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#### Abstract

First examples of phosphate ammine complexes of ruthenium nitrosyl trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{x} \cdot(2-x) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(x=0,1,2)$ were obtained with good yields. Results of elemental analysis, X-ray, infrared, UV-Vis diffuse reflectance, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopies fit well with the formulas $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1}),\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathbf{2})$, and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (3). In all the complexes, the phosphate group is in a trans-position to NO and is monodentate coordinated to ruthenium by one of the oxygen atoms. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values 2.6 and 7.2 were calculated from potentiometric titration and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR for the reactions: trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrows$ trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right)\right]^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrows$ trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, respectively. The rate constant for the reaction trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-} \leftrightarrows$ trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NO}^{-}\right)\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was estimated as $k_{\text {obs }}=7 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dilute solution of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\sim 5 \mathrm{M})$.


© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The interest in transition-metal nitrosyls is primarily due to the presence in their structure of a coordinated group NO. It is wellknown that NO plays an important role in various physiological processes, including cardiovascular control, immunological responses, neuronal signaling and others [1-5]. A disfunction of the regulation in nitric oxide metabolism was implicated in several disease states. The control of local concentration of NO, which is essential for obtaining the therapeutic effect, can be achieved with exogenous sources of nitric oxide [6,7]. Due to high affinity of ruthenium to NO, ruthenium complexes are currently being investigated as potential therapeutic NO-scavengers and -deliverers. Generally this metal based drugs exhibit fewer toxicity problems than drugs based on other metals [8-10].

In addition to the prospects of using ruthenium nitrosyls as biologically active compounds, the ability of these complexes to form light-induced long-lived metastable linkage isomers is of interest as well [11-13]. In the isomers, the nitrosyl group is bound either through the oxygen atom (isonitrosyl, MS1) or through both oxygen and nitrogen in a bidentate side-on arrangement ( $\eta^{2}$, MS2). Due to the differences in the method of coordination of

[^0]NO, ruthenium nitrosyls can be used as molecular constructive blocks for the synthesis of bifunctional compounds that combine photochromic properties with magnetic, conducting properties, etc. [14,15].

The successful development of these promising areas requires novel methods of synthesis and the actual data of structure and reactivity of the precursor complexes. To date, there is no information about the structure and synthesis methods of ruthenium nitrosyl complexes with coordinated ammonia molecules and phosphate ions. In this paper, we describe synthesis, spectroscopic and structural characterization of the complexes with coordinated four molecules of ammonia and $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}, \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$ions, estimate their acidic properties and kinetics of formation in aqueous solutions.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used as supplied. The complex trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right.$ $(\mathrm{OH})] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was synthesized from $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right]$ with a nearly quantitative yield ( $\sim 95 \%$ ) [16]. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right]$ was obtained from commercial ruthenium(III) chloride hydrate according to the literature [17]. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 spectrometer at 298 K , operating at 202.45 MHz .

Chemical shifts ( $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$, ppm) were referenced to an $85 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as an external standard. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Scimitar FTS 2000 Fourier spectrometer in the range 4000$375 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The samples for recording were prepared via the standard procedure by pressing the compounds in KBr pellets. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra in the solid state were collected with a Shimatzu UV-3101PC spectrophotometer over the spectral range of $240-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ at room temperature. Reflectance spectra were converted to absorbance ones by using the Kubelka-Munk equation [18,19]. The electronic spectra in aqueous solutions (see Figs. S1 and S2) were recorded on a SF-102 model in the 200900 nm range at room temperature in a 1.0 cm quartz cell. Elemental analyses were performed on a EURO EA3000 (Euro Vector).

