



The effect of column and eluent fluorination on the retention and separation of non-fluorinated amino acids and proteins by HPLC

Katherine Joyner^a, Weizhen Wang^{*,b}, Yihua Bruce Yu^{a,c,**}

^a Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21201, United States

^b Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, United States

^c Fischell Department of Bioengineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 October 2010

Received in revised form 3 December 2010

Accepted 6 December 2010

Available online 13 December 2010

Keywords:

HPLC

Fluorocarbon column

Fluorocarbon eluents

Paired *t*-test

Morgan–Pitman test

ABSTRACT

The effect of column and eluent fluorination on the retention and separation of non-fluorinated amino acids and proteins in HPLC is investigated. A side-by-side comparison of fluorocarbon column and eluents (F-column and F-eluent) with their hydrocarbon counterparts (H-column and H-eluent) in the separation of a group of 33 analytes, including 30 amino acids and 3 proteins, is conducted. The H-column and the F-column contain the *n*-C₈H₁₇ group and *n*-C₈F₁₇ group, respectively, in their stationary phases. The H-eluent includes ethanol (EtOH) and isopropanol (ISP) while the F-eluent includes trifluoroethanol (TFE) and hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP). The 2 columns and 4 eluents generated 8 (column, eluent) pairs that produce 264 retention time data points for the 33 analytes. A statistical analysis of the retention time data reveals that although the H-column is better than the F-column in analyte separation and H-eluent is better than F-eluent in analyte retention, the more critical factor is the proper pairing of column with eluent. Among the conditions explored in this project, optimal retention and separation is achieved when the fluorocarbon column is paired with ethanol, even though TFE is the most polar one among the 4 eluents. This result shows fluorocarbon columns have much potential in chromatographic analysis and separation of non-fluorinated amino acids and proteins.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Conventional high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) uses various hydrocarbon columns (e.g., C₄, C₈ and C₁₈) and hydrocarbon eluents (e.g., acetonitrile, methanol, ethanol, etc.) to achieve separation of analytes [1]. As an alternative for hydrocarbon columns, fluorocarbon columns have been developed for the separation of both fluorinated- and non-fluorinated compounds [2–9]. For example, fluorocarbon columns have been used successfully in fluorinated mixture synthesis [10–14]. In comparison, the use of fluorocarbon eluents is much less common [15,16]. To better understand the effect of column and eluent fluorination on analyte retention and separation, it is necessary to make side-by-side comparison of fluorocarbon columns and eluents with their hydrocarbon counterparts. Such side-by-side comparisons make it possible to separate the effect of fluorination from other factors, such as polarity, size, functional groups, etc. For example,

trifluoroethanol (CF₃CH₂OH) should be compared with ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH) rather than methanol (CH₃OH) or acetonitrile (CH₃CN).

In this work, fluorocarbon column and eluents (F-column and F-eluent) are compared with their hydrocarbon counterparts (H-column and H-eluent) in a systematic fashion. Such side-by-side comparison of fluorinated column and eluents vs. non-fluorinated column and eluents allows us to reveal the effect of column and eluent fluorination on analyte retention and separation. A total of 33 analytes were used in this study, including 30 amino acids and 3 proteins. Statistical analysis is conducted on the retention time data. Through this analysis, we hope to assess the applicability of F-column and F-eluent for the separation of non-fluorinated amino acids and proteins.

Previously, statistical analyses of HPLC data have been conducted to establish the relationship between analyte structure and retention time [17–19]. Instead of focusing on the analytes, this work focuses on columns and eluents; specifically the effect of column and eluent fluorination on analyte retention and separation. The same set of analytes is used as probes to assess different (column, eluent) combinations in terms of analyte retention and separation. Statistical analysis is conducted to compare the various combinations in a pair-wise fashion. The statistical analysis involves three parameters: correlation coefficient, mean and variance.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 937 775 2141.

** Corresponding author. Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Maryland, 20 Penn St., Room 635, Baltimore, MD 21201, United States. Tel.: +1 410 706 7514; fax: +1 410 706 5017.

E-mail addresses: weizhen.wang@wright.edu (W. Wang), byu@rx.umd.edu (Y.B. Yu).

The strength of dependency of two HPLC methods is quantified by their correlation coefficient, r . When two HPLC methods produce identical retention behavior among a group of analytes, $r = 1$. We call such methods parallel to each other. On the other hand, if two HPLC methods produce entirely different retention behavior among a group of analytes, $r = 0$. We call such methods orthogonal to each other. In reality, the most likely relationship between two HPLC methods is somewhere between parallel and orthogonal with $0 < r < 1$. As long as $r \neq 0$, two HPLC methods are not independent of each other.

The ability of a HPLC method to retain analytes is quantified by the retention time mean, μ . If no analyte is retained under a HPLC method, μ is zero. If all analytes are well-retained under a HPLC method, μ is large.

The ability of a HPLC method to separate analytes is quantified by the retention time variance, σ^2 . If in a HPLC method all the analytes co-elute, σ^2 is zero. If in a HPLC method the analytes are well separated, σ^2 is large.

By comparing fluorocarbon column and eluents with their hydrocarbon counterparts in terms of correlation coefficient, mean and variance, the effect of column and eluent fluorination on analyte retention and separation can be revealed.

