equipped with three Plgel 10 µm Mixed-B LS type columns.

2.2. Synthesis of ligands and iron complexes

2.2.1. Ligand L1

To a solution of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol (0.979 g, 11 mmol) and triethylamine (3.03 g, 30 mmol) in chloroform (25 mL), 2,6-pyridinedicarbonyl dichloride (1.02 g, 5 mmol) solution in chloroform (10 mL) was slowly added at 0 °C with continuous stirring. The mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. To the reaction mixture, thionyl chloride (3.7 mL, 50 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated at reflux for 5 h. The solvent and excess thionyl chloride were removed in vacuo to give the crude dichloride compound as a colorless oil. The oil was treated with a solution of NaOH (0.8 g) in water (20 mL) and methanol (40 mL) at room temperature for 3 days. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane $(30 \text{ mL} \times 3)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes:ethyl acetate = 1:2 as eluent) to afford a white solid (0.69 g, 49%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.19 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.84 (t, ¹H, J = 7.8 Hz), 4.21 (s, 4H), 1.39 (s, 12H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₂): 160.83, 146.94, 137.20, 125.68, 79.71.67.99.28.41.

2.2.2. Compound 1

To a solution of 2-amino-2,2-diphenylethanol (2.0 g, 9.4 mmol) and triethylamine (2.8 g, 28.2 mmol) in chloroform (30 mL), 2,6pyridinedicarbonyl dichloride (0.96 g, 4.7 mmol) solution in chloroform (10 mL) was slowly added at 0 °C with continuous stirring. The mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. Water (50 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (30 mL \times 3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes:ethyl acetate = 1:1 as eluent) to afford a white solid (1.88 g, 72%). 1 H NMR (500 MHz, d⁶-DMSO): 9.08 (s, 2H), 8.20–8.17 (m, 3H), 7.42– 7.40 (m, 8H), 7.31-7.29 (m, 8H), 7.27-7.22 (m, 4H), 5.36 (t, 2H, J = 5.4 Hz), 4.40 (d, 4H, J = 5.4 Hz). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): 162.23, 149.41, 142.85, 139.91, 128.05, 127.27, 126.80, 124.57, 66.17, 65.99. HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₃₅H₃₂N₃O₄ m/z 558.23928 (M+H⁺). Found 558.23934.

2.2.3. Ligand L2

To a solution of compound 1 (220 mg, 0.4 mmol) in chloroform (5 mL), thionyl chloride (240 μ L) was added. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h. Water (10 mL) was added to quench the reaction. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (10 mL \times 3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes:ethyl acetate = 8:1 as eluent) to afford a white solid, which is a mixture of compounds

2 and **L2**, that have Rf similar values. The white solid was treated with a solution of NaOH (0.2 g) in water (6 mL), methanol (12 mL) and dichloromethane (12 mL) at room temperature for 24 h. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (10 mL × 3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes:ethyl acetate = 4:1 as eluent) to afford a white solid in 41% overall yield. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.47 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.92 (t, ¹H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.41–7.39 (m, 8H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 8H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 4H), 5.08 (s, 4H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 161.71, 146.95, 145.71, 137.29, 128.53, 127.30, 126.78, 126.70, 80.53, 79.85. HRMS (ESI): calcd for C₃₅H₂₈N₃O₂ *m/z* 522.21815 (M+H⁺). Found 522.21822.

2.2.4. Iron complex 3

Under nitrogen atmosphere, to a 100 mL Schlenk flash charged with the **L1** (273 mg, 1.0 mmol) and iron(II) chloride tetrahydrate (199 mg, 1.0 mmol), tetrahydrofuran (20 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The solvent was removed *in cacuo*, and the purple powder was washed with cold ether (15 mL × 3) to provide the iron(II) pincer complex in nearly quantitative yield (390 mg). The crystal suitable for a single-crystal X-ray diffraction was obtained by diffusion of diethyl ether into a dichloromethane solution of **3**. MS (ESI, MeOH): 364.04 (100%, (M–Cl)⁺). Elemental analysis (%) for C₁₅H₁₉Cl₂FeN₃O₂: Calc. C, 45.03; H, 4.79; N, 10.50. Found: C, 44.87; H, 4.92; N, 10.22.

2.2.5. Iron complex 4

The synthetic procedure is same to that of iron complex **3**. Iron complex **4** was obtained as a light purple powder. The single crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction studies was obtained by diffusion of diethyl ether into a tetrahydrofuran solution of **4**. MS (ESI, MeOH): $612.13 (100\%, (M-Cl)^{+})$. Elemental analysis (%) for C₃₅H₂₇-Cl₂FeN₃O₂·1Et₂O: Calc. C, 64.83; H, 5.16; N, 5.82. Found: C, 64.55; H, 4.88; N, 6.11.

2.2.6. Iron complex 5

Under nitrogen atmosphere, to a 25 mL Schlenk flash charged with iron complex **3** (20 mg, 0.05 mmol) and silver triflate (30 mg, 0.12 mmol), acetonitrile (2 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred at dark for 1 h and then stirred under light for another 20 min to decompose surplus silver triflate. Filtration was carried out, and diethyl ether was added to precipitate **5** as an orange solid. The single crystal of **5** suitable for X-ray diffraction studies was grown by vapor diffusion of *n*-hexane into an acetonitrile solution of **5**. MS (ESI, MeOH): 477.98 (100%, (M–OTf–CH₃CN)⁺). Elemental analysis (%) for C₁₉H₂₂F₆FeN₄O₈S₂: Calc. C, 34.14; H, 3.32; N, 8.38. Found: C, 33.87; H, 3.50; N, 8.64.

2.2.7. Iron complex 6

Iron complex **6** was obtained by vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into acetonitrile solution of **4** under nitrogen atmosphere. MS (ESI, MeOH): 694.12 (100%, $(M-FeCl_3)^+$). Elemental analysis (%) for C₃₉₋ H₃₃Cl₄Fe₂N₅O₂: Calc. C, 54.64; H, 3.88; N, 8.17. Found: C, 54.37; H, 4.02; N, 8.43.

2.3. General ethylene polymerization procedure

Ethylene polymerizations were performed in a 100 mL glass reactor equipped with a septum adapter and a magnetic stir bar. Iron complex (5 μ mol) was added to the reactor and kept under vacuum for 30 min and then purged with N₂. The reactor was then charged with toluene (50 mL) under N₂ and then pressurized with ethylene (18 bar) at the 50 °C with stirring. The polymerization was started by the addition of MAO (Al/Fe = 1000). After 1 h, the reaction was quenched by the addition of acidified methanol fol-

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1309474

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1309474

Daneshyari.com