### 2.2. Synthesis of trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1)

$2.0 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(85 \%)$ was added under stirring to 0.5 g $(1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ solid trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{OH})\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After 24 h at room temperature, the mixture was being heated for 5 h on a water bath at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, $\sim 5 \mathrm{ml}$ of concentrated HCl was added to the mixture at room temperature and a light yellow precipitate was formed a few minutes later. The precipitate was filtered, dissolved in a minimum volume of water ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{ml}$ ), and $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of 5 M NaOH was added (until $\mathrm{pH} \sim 9$ ). The complex 1 was precipitated after $\sim 3 \mathrm{~h}$. The precipitate of $\mathbf{1}$ was collected by filtration, washed with cold water ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{ml}$ ), acetone and dried in air. The yield was $\sim 90 \%$. After a few days of standing at room temperature, the mother liquor yielded yellow crystals suitable for a single-crystal X-ray analysis. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{PRu}$ : $\mathrm{H}, 4.88$; N, 21.21. Found: H , 4.91; N, 21.15\%. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3456 sh $v\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 3360-3120$ bvs $v\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1848$ vs $v(\mathrm{NO}) ; 1621 \mathrm{~m}, 1591 \mathrm{~m} \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1343$ $\mathrm{m}, 1321 \mathrm{~s}, 1282 \mathrm{~m} \delta_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1052$ bvs, 971 vs $v_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 922 \mathrm{bs}$, $876 \mathrm{sh}, 853 \mathrm{~m}, 734 \mathrm{bm} \rho_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 663 \mathrm{bm}, 644 \mathrm{~m}, 621 \mathrm{~s}$, $589 \mathrm{~m}, 551 \mathrm{~s}, 501 \mathrm{~m} v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NO}), \delta_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 486 \mathrm{~s} v\left(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 440$ $\mathrm{m} \delta_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 415 \mathrm{w} \delta(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NO})$. UV-Vis: $\lambda_{\text {max }}=450,350$ and 260 nm .

### 2.3. Synthesis of trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2)

$0.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of complex 1 was dissolved in 0.25 ml of 1.5 M $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ at room temperature. The solution was evaporated to dryness in air. The dry residue was washed with acetone and dried in air. Yellow crystals suitable for a single-crystal X-ray analysis were obtained by the slow evaporation at room temperature of a part of the solvent from the reaction solution. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ $\mathrm{O}_{9}$ PRu: H, 4.03; N, 22.40. Found: H, 4.08; N, 22.39\%. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3460 sh $v\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; 3260$ bvs, 3100 sh $v\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1879$ vs $v(\mathrm{NO}) ; 1655$ sh, $1600 \mathrm{~m} \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \delta_{\text {as }}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1384$ vs, 1350 vs $v\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) ; 1302$ bs $\delta_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1125$ bs $\delta_{\text {plane }}(\mathrm{POH}) ; 1046 \mathrm{~s}, 980$ bvs $v_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 920 \mathrm{bs}$, $896 \mathrm{~m}, 864 \mathrm{bs}, \rho_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 775 \mathrm{sh}, 715 \mathrm{w} v\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) ; 640 \mathrm{~m}$, $617 \mathrm{bm}, 579 \mathrm{~m}, 520 \mathrm{bs} v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NO}), \delta_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right), \delta\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) ; 479 \mathrm{~s} v(\mathrm{Ru}-$ $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 460$ sh $\delta_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 400 \mathrm{w} \delta(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NO}) . \mathrm{UV}-\mathrm{Vis}: \lambda_{\max }=435,315$ and 250 nm .