2. Experiment design

2.1. Selection of analytes

Analytes are listed in Table 1. 30 amino acids, including both natural and unnatural ones, are selected as analytes. All the amino acids are *N*-protected by either the Boc group (analytes 2–27) or the Fmoc-group (analytes 3', 7', 9' and 21'). The reason for using *N*-protected amino acids is because some free amino acids are not retentive. In addition to amino acids, 3 proteins, lysozyme (32), myoglobin (33) and bovine serum albumin (34), are also included as analytes. Boc-aminoisobutyric acid (1) is used as the internal reference in all chromatographic runs.

2.2. Selection of HPLC conditions

All chromatographic runs use the two-eluent, linear gradient and constant temperature (25 °C) mode. This is the most commonly used HPLC method in the separation of amino acids, peptides and proteins [1].

2.3. Selection of columns

The H-column is a Zorbax 300 SB-C₈ column (2.1 mm × 150 mm, 5 μm pore size). The F-column is a Fluoro-Flash® column (2.1 mm × 150 mm, 5 μm pore size) from Fluorous Technologies. The H-column contains the *n*-C₈H₁₇ group in its stationary phase while the F-column contains the *n*-C₈F₁₇ group in its stationary phase.

2.4. Selection of eluents

As the H- and F-columns are both reversed-phase columns, eluent A is H₂O. Eluent B is either a hydrocarbon solvent (H-eluent) or a fluorocarbon solvent (F-eluent). The H-eluents include ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH, EtOH) and isopropanol ((CH₃)₂CHOH, ISP). The fluorinated counterparts of the H-eluents, trifluoro-ethanol (CF₃CH₂OH, TFE) and hexafluoro-isopropanol ((CF₃)₂CHOH, HFIP), are used as F-eluents for comparison. Judged by their dielectric constants ϵ [20], TFE ($\epsilon = 27.68$) is more polar than its hydrocarbon counterpart EtOH ($\epsilon = 25.30$) while HFIP ($\epsilon = 16.70$) is less polar than its hydrocarbon counterpart ISP ($\epsilon = 20.20$). The average dielectric constant for the two H-eluents, EtOH and ISP, is 22.7

Table 1

List of analytes.

| Compound no. | Name | Symbol |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Boc-aminoisobutyric acid | Boc-Aib |
| 2 | Boc-glycine | Boc-Gly |
| 3 | Boc-L-alanine | Boc-Ala |
| 3' | Fmoc-L-alanine | Fmoc-Ala |
| 4 | Boc-L-valine | Boc-Val |
| 5 | Boc-L-leucine | Boc-Leu |
| 6 | Boc-L-isoleucine | Boc-Ile |
| 7 | Boc-L-norleucine | Boc-Nle |
| 7' | Fmoc-L-norleucine | Fmoc-Nle |
| 8 | Boc-L-methionine | Boc-Met |
| 9 | Boc-L-proline | Boc-Pro |
| 9' | Fmoc-L-proline | Fmoc-Pro |
| 10 | Boc-L-serine | Boc-Ser |
| 11 | Boc-L-threonine | Boc-Thr |
| 12 | Boc-L-cysteine | Boc-Cys |
| 13 | Boc-L-asparagine | Boc-Asn |
| 14 | Boc-L-glutamine | Boc-Gln |
| 15 | Boc-L-aspartic acid | Boc-Asp |
| 16 | Boc-L-glutamic acid | Boc-Glu |
| 17 | Boc-L-histidine | Boc-His |
| 18 | Boc-L-lysine | Boc-Lys |
| 19 | Boc-L-arginine | Boc-Arg |
| 20 | Boc-L-tryptophan | Boc-Trp |
| 21 | Boc-L-phenylalanine | Boc-Phe |
| 21' | Fmoc-L-phenylalanine | Fmoc-Phe |
| 22 | Boc-L-tyrosine | Boc-Tyr |
| 23 | Boc-L-phenylalanine(4-F) | Boc-Phe(4-F) |
| 24 | Boc-L-phenylalanine(4-Cl) | Boc-Phe(4-Cl) |
| 25 | Boc-L-phenylalanine(4-Br) | Boc-Phe(4-Br) |
| 26 | Boc-L-phenylalanine(4-I) | Boc-Phe(4-I) |
| 27 | Boc-L-phenylalanine(4-NO ₂) | Boc-Phe(4-NO ₂) |
| 32 | Lysozyme | Lysozyme |
| 33 | Myoglobin | Myoglobin |
| 34 | Bovine serum albumin | BSA |

while the average dielectric constant for the two F-eluents, TFE and HFIP, is 22.2. Therefore, by comparing TFE and HFIP together with EtOH and ISP, contribution to the observed retention time differences by polarity can be eliminated.

2.5. Selection of statistical analysis method

2.5.1. Matched-pair analysis

Statistical analysis methods depend on the type of data. In our analysis, 8 sets of data, as a result of pairing 2 columns with 4 eluents, are generated from the same set of 33 analytes. Therefore, any two of the 8 data sets form a matched pair. Because data in a matched pair experiment are from the same set of subjects, they are likely to be dependent. The strength of the dependency between two data sets is measured by the correlation coefficient. The matched-pair *t*-test is used to compare the means of the two data sets [21]. The Morgan-Pitman test is used to compare the variances of the two data sets in a matched-pair [22,23].

The major advantage of matched-pair samples over two-independent samples is that the former eliminates subject effects so that the numerical difference in the two samples is due to true differences between the two sampled populations rather than random error. In consequence, the resultant statistical data analysis is more efficient at identifying differences between the two populations. In other words, small differences between two matched-pair samples may be statistically significant.

Definitions of statistics of a sample: For a data set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, the sample mean is given by:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad (1)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1314222>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1314222>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)