### 2.4. Synthesis of trans-[Ru(NO)(NH3 $\left.)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ (3)

The compound $\mathbf{1}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ reacted with 1 ml of 1.5 M $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ via the same procedure described for $\mathbf{2}$ in order to give a yellow precipitate of $\mathbf{3}$. Yellow crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ suitable for a single-crystal X-ray analysis were formed similarly to crystals of 2 after a few days. Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ PRu: H, 3.36; $\mathrm{N}, 23.33$. Found: $\mathrm{H}, 3.39$; $\mathrm{N}, 23.38 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3300 bvs, 3180 sh $v\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1897$ vs $v(\mathrm{NO})$; $1605 \mathrm{bm} \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \delta_{\text {as }}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1360$ bvs, 1350 bvs $v\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) ; 1320$ sh, 1250 bs $\delta_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 1149 \mathrm{~s} \delta_{\text {plane }}(\mathrm{POH}) ; 1065$ bvs, 973 vs $v_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$; $897 \mathrm{~s}, 861 \mathrm{bs}, 829 \mathrm{~s} \rho_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), v_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 727 \mathrm{w} v\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) ; 695 \mathrm{~m}, 638$ $\mathrm{m}, 610 \mathrm{bw}, 576 \mathrm{~m}, 535 \mathrm{~s}, 504 \mathrm{~s} v(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NO}), \delta_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right), \delta\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$;
$474 \mathrm{~m} v\left(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) ; 411 \mathrm{~m}, \delta_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) ; 400$ sh $\delta(\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NO}) . \mathrm{UV}-\mathrm{Vis}:$ $\lambda_{\text {max }}=430,308$ and 244 nm .

### 2.5. Evaluation of acidity constants

Samples for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR were prepared by dissolving exact weighed portions of $1\left(\sim 4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ and adding either concentrated hydrochloric acid or 5 M NaOH to adjust pH to an appropriate value (Table S1). The prepared solutions were placed into a tube and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded at room-temperature.

The acidic properties were also determined by potentiometric titration (Fig. S3) with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(\mu=1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KCl})$. All measurements were run in triplicate.

### 2.6. Kinetics measurements

Trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+}$ was analysed in dilute solutions of $o$-phosphoric acid at $70 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. The spectra were acquired using 300 scans at $24 \pm 0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The kinetic data were treated as a pseudo-first-order reaction, and thus, the rate constant ( $k_{\text {obs }}$ ) was estimated from the plots of $\ln \left(A_{0} / A\right)$ vs. time (Fig. S4).

### 2.7. Single-crystal structure analysis

Diffraction data for $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ were collected on a Bruker X8 Apex CCD diffractometer with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) at $296(2) \mathrm{K}$. The crystallographic characteristics and main indicators of the refinement are presented in Table 1. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by a full-matrix least-squares treatment against $|F|^{2}$ in an anisotropic-isotropic (for H ) approximation with the shelx-97 program set [20]. All non-H atoms of the main structural units were refined anisotropically. The H atoms were refined in their geometrically calculated positions; a riding model was used for this purpose. Further details may be obtained from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center upon quoting depository numbers CCDC 908557-908559.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Synthetic aspects

Three ruthenium nitrosyl complexes with $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}, \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$ions have been prepared. Despite the apparent simplicity

Table 1
X-ray experimental details.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{PRu}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{PRu}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{PRu}$ |
| Formula weight | 330.22 | 375.22 | 420.22 |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic | monoclinic | triclinic |
| Space group | Pbcm | $\mathrm{C} 2 / c$ | $P \overline{1}$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $9.3467(7)$ | $28.0526(13)$ | $7.4614(15)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $9.9602(8)$ | $6.6930(3)$ | $7.6213(15)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $10.7240(8)$ | $13.8414(6)$ | $13.346(3)$ |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | $84.39(3)$ |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | $115.810(1)$ | $75.33(3)$ |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90 | 90 | $62.29(3)$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $998.35(13)$ | $2339.56(18)$ | $649.8(2)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 | 8 | 2 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.757 | 1.528 | 1.401 |
| Measured reflections | 10251 | 17028 | 9341 |
| Unique reflections $\left(R_{\text {int }}\right)$ | $1994(0.0328)$ | $4459(0.0148)$ | $6826(0.012) 2$ |
| Parameters | 106 | 183 | 388 |
| $R_{1}[I \geqslant 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0182 | 0.0138 | 0.0145 |
| $w R_{2}[I \geqslant 2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0421 | 0.0374 | 0.0390 |
| Goodness-of-fit | 1.016 | 1.058 | 1.055 |
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